NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section IRINOLY HIGHWAYS 28 Mauve Area, G-9/I, Islamabad 2051-9032727, @ 051-9260419

No. 6(527)/GM (P&CA)/NHA/21/510

September, 2021

Director General

Public Procurement Regulatory Authority 1st Floor FBC Building near State Bank, Sector G-5/2, Islamabad

Subject:

Announcement of Evaluation Report (PPRA RULE-35): Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study and Detailed Design for Peshawar (Chamkani) to Nowshera, Addition of Extra Lane on Each Side of N-5 (32 KM

Reference: PPRA Rule-35

Find enclosed herewith the combined Bid Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.

General Manager (P&CA)

Encl: Evaluation Report along with Annex- I

Copy for kind information to:

- Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (Tech.), NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (Services)-P&CA, NHA, Islamabad.

EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study and Detailed Design for Peshawar (Chamkani) to Nowshera, Addition of Extra Lane on Each Side of N-5 (32 KM)
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(527)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS451826E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	15 th June, 2021 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	15 th June, 2021 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Three (03) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

		Marks			Rule/Regulation/S BD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.	
Name of Bidder	Technical (if applicable)	Financial (if applicable)	Total (out of 1000)	Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)		
M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s New Vision Engineering Consultant and M/s AAN Consulting Engineers	610	200	810	8,364,372	Top scoring firm in combined evaluation (PPRA Rule 36(b) (ix))	
2) M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Associated Consulting Engineers ACE Ltd. and M/s Global Engineering System.	Not Evaluated (Ineligible)	Non-responsi of the Data S Engineering relevant Profi Financial Pro	Sheet (RFF System do le Code.	DDDA Dulo 26 (b)		
3) M/s Umar Munshi Associates in JV with M/s Cascade Group of Engineering Consultant.	of the Data Sheet (RFP): M/s ascade Group of Not Cascade Group of Engineering Evaluated Consultant does not have relevant			PPRA Rule 36 (b)		

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

*EC is the Evaluated Cost used for evaluation purpose and includes only the cost of competitive component (i.e. Remuneration and Direct Non-Salary Cost) and is exclusive of Provisional Sum, Contingency and Indirect Taxes.

Top Ranked Bidder:

M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s New Vision Engineering Consultant and M/s AAN Consulting Engineers

Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share: 11. The Procurement was carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations. The bidding was done on QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio. The Contract is being awarded to M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s New Vision Engineering Consultant and M/s AAN Consulting Engineers at evaluated financial proposal of Pak. Rs.13,182,672/-.

Signature:....

General Manager (P&CA)

Official Stamp: National Flighway Authority

**Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).



Annex-I
Criteria
FOR
Bid Evaluation

CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR FEASIBILITY STUDY AND DETAILED DESIGN FOR PESHAWAR (CHAMKANI) TO NOWSHERA, ADDITION OF EXTRA LANE ON EACH SIDE OF N-5 (32 KM

September, 2021

Natio,

SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (QCBS)

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Max.	Firm 1		Firm 2	
LYALUATIUN CAITLAIA	Weightage	Rating	Score	Rating	Score
1. Firms Experience	100				
General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
2. Approach and Methodology	250				
2-a. Appreciation of the Project	<u>70</u>				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs	(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				1
2-b. Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives	<u>50</u>				1
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)		·		
2-c. Methodology	80	_			
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal*	(30)				
2-d. Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR	<u>10</u>	_			
2-e. Work Program	<u>20</u>				
2-f. Staffing Schedule	<u>20</u>				İ
3. Key Personnel	450	_			
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel**	25				
(i) Team Leader/ Senior Highway Engineer	140				
(ii) Senior Structural Engineer	80				
(iii) Junior Structural Engineer	60				
(iv) Junior Highway Engineer	60	_			
(v) Pavement Engineer	50				
(vi) Transport Economist	35				
4. Performance Certification from clients	75				
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting***	25				
5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)	50				
6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)****	50				
TOTAL:	1000				

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0% Score: Maximum Weightage x rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.

Conciseness and clarity contains 10 marks and 20 marks will be for the completeness of the proposals which includes but not limited to hard binding, sequential page numbering, signing and stamping of each page of proposal.

^{**} Firm affidavit for presence of personnel caries 25 marks out of 450 marks (complete in all respect as per specimen annexed at Annex-C placed in Technical Proposal Forms).

^{*** 25} out of 100 marks will be allocated for provision of affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner to the effect that the firm has neither been blacklisted nor any contract rescinded in the past for non-fulfillment of contractual obligations (complete in all respect as per specimen annexed at Annex-B placed in Technical Proposal Forms).

²⁵ out of 50 marks are set for the new firm, the one which has been registered within last six (06) years and which has carried out maximum three (03) projects in last six (06) years and remaining 25 marks are set of remaining 25 marks are set for the new firm.

PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE (Show all experts to be evaluated)		Name	Academic and General Qualification* Weightage 30%		Project related Experience Weightage 60%		Status with the Firm** 10%		OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings)	
			Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	(A+B+C)	
(i)	Team Leader/ Senior Highway Engineer	_ :								
(ii)	Senior Structural Engineer									
(iii)	Junior Structural Engineer									
(iv)	Junior Highway Engineer									
(v)	Pavement Engineer									
(vi)	Transport Economist									

Rating: - Excellent - 100%

Very good - 90-99%

Above Average - 80-89%

Average – 70-79% Below Average – 1-69%

Non-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100.

For Team Leader/ Senior Highway Engineer, Senior Structural Engineer, Junior Structure Engineer, Junior Highway Engineer, Pavement Engineer: M.Sc. - 100%; B.Sc. -70%.

For Transport Economist: M.Sc. (Transportation Engineering)/ M.Sc. Economics with Diploma/ Degree in Economics/ Transportation Engineering, respectively-100%; B.Sc. (Transportation Engineering) or M.Sc. Economics -70%

6 month older employee - 100%; Less than 6 months or associates- 0%

