

Dated: 01-09-2020

## **EVALUATION REPORT**

(As Per PPRA Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

	(AS FEI FI	- NA Rule 33 01 FF Rules, 2004)
01	Name of Procuring Agency	Karachi Port Trust
02	Method of Procuring	Notice for inviting Tenders through Press, KPT & PPRA MoMa Websites.
03	Title of Procurement	Provision of Official Residential Accommodation Building for K.P.T Employees (High Rise Building Project).
		K.P.1 Employees ( High Rise Building 110)000).
04	Tender Inquiry No	E/CW-(543)/
05	PPRA Ref No. (TSE)	TS408945E
06	Date & Time of Bid Closing	25-02-2020 at 16:00 Hrs.
07	Date & Time of Bid Opening	28-02-2020 at 10:30 Hrs.
08	No. of Bid Received	04 Firms
09	Criteria of Bid Evaluation	Lowest Bid

09	Criteria of Bid Evaluation	Lowest Bid
10	Detail of Bid (s) Evaluation	As Per Departmental Tender Committee Report (attached).

S.	Name of	Ma	rks	Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD / Policy / Basis of
No.	Bidder	Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)	Rejection/Accept as per Rule 35 PP Rules,200	
1.	M/s. NESPAK,	N/A	N/A	Rs.58,760,283/-	Only Technically  Qualified firms
2.	M/s. Atif Nazar (PVT) Ltd,	N/A	N/A	Not Technically Qualified	
3	M/s. Shahzad Associates,	N/A	N/A	1	
4	M/s. Youngs Associate,	N/A	N/A		

Lowest Evaluated Bidder: - M/s. NESPAK,

11. Any other additional /supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share (DTC) Report and B.R).

Signature

Official Stamp Burechi Port Trust, &

Head Office. Eduljee Dinshaw Road I. I. Chundrigar Road, Karachi Phone # 99214530-40 Eav # 99214329-30

#### KARACHI PORT TRUST (SECRETARIAT)



No.S/BS/ 1822 Dated 26 AUG 2020

GENERAL MANAGER (CW/E) GENERAL MANAGER (F) MANAGER (LEGAL AFFAIRS)

> SUB: - EXTRACT OF MINUTES OF BOARD MEETING OF 27-07-2020. Provision of Official Residential Accommodation Building for KPT Employees (High Rise Building Project).

A copy of B.R.No.536 dated 27.07.2020, on the above subject is forwarded herewith for necessary action.

Encl: - 1. B.R.No.536 dated 27.07.2020.

2. (File No.E/CW-1(543)to GM(CW/E)

#### B.R.NO.536 DATED 27.07.2020

 Provision of Official Residential Accommodation Building for KPT Employees (High Rise Building Project).

Provision of Official Residential Accommodation Building for KPT Employees (High Rise Building Project) in the light of Assistant Executive Engineer (W)'s note dated 10.06.2020 duly recommended by Executive Engineer (Design), Deputy Chief Engineer - III and Mr. Sohail Anwar Khan, Chief Engineer and Ag. General Manager (CW/E) duly endorsed by Syed Imtiaz Hussain, Manager (Legal Affairs), Mr. Ali Waqas Assistant Accounts Officer (Finance), Syed Shahreyar Askari, Finance Officer, Syed Amir Ali, Manager Finance and Mr. Kevin Roy, General Manager (F). The Board after examining the matter, sanctioned as under:-

- a) Acceptance of the offer of the firm M/s. NESPAK, the only qualifying firm, amounting to Rs.58,760,283/- (Rupees Fifty Eight million Seven hundred Sixty thousand Two hundred Eight three, only) for the work of "Provision of official residential accommodation building for KPT employees (High Rise Building Project)".
- b) Expenditure of work as per following details:
  - i) Rs.58,760,283/- from Annual Development Programme (ADP) Project No. 21002 (Provision of Official Residential Accommodation Building for KPT Employees (High Rise Building)) of Engineering Department Budget where Rs.58 million is allocated for the year 2020-21.
  - ii) Re-appropriation of Rs.760,283/- from Annual Development Programme (ADP) Project No. 86102 (Lump Sum Provision for Unforeseen Works) to Project No. 21002 (Provision of Official Residential Accommodation Building for KPT Employees (High Rise Building)) under Clause No. 66-A of KPT Act. The detail of Annual Development Programme (ADP) Project No. 86102 (Lump Sum Provision for Unforeseen Works) is as follows:-

Budget Allocation for the year (2020-21): Rs.20,031,000/Budget Remaining: Rs.20,031,000/Current proposal: Rs. 760,283/Balance Amount: Rs.19,270,717/-

c) Additionally, as the case is valued at more than Rs.50 million, the (civil) Engineering Department, through Secretary (KPT), would furnish the relevant documents to National Accountability Bureau (NAB) prescribed under Section 33-B of NAO as accentuated by Ministry of Maritime Affairs U.O. Note No. 4(8)/2007-Coord dated 28-12-2017.

However, keeping in view the concerns of Ag. General Manager (CW/E), the Board further resolved that the NOC from Sindh Building Control Authority (SBCA), Government of Sindh to be obtained before finalization of the contract to M/s. NESPAK in the interest of Karachi Port.

TRUE COPY,

Secretary

KARACHIPORT TRUST

# PROVISION OF OFFICIAL RESIDENTIAL ACCOMODATION BUILDING FOR KPT EMPLOYEES (HIGH RISE BUILDING PROJECT).

### RECOMMENDATIONS BY THE GENERAL MANAGERS' COMMITTEE

The General Managers' Committee meeting was held on 21-05-2020 chaired by the General Manager (CW/E) for consideration of Financial Evaluation Report as submitted by the In-house Committee (F/A).

The General Managers' Committee considered the recommendations of the In-house Committee based on the Technical & Financial Evaluation on the 80:20 criteria, recommends to award the subject consultancy work to M/s. NESPAK, the only qualified firm who secured the combined score of 82.96 at their financial bid for Rs. 58,760,283/-

(inclusive of Sales Tax @ 13%).

General Manager (O) (Member) General Manager (E) (Member)

General Manager (F)

(Member)

General Manager (P&D)

(Member)

Port Intelligence Officer

(Member)

(Convener)

# PROVISION OF OFFICIAL RESIDENTIAL ACCOMODATION BUILDING FOR KPT EMPLOYEES (HIGH RISE BUILDING PROJECT).

### SUB: FINANCIAL EVALUATION REPORT BY THE IN-HOUSE COMMITTEE

- The Request for Proposal (RFP) for the work was invited on 14-12-2019 & accordingly the same were received on 25-02-2020.
- 2. Four Firms have submitted their sealed Financial / Technical Proposals on 25-02-2020 as per the Advertisement. (List placed at S.No. 12)
- 3. The Technical Proposals were opened on 28-02-2020 in the presence of the Committee Members viz:

1.	GENERAL MANAGER (CW/E)	CONVENOR
2.	GENERAL MANAGER (O)	MEMBER
3.	GENERAL MANAGER (E)	MEMBER
4.	GENERAL MANAGER (P&D)	MEMBER
5.	GENERAL MANAGER (F)	MEMBER
6.	PORT INTELLIGENCE OFFICER	MEMBER

4. An In-House Committee comprising on the following members was constituted to examine the documents and submit their Technical Evaluation Report within Ten working days from the date of opening of Technical Proposal.

1.	DY. CHIEF ENGINEER – III	CONVENOR
2.	EXECUTIVE ENGINEER DESIGN	MEMBER
3.	EXECUTIVE ENGINEER WORKS	MEMBER
4.	ASSIST. EXECUTIVE ENGR. (W)	MEMBER
5.	ASSIST. EXECUTIVE ENGR. (KV)	MEMBER
6.	ASSIST. EXECUTIVE ENGR. (E-I) P&D DIVISION	MEMBER

- The In-house Committee has submitted its detailed Technical Evaluation Report which is placed at S.No.23.
- As per the Technical Evaluation Sub-Committee's Report, out of the 04 firms only M/s. NESPAK has cleared Technical Evaluation stage and the firms those could not qualified were informed accordingly letter placed at S.No. 25(A) & 25 (B) dated 30-03-2020.
- 7. Subsequently, Chairman's approval was requested for the opening of the Financial Proposal of the Technically Qualified firm, M/s. NESPAK only.

Qualified firm M/s. NESPAK, the Financial Offer was opened in the presence of GM's Committee and the Reps. of M/s. NESPAK on 21-04-2020.

9. The In-house Committee after the detail scrutiny of the Financial Report, observed a discrepancy in the rates of Environmental Engineer which are quoted as Rs. 741,216.00 Per Month by M/s. NESPAK on the Page of (*Breakdown of Rate for Consultancy Contract*) in their Financial Bid as compared to the rates of Environmental Engineer which is quoted at S.No. 8 as Rs. 412,100.00 per month Billing Rate as mentioned on the Financial Bid Page of (*Estimated Local Currency Salary Costs / Remuneration*) and also a minor typo error of Rs.16.00 has been observed at S.No.3,4 & 5 on the page of (*Estimated Local Currency Salary Costs / Remuneration*). In-spite of these rate difference on both the pages, the overall Bid cost remains the same because it has been calculated on Rs.412,100.00 and rate differences as observed at S.Nos.3,4 & 5 are considered as negligible.

In-house Committee is of the opinion that, the same discrepancy may be communicated to the bidder M/s. NESPAK also prior to the submission of the Bid to the KPT Board, and they may be informed accordingly that, in future Rs. 412,100.00 will be considered as the right cost instead of Rs. 741,216.00 per month for any calculation in the Bid to avoid any probable litigation as, if it may occur in case.

In response M/s. NESPAK vide their letter dated 14-05-2020 have confirmed the cost break-up of their Financial Bid for approximately 600 dwellings and according to which the total price of the Bid remains same i.e Rs.58.76 (M).

10. The Evaluation Report of the Financial Proposal of M/s. NESPAK by the In-house Committee is mentioned as under:

S.	Firm	Bid Amount			
No.	1	Without Sales Tax (Rs.)	With Sales Tax (Rs.)		
1.	M/s. NESPAK	52,000,250/-	58,760,283/-		

11. The award of the Consultancy will be based on combined scores secured by the consulting firms as per prescribed criteria i.e Weightage of Technical & Financial Proposals being 80:20 respectively. Same is detailed as under:

S. No	Tech. Qualified Firms	St   Tech   Wtage   (T)   80%	Wtage	Final Bid (Without S.T)	Final Score (SF)	Fin. Wtage (P)	Combined Score (S) (S X T%+Sr x P%)
			80%				
1.	M/s. NESPAK	78.7	62.96	52,000,250/-	100	20	82.96

12. It is submitted that M/s. NESPAK has secured the Highest Combined Score of 82.96, for their Technical & Financial Proposals.

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS:**

The In-house Committee after going through the Financial Proposal as per the Request for Proposal and relevant details concludes that, the M/s. NESPAK is the only firm who secured the combined score of 82.96 qualified Rs. 58,760,283/-Technical & Financial Proposals at their Bid cost of (inclusive of Sales Tax @ 13%) and accordingly recommends to the GMs' Committee for the award of the work.

13. Keeping in view the above, the Evaluation Report is being forwarded to the GMs' Committee for perusal and consideration / recommendation, please.

Asst: Executive Engineer

(P&D) (MEMBER)

Executive Engineer (W) (MEMBER)

Asst: Executive Engineer (W) Asst: Executive Engineer (KV)

(MEMBER)

(MEMBER)

Executive Engineer (D) (MEMBER)

Dy. Chief Engineer-III (CONVENER)