

FINAL EVALUATION REPORT
(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)


1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	Allama Iqbal Open University, Islamabad																		
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage-Two Envelope																		
3.	Title of Procurement:	Procurement Of White Printing Paper (Virgin Cream Wove, Both Sides Glazed) Size:23x36/68 Gsm (Local) For Print Production Unit																		
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	107/2021-22																		
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS482643E																		
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	2022-06-22 - 10:30 AM																		
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	2022-06-22 - 11:00 AM																		
8.	No of Bids Received:	Two (02)																		
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	<p>Evaluation Criteria (Clause-25 of Bidding Documents)</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Bid Evaluation</th> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA</th> </tr> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;">Item Name and Description</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Max Marks</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Past Performance/ Experience of the Bidder (Reg. with GST)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Relevant Experience in Supply of White printing Paper in previous three (03) years (Proof in shape of Purchase Orders/contract agreement/Sales Invoice/Bills. (Relevant experience of bidder in Government Organization, reputed National, multinational companies or organizations certified by government body shall be considered)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Financial Position/ Status</td> <td style="text-align: center;">14</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Technical Evaluation of Quoted Items</td> <td style="text-align: center;">66</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Deduction of Marks (Based upon bad performance/experience with AIOU)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">-5</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">FINAL REMARKS (qualification marks/score is 70 or more, and if score below 70, that is "Not /Qualify") Note: AIOU reserve the right to verify any or all the document at his own source</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Bid Evaluation		TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA		Item Name and Description	Max Marks	Past Performance/ Experience of the Bidder (Reg. with GST)	10	Relevant Experience in Supply of White printing Paper in previous three (03) years (Proof in shape of Purchase Orders/contract agreement/Sales Invoice/Bills. (Relevant experience of bidder in Government Organization, reputed National, multinational companies or organizations certified by government body shall be considered)	10	Financial Position/ Status	14	Technical Evaluation of Quoted Items	66	Deduction of Marks (Based upon bad performance/experience with AIOU)	-5	FINAL REMARKS (qualification marks/score is 70 or more, and if score below 70, that is "Not /Qualify") Note: AIOU reserve the right to verify any or all the document at his own source	
Bid Evaluation																				
TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA																				
Item Name and Description	Max Marks																			
Past Performance/ Experience of the Bidder (Reg. with GST)	10																			
Relevant Experience in Supply of White printing Paper in previous three (03) years (Proof in shape of Purchase Orders/contract agreement/Sales Invoice/Bills. (Relevant experience of bidder in Government Organization, reputed National, multinational companies or organizations certified by government body shall be considered)	10																			
Financial Position/ Status	14																			
Technical Evaluation of Quoted Items	66																			
Deduction of Marks (Based upon bad performance/experience with AIOU)	-5																			
FINAL REMARKS (qualification marks/score is 70 or more, and if score below 70, that is "Not /Qualify") Note: AIOU reserve the right to verify any or all the document at his own source																				
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	The detailed bid evaluation report is as under:																		

Sr. #	Name of Bidder	Marks		Evaluated Cost (Rs.)	Rule/Regulation/SBD/Policy / Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
		Technical (if applicable)	Financial (if applicable)		
1.	M/s A.Z. Enterprises	80	N/A	N/A	Most Advantageous Bidder on the basis of technical qualification.
2.	M/s Abbas Enterprises	Not Qualified	N/A	N/A	Could not obtain minimum technical qualification marks/score i.e. 70.

Most Advantageous Bidder:

M/S A.Z. Enterprises


(Shafqat Ali)
 Assistant Director, Purchase
 Directorate of Purchase & Stores


(Adeel Ayub)
 Deputy Director, Purchase
 Directorate of Purchase & Stores