

FINAL EVALUATION REPORT
(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules-2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: National Bank of Pakistan
 2. Method of Procurement: 36 (b) - Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure,2004
 3. Title of Procurement: **PROCUREMENT OF SWIFT SERVER HARDWARE UPGRADE**
 4. Tender Inquiry No.: NT-03/02/2023-1
 5. PPRA Ref. No. TSE): TS504708E
 6. Date & Time of Bid Submission: 27th April 2023, 3:00 PM
 7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 27th April 2023, 3:30 PM
 8. Number of Bids Received: Five (05)
 9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Mentioned below in Annexure – A
 10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: As follows

| Name of Bidder | Marks | | Evaluated Cost (Amount in USD) | Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basis for Technical Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004. |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Technical (If Applicable) | Financial (If Applicable) | | |
| M/s. Premier Systems (Pvt.) Ltd. | N/A | N/A | 67,354/- | 1 st (Most Advantageous Bid) |
| M/s. Astrontech Solution | N/A | N/A | 81,122/- | 2 nd |
| M/s. Mega Plus | N/A | N/A | 83,292/- | 3 rd |
| M/s. CNS Solution | N/A | N/A | 94,078/- | 4 th |
| M/s. Texitech System | N/A | N/A | N/A | Technically Disqualified |

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s Premier Systems (Pvt.) Ltd. amounting USD 67,354.00/- inclusive of all applicable taxes, for a period of 5 Years.

11. Any other additional / supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share.

Annexure-A: Evaluation Criteria

(Divisional Head)
 Procurement Division
 Logistics, Communications & Marketing Group
 Head Office, NBP, Karachi.

Evaluation Criteria

The evaluation of bids will be conducted in two steps, i.e., Technical Evaluation and Financial Evaluation, as per Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure.

1. Technical Evaluation

Technical Evaluation Criteria is as follows: -

- a. Bidder must submit filled, correct, and complete (in all aspects) documents as required in Bidder's Qualification Criteria as mentioned in Section – V of this document. Failing which its bid will be considered as Technically non-responsive.
- b. The Bidder's quoted solution must comply with the required minimum Technical Specifications as mentioned in Section – V of this document along-with its support and warranty. Failing which its bid will be considered as Technically non-responsive.
- c. Bidder shall submit filled, correct, and complete (in all aspects) documents as required in Technical Specifications as mentioned in Section – V of this document. Failing which its bid will be considered as Technically non-responsive.
- d. If any bidder included proposed solution financial details (i.e., price, cost, etc.) in its TECHNICAL PROPOSAL, its bid will be considered as Technically non-responsive.
- e. If any bidder failed to provide any of the required mandatory documents, its bid will be considered technically non-responsive.

Note: Only the bids complying with the above Technical Evaluation Criteria will be considered as Technically Accepted Bids.

2. Financial Evaluation

Financial Evaluation Criteria is as follows: -

- a. Financial proposals will be opened of the Technically Accepted Bids Only.
- b. The financial proposal of bids found technically non-responsive will be returned un-opened to the respective bidders.
- c. The technically accepted bid quoting the Lowest Price of the required solution as per BOQ mentioned in Section – V of this document will be considered as **Most Advantageous Bid** and will be accepted for the award of contract/PO/LOI.