



NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section
28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(628)/GM/(P&CA)/NHA/2024/382

Islamabad, 25th September, 2024

DIRECTOR GENERAL

PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY
1ST FLOOR FBC BUILDING NEAR STATE BANK,
SECTOR G-5/2, ISLAMABAD.

**SUBJECT: ANNOUNCEMENT OF TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA RULE-35):
CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR DESIGN REVIEW & CONSTRUCTION
SUPERVISION OF INTERCHANGE AT (M-3) ABDUL HAKIM MOTORWAY AT
ESSAN, SHARQUR DISTRICT SHEIKHUPURA, 20 KM FROM M-2**

Reference: PPRA Rule-35

Find enclosed herewith the Combined Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.



(FAYYAZ AHMED)

GENERAL MANAGER (P&CA)

DISTRIBUTION:

ENCL: Evaluation Report along with **Annex- I**

COPY TO:

- Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;
- Dy. Director (P&CA), NHA, Islamabad.

FINAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services for Design Review & Construction Supervision of Interchange at (M-3) Abdul Hakim Motorway at Essan, Sharqpur District Sheikhpura, 20 Km from M-2
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(628)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS533098E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	12 th March, 2024 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	12 th March, 2024 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Ten (10) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

Name of Bidder	Marks			Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical Score (St) (80%)	Financial Score (Sf) (20 %)	Total Score (100%)		
i) M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Pakistan Railway Advisory & Consultancy Services (PRACS) (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s Tareek Consulting Engineers (SMC-Pvt.) Ltd. (Sub-Consultant)	637	197	834	31,470,600	Top scoring firm in combined evaluation (PPRA Rule 36(b) (ix))
ii) M/s Indus Associated Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV M/s ECSP (Pvt.) Ltd., M/s Effective Engineering Expertise-EEE (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s Karakoram Engineers (Sub-Consultant).	618	200	818	30,930,400	2 nd

FINAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

Name of Bidder	Marks			Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical Score (St) (80%)	Financial Score (Sf) (20 %)	Total Score (100%)		
iii) M/s Associated Consulting Engineering (ACE) Ltd. in association with M/s Hadi Engg Consultants, Lahore (Sub-Consultant)	589	172	761	35,926,329	3 rd

*EC is the Evaluated Cost used for evaluation purpose and includes only the cost of competitive component (i.e. Remuneration and Direct Non-Salary Cost) and is exclusive of Provisional Sum, Contingency and Indirect Taxes.

Most Advantageous Consultant: M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Pakistan Railway Advisory & Consultancy Services (PRACS) (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s Tareek Consulting Engineers (SMC-Pvt.) Ltd. (Sub-Consultant)

11. **Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share:** The Procurement was carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations. The bidding was done on QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio. The Contract will be awarded to M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Pakistan Railway Advisory & Consultancy Services (PRACS) (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s Tareek Consulting Engineers (SMC-Pvt.) Ltd. (Sub-Consultant) at evaluated/rebated Consultancy Cost of Pak. Rs. 35,565,602/- which includes Remuneration, Reimbursable Expenses and all applicable Federal, Provisional and Local taxes including GST.

Signature: 

General Manager (P&CA)
National Highway Authority
Islamabad

Official Stamp:

**Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).

Section II.

Proposal Data Sheet

Annex-I to Data Sheet

SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (FTP)

EVALUATION CRITERIA		M.T. Weightage	Rating	Score	Rating	Score
1. Firms Experience		100				
1-a.	General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
1-b.	Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
2. Approach and Methodology		250				
2-a. Appreciation of the Project		70				
(i)	Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs giving a clear understanding/ proof of the project site	(30)				
(ii)	Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii)	Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
2-b. Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives		50				
(i)	Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii)	Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
2-c. Methodology		80				
(i)	Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii)	Quality of Methodology	(20)				
(iii)	Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(30)				
2-d. Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR		10				
2-e.	Work Program	20				
2-f.	Staffing Schedule	20				
3. Key Personnel		450				
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel		25				
a- Design Review (Key-Staff)		70				
i.	Team Leader/ Structure Engineer	70				
b- Construction Supervision (Key-Staff)		355				
i.	Resident Engineer	110				
ii.	Material Engineer	100				
iii.	ARE (Structures)	85				
iv.	Quantity Surveyor	60				
4. Performance Certification from clients		75				
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting		25				
5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)		50				
6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)		50				
TOTAL:		1000				

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0%
 Score: Maximum Weightage x rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.



Consultancy Services for Design Review & Construction Supervision of Interchange at (M-3) Abdul Hakim Motorway at Essan, Sharqpur District Sheikhupura, 20 Km from M-2

Section II.

Proposal Data Sheet

PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

POSITION/ANNOVOR EXPERIENCE	Name	Academic and General Qualification Weightage (00%)		Project related Experience Weightage (00%) (To be based on Project and 5% for Construction Supervision)		Senior and Interim 100% for Interim and 50% for Construction Supervision		OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Rating)	
		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)		
(Show all experts to be evaluated)									
Design Review Team									
i. Team Leader /Structure Engineer									
Construction Supervision (Key-Staff)									
i. Resident Engineer									
ii. Material Engineer									
iii. ARE (Structures)									
iv. Quantity Surveyor									

Rating: - Excellent - 100% Very good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69%
 Non-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100.

* For Qualification and Experience of above Key Personnel, refer to TOR Chapter 5, Clause -5.6 (Qualification and Experience Requirement of Personnel).

** 6 month's older employee - 100%;
 The key professional staff whose duration with the firm is less than six (06) months/ available for the assignment/ associates/ part time available for the assignment in such case status with the firm's marks shall not be allocated.

