TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: <u>Pakistan Telecommunication Authority</u>

2. Method of Procurement : <u>Tender Notice (Single Stage-Two Envelop)</u>

3. Title of Procurement: Repair/Maintenance of Washrooms and Other Misc. Civil

Works at PTA HQ's Building, F-5/1, Islamabad

4. Tender Inquiry No: <u>PF : 773/2024</u>

5. PPRA Ref. No. (TSE): <u>TS543866E</u>

6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 8th August, 2024 by 11:00 A.M

7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 8th August, 2024 by 11:30 A.M

8. No of Bids Received: <u>Twelve (12)</u>

Criteria for Bid Evaluation: <u>Bidding data clause 16.10 of published bidding document</u>

9. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: <u>Detail is as under</u>

SR#	Name of Bidder	Technical Marks (Obtained Marks/ Total Marks)	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/ Basis for Technical Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
01	M/s Robust Construction Solution	51.66/70	Technically qualified as per Technical Evaluation Criteria – Clause 16.10.
02	M/s Synergy Engineering Services	60.66/70	Technically qualified as per Technical Evaluation Criteria – Clause 16.10.
03	M/s Anchor Associates	46.5/70	Technically disqualified as per Technical Evaluation Criteria – Clause 16.10.
04	M/s SBS Engineers Pvt Ltd	41.66/70	Technically disqualified as per Technical Evaluation Criteria – Clause 16.10.

	NATA NO. 1 TZ 1	3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
05	M/s Nayab Kokar Construction Co.	33.83/70	Technically disqualified as per
	Construction Co.		Technical Evaluation Criteria –
	*		Clause 16.10.
06	M/s Mian Shah Faraz	43/70	Technically disqualified as per
	& Sons		Technical Evaluation Criteria –
			Clause 16.10.
07	M/s AR & FD	Not Applicable	Technically dis-qualified as
	Traders (SMC-	. тетт фриссии	per Technical Evaluation
	Private) Limited		Criteria – Clause 16.10 due to
	,		
			non-fulfillment of mandatory
			criteria i.e. Completion Letter
			not provided.
	M/s Javed Sons &		
08		Not Applicable	Technically dis-qualified as
	Brothers Const		per Technical Evaluation
	Firms		Criteria – Clause 16.10 due to
			non-fulfillment of mandatory
			criteria i.e. Completion Letter
			not provided.
09	M/s Buildineers Pvt	Not Applicable	Technically dis-qualified as
	Ltd		per Technical Evaluation
			Criteria – Clause 16.10 due to
			non-fulfillment of mandatory
			criteria i.e. Completion Letter
			not provided.
			not provided.
10	M/s Barkhi	Not Applicable	Technically dis-qualified as
	Construction	Hot Applicable	per Technical Evaluation
	Company Pvt. Ltd		
	Company 1 vi Liu		Criteria – Clause 16.10 due to
			non-fulfillment of mandatory
			criteria i.e. Completion Letter

			not provided.
11	M/s HS Builders	Not Applicable	Technically dis-qualified as per Technical Evaluation Criteria – Clause 16.10 due to non-fulfillment of mandatory criteria i.e. PEC Certificate is not Valid.
12	M/s Builden Engineering Construction	Not Applicable	Technically dis-qualified as per Technical Evaluation Criteria – Clause 16.10 due to non-fulfillment of mandatory criteria i.e. Completion Letter not provided.

10. Any other additional / supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share. N/A

Inamullah

Assitant Director (Civil Works)

Member/Secretary

Engr. Asif Saced
Director (Civil Works)

Member

Muhammad Shafique

Director (Enforcement Wireline & Coord)

Head of Committee