



# NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section

28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(563-C)/GM/(P&CA)/NHA/2023/-567 Islamabad, 21 August, 2023

## Director General

Public Procurement Regulatory Authority  
1st Floor FBC Building near State Bank,  
Sector G-5/2, Islamabad

Subject: **ANNOUNCEMENT OF FINAL EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA  
RULE-35):  
CONSULTANCY SERVICES TO CARRY OUT THIRD PARTY  
VALIDATION ON NHA PROJECTS**

Reference: PPRA Rule-35

Find enclosed herewith the Final Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.

  
(SAMI-UR-RAHMAN)  
General Manager (P&CA)

## **Distribution:**

**Encl:** Evaluation Report along with **Annex- I**

## **Copy to:**

- Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;
- Dy. Director (P&CA), NHA, Islamabad.
- Assistant Director (P&CA), NHA, Islamabad.



# FINAL EVALUATION REPORT

## (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services to Carry out Third Party Validation on NHA Projects
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(563-C)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS499041E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	19 <sup>th</sup> January, 2023 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	19 <sup>th</sup> January at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Five (05) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

Name of Bidder	Marks			Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD**/Policy/Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical Score (St) (80%)	Financial Score (Sf) (20 %)	Total Score (100%)		
1) M/s Finite Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s Effective Engineering Expertise (Pvt.) Ltd.	586	200	786	95,821,368	Top scoring firm in combined evaluation (PPRA Rule 36(b) (ix))
2) M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s HARZA Consultants (SMC-Pvt.) Ltd.	610	Non-Responsive			Data sheet of the RFP, ITC Clause 20.1 (vi) & (ix)
3) M/s Rehman Habib Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s EASE PAK Engineering services (Pvt.) Ltd. and M/s KPMG Taseer Hadi & Co.	563	Non-Responsive			Data sheet of the RFP, ITC Clause 20.1 (vi) & (ix)

# FINAL EVALUATION REPORT


(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

Name of Bidder	Marks			Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical Score (St) (80%)	Financial Score (Sf) (20 %)	Total Score (100%)		
4) M/s SAMPAK International (Pvt.) Ltd.	511	Technically Dis-Qualified			(Less than 70%.) PPRA Rule 36(b)(v)
5) M/s SKAFS Consultancy (Pvt.) Ltd. in association with M/s Engineering Services (UET) Pakistan (Pvt.) Ltd. M/s INTECO International Engineering Consulting		Non-Responsive PPRA Rule 36(b) (v)			Non-Responsive PPRA Rule 36(b) (v)

\*EC is the Evaluated Cost used for evaluation purpose and includes only the cost of competitive component (i.e. Remuneration and Direct Non-Salary Cost) and is exclusive of Provisional Sum, Contingency and Indirect Taxes.

**Top Ranked Bidder:** M/s Finite Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s Effective Engineering Expertise (Pvt.) Ltd.

11. **Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share:** The Procurement was carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations. The bidding was done on QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio. The Contract will be awarded to M/s Finite Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s Effective Engineering Expertise (Pvt.) Ltd. at evaluated Consultancy Cost of Pak. Rs. 130,831,001/- which includes Remuneration, Reimbursable Expenses and all applicable Federal, Provincial and Local taxes including GST.

Signature: 

General Manager (P&CA)  
National Highway Authority  
Islamabad

Official Stamp: .....

\*\*Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).

# National Highway Authority



**Annex-I**

**Criteria**

*FOR*

**Final Evaluation**

**Consultancy Services to Carry out Third  
Party Validation on NHA Projects**

**August, 2023**

*A*

**SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (FTP)**

EVALUATION CRITERIA		Max. Weightage	Firm 1		Firm 2	
			Rating	Score	Rating	Score
<b>1. Firms Experience</b>		<b>100</b>				
	1-a. General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
	1-b. Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
<b>2. Approach and Methodology</b>		<b>250</b>				
	2-a. <u>Appreciation of the Project</u>	70				
	(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs giving a clear understanding/ proof of the project site	(30)				
	(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
	(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
	2-b. <u>Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives</u>	50				
	(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
	(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
	2-c. <u>Methodology</u>	80				
	(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
	(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)				
	(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(30)				
	2-d. Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR	10				
	2-e. Work Program	20				
	2-f. Staffing Schedule	20				
<b>3. Key Personnel</b>		<b>450</b>				
	Firm affidavit for presence of personnel	25				
	<b>Key-Personnel</b>					
i.	Team Leader / Contract Specialist (Local)	90				
ii.	Highway/Pavement Expert (Local)	80				
iii.	Financial Expert (Local)	70				
iv.	Structure/Bridge Expert (Local)	90				
v.	Material Expert (Local)	60				
vi.	Quantity Surveyor (Local)	35				
<b>4. Performance Certification from clients</b>		<b>75</b>				
	Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting	25				
<b>5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)</b>		<b>50</b>				
<b>6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)</b>		<b>50</b>				
<b>TOTAL:</b>		<b>1000</b>				



Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0%  
 Score: Maximum Weightage x rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.

Section II. Instructions to Consultants

**PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET**

POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE	Name	Academic and General Qualification* Weightage 30%		Project related Experience Weightage 65%		Status with the Firm** 05%		OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings)
		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	(A+B+C)
(Show all experts to be evaluated)								
<b>Key-Personnel</b>								
a) Team Leader / Contract Specialist (Local)								
b) Highway/Pavement Expert (Local)								
c) Financial Expert (Local)								
d) Structure/Bridge Expert (Local)								
e) Material Expert (Local)								
f) Quantity Surveyor (Local)								

Rating: - Excellent - 100%      Very good - 90-99%      Above Average - 80-89%      Average - 70-79%      Below Average - 1-69%  
 Non-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100.

\* For Qualification of above Key Personnel, refer to Terms of Reference (ToR).

\*\* 6 month's older employee - 100%;  
 The key professional staff whose duration with the firm is less than six (06) months/ available for the assignment/ associates/ part time available for the assignment in such case status with the firm's marks shall not be allocated.

