

FINAL EVALUATION REPORT: NASTP-GREENAI-SOFTWARE/RFP01/2023
(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. **Name of Procuring Agency:** Ministry of Defence through the Project Director of its GreenAI Project Management Unit
2. **Method of Procurement:** Single Stage-Two Envelope
3. **Title of Procurement:** Development of Cloud-Native Multi-Spectral & Geospatial Sensor Data Processing, Visualization and Dissemination System for Agriculture "AgriVerse & Green-Ai Software Eco-System"
4. **Tender Inquiry No:** NASTP-GREENAI-SOFTWARE/RFP01/2023
5. **PPRA Ref No:** TS496781E
6. **Date & Time of Bid Closing:** 31 May,23, 1500hrs
7. **Date & Time of Bid Opening:** 31 May,23, 1530hrs & 17 July, 23 (Financial)
8. **No of Bids Received:** 04 Bids for Category 'A', 02 Bids for Category 'B' & 02 Bid for Category 'C'
9. **Criteria for Bid Evaluation:** Technically qualified & compliant with bidding requirements
10. **Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:** As follows: -

Category A (Cloud-Native AgriVerse Framework Development)								
S No	Company	Total Cost (Inclusive of Tax)	Evaluation Marks			Overall Evaluated Standing	Most Advantageous Bidder	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
			Technical Marks (70%) (a)	Financial Marks (30%) (b)	Total Marks c=0.7(a)+0.3(b)			
1	M/s Visor Dynamics	54,106,263	66.39	100	76.473	1 st	M/s Visor Dynamics	As per bidding documents
2	M/s Techwards	143,374,400	90.58	37.737	74.727	2 nd		
3	M/s PITB	173,216,383	75.53	31.236	62.242	3 rd		
4	M/s Netsol	-	Disqualified*					
<p>*Reason for Disqualification: The soft copy of financial proposal appended with the technical proposal in a single envelope which covered the bid financial value and its complete details and therefore revealed the financial bid in stage-I (Technical Evaluation Stage), thus violating single stage two envelope bidding procedure mandated by the RFP under PPRA Rule 36(b). Detailed justification for disqualification already shared with the bidder vide Ref AHQ/95013/6/4/9/GREENAI/NASTP dated 07 June, 2023.</p>								

Category B (Geospatial & GIS Software Development)								
S No	Company	Total Cost (Inclusive of Tax) PKR	Evaluation Marks			Overall Evaluated Standing	Most Advantageous Bidder	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
			Technical Marks (70%) (a)	Financial Marks (30%) (b)	Total Marks $c=0.7(a)+0.3(b)$			
1	M/s Visor Dynamics	67,235,637	74.63	100	82.241	1 st	M/s Visor Dynamics	As per bidding documents
2	M/s PITB	87,885,673	79.78	76.503	78.797	2 nd		

Category C (AI / ML Software Development)								
S No	Company	Total Cost (Inclusive of Tax) PKR	Evaluation Marks			Overall Evaluated Standing	Most Advantageous Bidder	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
			Technical Marks (70%) (a)	Financial Marks (30%) (b)	Total Marks $c=0.7(a)+0.3(b)$			
1	M/s PITB	83,607,793	76.3	100	83.41	1 st	M/s PITB	As per bidding documents.
2.	M/s Netsol	-	Disqualified*					

***Reason for Disqualification:** The soft copy of financial proposal appended with the technical proposal in a single envelope which covered the bid financial value and its complete details and therefore revealed the financial bid in stage-I (Technical Evaluation Stage), thus violating single stage two envelope bidding procedure mandated by the RFP under PPRA Rule 36(b). Detailed justification for disqualification already shared with the bidder vide Ref AHQ/95013/6/4/9/GREENAI/NASTP dated 07 June, 2023.



(DR MUJAHID MOHSIN)

Group Captain

Dy PD GreenAI

NASTP, Rawalpindi

Email: dir.tech.projects@acp-nastp.gov.pk

Dated: - 03 August, 2023