

NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section 28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(566)/GM (P&CA)/NHA/2022/453

Islamabad 2.3.. August, 2022

Director General

Public Procurement Regulatory Authority 1st Floor FBC Building near State Bank, Sector G-5/2,

Islamabad

Subject:

ANNOUNCEMENT OF TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT

(PPRA RULE-35):

CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR FEASIBILITY STUDY AND DETAILED DESIGN FOR CONSTRUCTION OF INTERCHANGE ON HAZARA MOTORWAY TO CONNECT ABBOTTABAD AND SHERWAN ROAD RIGHT AFTER FIRST TUNNEL (SHIMLA HILL

TUNNEL) BESIDE SHERWAN ROADREFERENCE:

PPRA RULE-35

Find enclosed herewith the Technical Bid Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.

(Sami-Ur-Rehman) General Manager (P&CA)

Encl: Evaluation Report along with Annex- I

Copy for kind information to:

- Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;

- Director (Tech. to Chairman), NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (Services)-P&CA, NHA, Islamabad.

TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services For Feasibility Study and Detailed Design For Construction Of Interchange on Hazara Motorway to Connect Abbottabad and Sherwan Road Right After First Tunnel (Shimla Hill Tunnel) Beside Sherwan Road
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(566)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS484443E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	19 th July, 2022 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	19 th July, 2022 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	One (01) Proposal was received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Technical Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

	Technical Evaluation				
		Rule/Regulation/SBD*/ Policy/Basis for Technical Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.			
Name of Bidder	Technical Score				
		greatest artists to the second or a con-			
1- M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Finite Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s ZK Enterprises (Pvt.) Ltd. (Sub-Consultant)	756	Top scoring firm in Technical Evaluation (PPRA Rule 30(1).			

11. Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share.

General Manager (P&CA)
National Highway Authority
Islamabad

Official Stamp:....*
*Standard Bidding Document



National Highway Authority



Annex-I Criteria *FOR*

Technical Bid Evaluation

Consultancy Services For Feasibility Study and Detailed Design For Construction Of Interchange on Hazara Motorway to Connect Abbottabad and Sherwan Road Right After First Tunnel (Shimla Hill Tunnel) Beside Sherwan Road

August, 2022

SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (QCBS)

EVALUATION CRITERIA		Firm 1		Firm 2	
	Weightage	Rating	Score	Rating	Score
1. Firms Experience	100				
General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				***************************************
Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
2. Approach and Methodology					************
2-a. Appreciation of the Project	70				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs	(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
2-b. Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives	50				
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
2-c. Methodology	80				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(30)				
2-d. Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR	10				
2-e. Work Program	20				
2-f. Staffing Schedule	20				••
3. Key Personnel					
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel	25				-
i. Team Leader/Senior Highway Engineer	130				
ii. Structural Engineer	100				
iii. Pavement Engineer	100				
iv. Transport Economist	55				
v. Quantity Surveyor	40				
4. Performance Certification from clients					******
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting					
5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength - justification)	25 50				
6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)	50				
TOTAL:	1000				~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
IUIAL:	1000		1	1	



Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0%,

Score: Maximum Weightage rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.

Say No to Corruption

PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE	Name	Academic and Genera Name Qualification Weightage 30%		Project related Experience Weightage 60%		Status with the Firm 10%		OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings)
Show all experts to be evaluated)		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	(A+B+C)
i. Team Leader/Senior Highway Engineer						-		
ii. Structural Engineer				·				
iii. Pavement Engineer		-	 			-	1	
iv. Transport Economist		-		 		 		
v. Quantity Surveyor			1,					

Rating: - Excellent - 100%

Very good - 90-99%

Above Average - 80-89%

Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70%.

