



**NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY**  
OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR (COORD) SOUTH-ZONE

**SAY NO TO CORRUPTION**

NHA Complex, Adj. Drigh Road  
Railway Station Shahra-e-Faisal Karachi.  
Phone No. 021-34602408  
Email Id: dircoods@nha@gmail.com

No. NHA/Director(S.Z)/TER/2024/9567

23 August, 2024


**The Director (MIS),**  
National Highway Authority,  
**Islamabad.**

Subject: **ANNOUNCEMENT OF TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT.**  
**CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR PERIODIC MAINTENANCE/**  
**REHABILITATION WORKS UNDER AMP 2021-22, 2022-23 &**  
**2023-24 IN SOUTH-ZONE**

Ref:- Technical and Financial Bids were received on 25-06-2024

Please find enclosed herewith duly filled and signed **Technical Bid Evaluation Reports** along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject consultancy services as per PPRA Rules-35 for uploading the same on NHA & PPRA Websites.

**Encl. Technical Evaluation Report (01 No)**

  
**(MUHAMMAD HUSSAIN SHAH)**  
Director (Coord) South Zone  
**Member / Secretary**  
Tender Opening/Evaluation Committee  
NHA – Karachi

**Copy for information to:-**

- Member (South Zone) NHA-Karachi.
- General Manager (RAMD) NHA Islamabad
- General Manager (Sindh South/North) NHA Karachi/Sukkur

# Announcement of Technical Evaluation Report

(As per PPRA Rule-35 of PP Rules,2004)

<b>1</b>	Name of Procuring Agency	<b>National Highway Authority</b>	
<b>2</b>	Method of Procurement	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure	
<b>3</b>	Title of Procurement	CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR PERIODIC MAINTENANCE/ REHABILITATION WORKS UNDER AMP 2021-22, 2022-23 & 2023-24 IN SOUTH-ZONE	
<b>4</b>	Tender Inquiry No.	T/21/SZ/Cood/2024	
<b>5</b>	Press Information Department No.	PID (I) No.7277/23 PID (I) 7689/23	
<b>6</b>	PPRA Ref. No.(TSE):	TS540536E	
<b>7</b>	Date & Time of Bid Closing	25th June, 2024 at (1130 HOURS)	
<b>8</b>	Date & Time of Bid Opening	25th June, 2024 at (1100 HOURS)	
<b>9</b>	No of Bids Received	Seven <b>(7)</b> Proposal were received	
<b>10</b>	Criteria for Bid Evaluation	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached Annexure-I	
<b>11</b>	Detail of Bid Evaluation	As mentioned below	
<b>S. #</b>	<b>Name of Bidder</b>	<b>Technical Score (Out of 1000)</b>	<b>Rule / Regulations / SBD*/Policy / Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per PPRA Rule-35 of PP Rules,2004</b>
<b>1</b>	M/s. Techno-Consult International (Pvt) Ltd (Lead Firm) Jv M/s. HAENG CON International Consulting Engineers & Planners	<b>815.8</b>	<b>Technically Qualified</b>
<b>2</b>	M/s. Associated Consulting Engineers ACE Limited (Lead Firm), M/s. Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt) (JV Partner), M/s. Prime Engineering & Testing Consultant (Pvt) Ltd (JV Partner), M/s. BK Consultants (Pvt) Limited (JV Partner), M/s. Scenario Global Consulting Engineering (Pvt) Limited (Sub - Consultant)	<b>792.6</b>	<b>Technically Qualified</b>

3	M/s. PEAS Consulting (Pvt) Ltd (Lead Firm) & M/s. HMR Consulting Engineers (Sub-Consultant)	722.6	<b>Technically Qualified</b>
4	M/s. Umar Munshi Associates Consulting Engineers, Architects & Planners (Lead Partner), M/s. Consulting Associated (CA) (JV Partner) & M/s. Actrow Consultant (ACT) (Sub Consultant)		<b>Dis- Qualified</b> (Due to Below Average Technical Score)
5	M/s. CAMEOS Architects & Consultants (Lead Member), M/s. Indus Associated Consultants (Pvt) Ltd (JV Member), M/s. ESS-I-AAR Planning, Engineering & Services Consultants (JV Member) & M/s. GlaxCon (Sub-Consultant)		<b>Dis- Qualified</b> (Due to Below Average Technical Score)
6	M/s. Osmani & Company (Pvt) Ltd (Lead Firm) M/s. Pavron (Jv) Partner M/s. Karakoram Engineers (Sub Consultant)		<b>Dis-Qualified</b> (PEC Not Provided) M/s. Osmani & Company (Pvt) Ltd (Lead Firm)
7	M/s. Finite Engineering (Pvt) Ltd (Lead Partner) & Jv M/s. AI Engineer Pakistan (Private) Ltd		<b>Dis-Qualified</b> (PEC Expired on 30-06-2023) M/s. Finite Engineering (Pvt) Ltd (Lead Partern)

**11. Any other additional / supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share:** The Procurement was carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations. The bidding was done on QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio.

Signature: 

Official Stamp: 

\*\* Standard Bidding Documents (SBD)  
Karachi.

## SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (QCBS)

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Max. Weightage	Firm 1		Firm 2	
		Rating	Score	Rating	Score
<b>1. Firms Experience</b>	<b>100</b>				
General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
<b>2. Approach and Methodology</b>	<b>250</b>				
<b>2-a. Appreciation of the Project</b>	<b>70</b>				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs	(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
<b>2-b. Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives</b>	<b>50</b>				
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
<b>2-c. Methodology</b>	<b>80</b>				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(30)				
<b>2-d. Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR</b>	<b>10</b>				
<b>2-e. Work Program</b>	<b>20</b>				
<b>2-f. Staffing Schedule</b>	<b>20</b>				
	<b>450</b>				
<b>3. Key Personnel</b>	<b>25</b>				
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel					
i. Chief Resident Engineer (CRE)					
ii. Resident Engineer (RE)					
iii. Assistant Resident Engineer (ARE)					
iv. Material Engineer					
v. Quantity Surveyor (QS)					
<b>4. Performance Certification from clients</b>	<b>75</b>				
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting	25				
<b>5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)</b>	<b>50</b>				
<b>6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)</b>	<b>50</b>				
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>1000</b>				

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0%,

Score: Maximum Weightage rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.



## PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE	Name	Academic and General Qualification Weightage 30%		Project related Experience Weightage 60%		Status with the Firm 10%		OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings)
		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	
(Show all experts to be evaluated)								
i. Chief Resident Engineer (CRE)								
ii. Resident Engineer (RE)								
iii. Assistant Resident Engineer (ARE)								
iv. Material Engineer								
v. Quantity Surveyor (QS)								

**Rating: - Excellent - 100%**      **Very good - 90-99%**      **Above Average - 80-89%**      **Average - 70-79%**      **Below Average - 1-69%**      **Non-complying - 0%**

**Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70%.**

