EVALUATION REPORT

(As per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency:

2. Method of Procurement:

3. Title of Procurement:

4. Tender Inquiry No.:

5. PPRA Ref No. (TSE):

6. Date & Time of Bid closing:

7. Date & Time of Bid Opening:

8. No. of Bids Received:

9. Criteria of Bid Evaluation:

10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:

Cantonment Board Clifton

Single Stage Two Envelop

Annual Maintenance & Repair Works 2021-22

Tender Notice vide dailies "Duniya" "Jang" &

"Dawn" dated 25-05-2021

TS452083E dated 25-05-2021

14-06-2021 at 11:00 am

14-06-2021 at 11:30 am

32 Nos.

Attached as Annex-A

Given Below

S#	Name of Bidder	Technical Evaluation (Marks)	Financial Bid		Lowest evaluated bidder	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/ Policy/ Basis for rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rule, 2004
	D-2 (a) BUILDING		SALES OF LANGE OF		A PAGE CAN PROPERTY.	LANGE TO STATE OF THE STATE OF
1	M/s AL-ZAMAN CONSTRUCTION CO.	65	8.89 % Above		Lowest	Accepted
2	M/s HAIDER BUILDERS	71	8.90 % Above		-	Accepted
3	M/s NIAZ MUHMMAD CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTOR	51	11 % Above		-	Accepted
4	M/s NR ENTERPRISES	53	14 % Above		-	Accepted
5	M/s WM ASSOSIATES	45	-			Non-responsive
1 3 %	D-2 (b) Road			10 mm		
1	M/s MUHAMMAD AZEEM SHAH KHETRAN	87	33.05 % Below		Lowest	Accepted
2	M/s KING ENTERPRISES	89	32.51 % Below		-	Accepted
3	M/s KAIM KHANI & BROTHERS	89	30.44 % Below		-	Accepted
4	M/s KA & BROTHERS	89	26.01 % Below		-	Accepted
5	M/s NIAZ MUHMMAD CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTOR	-	-		-	Not qualified due to non compliance of Asphalt Plant
	D-2 (c) SEWERAGE				3 3 17 17 1	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T
	ę		Rate quoted on MES Sch of Rates2014 (as amended)	Rates quoted for S/F of RPC Manhole covers (Rs.)		
1	M/s HAIDER BUILDERS	69	36.05 % Below	11600/each	Lowest	Accepted
2	M/s AH WARSI & SONS	71	28 % Below	12816/each		Accepted
3	M/s NIAZ MUHMMAD CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTOR	73	23.5 % Below	30000/each	-	Accepted
4	M/s ZAHID & COMPANY	69	21.40 % Below	16500/each		Accepted
5	M/s STANDARD CORPORATION	63	- - 	-	•	Non-responsive due to non-submission of 2% bid security with financial bid

6	M/s NR ENTERPRISES	67	14 % Below	15308/each	-	Accepted
7	M/s AL-ZAMAN CONSTRUCTION CO.	66	13.89 % Below	-	-	Accepted
8	M/s KINGS ENTERPRISES	68	12.31 % Below 28500/each		-	Accepted
9	M/s YOUSUF KHAN & BROTHERS	62	10 % Below	17200/each	-	Accepted
10	M/s RPS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	32	-	-	-	Non-responsive
11	M/s RAFIQ & SONS	44	_	_	_	Non-responsive
12	M/s GATEWAY ENTERPRISES	49	_	_		Non-responsive
SHALL.	D-2 (d) WATER SUPPLY	Saller Million				14011-1esponsive
1	M/s AL-ZAMAN CONSTRUCTION CO.	68	23.83 % Below		Lowest	Accepted
2	M/s KING ENTERPRISES	76	17.33 % Below		-	Accepted
3	M/s QAIM ENTERPRISES	67	17 % Below		-	Accepted
4	M/s STANDARD CORPORATION	68	-		-	Non-responsive due to non-submission of 2% bid security with financial bid
5	M/s NR ENTERPRISES.	67	14 % Below		-	Accepted
6	M/s HAIDER BUILDERS	71	12.50 % Below		-	Accepted
7	M/s YOUSUF KHAN & BROTHERS	72	11.25 % Below		-	Accepted
8	M/s ABDUL GHAFFAR & SONS.	78	5.70 % Below		-	Accepted
9	M/s S. HASSAN ENGINEERS & CONTRACTOR	-	-		-	Disqualified due to poor past experience with CBC.
	D-2 (f) MISC. PUBLIC IMPROVMENT				A. P. A. S.	
1	M/s STANDARD CORPORATION	-			-	Re-tendering to be done in terms of Rule 33 & 38 (2) of PPRA Rule 2004 (as amended) being single bid as amount of contract is sufficiently high. Therefore committee is of the opinion for further competition to ensure transparency. Hence no technical evaluation was carried out.

Executive Officer
Cantonment Board Clifton