



NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section

28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(531)/GM(P&CA)/NHA/2021/ 368

Islamabad, 02 July, 2021

Director General

Public Procurement Regulatory Authority
1st Floor FBC Building near State Bank,
Sector G-5/2, Islamabad

Subject: **ANNOUNCEMENT OF EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA RULE-35):
Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study and Detailed Design
of Dunyapur Interchange on N-5A.**

Reference: PPRA Rule-35

Find enclosed herewith the Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.

(SAMI-UR-REHMAN)
General Manager (P&CA)

Encl: Evaluation Report along with **Annex- I**

Copy for kind information to:

- Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (Tech. to Chairman), NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (P&CA)-III, NHA, Islamabad.

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study and Detailed Design of Dunyapur Interchange on N-5A.
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(531)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS443048E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	10 th March, 2021 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	10 th March, 2021 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Four (04) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

Name of Bidder	Marks			Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical (if applicable)	Financial (if applicable)	Total (out of 1000)		
1) M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s ECOS (SMC-Pvt.) Ltd.	626	200	826	6,720,271	Top scoring firm in combined evaluation (PPRA Rule 36(b) (ix))
2) M/s Associated Consulting Engineers ACE Ltd. in JV with M/s ZAK Consulting Engineers	606	188	794	7,159,133	2 nd
3) M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Latitude Engineering Services Pakistan (Pvt.) Ltd.	617	94	710	14,366,882	3 rd
4) M/s SAMPAK International (Pvt.) Ltd.	530	Financial Proposal Not Opened			PPRA Rule 36(b) (viii)

*EC is the Evaluated Cost used for evaluation purpose and includes only the cost of competitive component (i.e. Remuneration and Direct Non-Salary Cost) and is exclusive of Provisional Sum, Contingency and Indirect Taxes.

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

Top Ranked Bidder: M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s ECOS (SMC-Pvt.) Ltd.

11. **Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share:** The Procurement was carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations. The bidding was done on QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio. The Contract is being awarded to M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s ECOS (SMC-Pvt.) Ltd. at evaluated financial proposal of Pak. Rs. 9,032,716/-.

Signature:.....
General Manager (P&CA)
National Highway Authority
Islamabad

Official Stamp:.....

****Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).**



National Highway Authority



Annex-I

Criteria

FOR

Bid Evaluation


**Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study
and Detailed Design of Dunyapur
Interchange on N-5A.**

July, 2021

SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (QCBS)

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Max. Weightage	Firm 1		Firm 2	
		Rating	Score	Rating	Score
1. Firms Experience	100				
General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
2. Approach and Methodology	250				
2-a. <i>Appreciation of the Project</i>	70				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs	(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
2-b. <i>Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives</i>	50				
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
2-c. <i>Methodology</i>	80				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal*	(30)				
2-d. Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR	10				
2-e. Work Program	20				
2-f. Staffing Schedule	20				
3. Key Personnel	450				
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel**	25				
(i) Team Leader/ Senior Highway Engineer	150				
(ii) Senior Structural/ Bridge Engineer	100				
(iii) Pavement Engineer	35				
(iv) Transport Economist	50				
(v) Quantity Surveyor	90				
4. Performance Certification from clients	75				
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting***	25				
5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)	50				
6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)****	50				
TOTAL:	1000				

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average – 80-89% Average – 70-79% Below Average – 1-69% Non-complying – 0%
 Score: Maximum Weightage x rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.



- * *Conciseness and clarity contains 10 marks and 20 marks will be for the completeness of the proposals which includes but not limited to hard binding, sequential page numbering, signing and stamping of each page of proposal.*
- ** *Firm affidavit for presence of personnel carries 25 marks out of 450 marks (complete in all respect as per specimen annexed at Annex-C placed in Technical Proposal Forms).*
- *** *25 out of 100 marks will be allocated for provision of affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner to the effect that the firm has neither been blacklisted nor any contract rescinded in the past for non-fulfillment of contractual obligations (complete in all respect as per specimen annexed at Annex-B placed in Technical Proposal Forms).*
- **** *Criteria for New firm is the one which has carried out maximum three projects in last six years and Registration with PEC of the firm should not be older than six years. Methodology/plans for engaging the new firms as transfer of knowledge.*

