



NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section

28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(629)/GM/(P&CA)/NHA/2024/297

Islamabad, 24th July, 2024

DIRECTOR GENERAL

PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY
1ST FLOOR FBC BUILDING NEAR STATE BANK,
SECTOR G-5/2, ISLAMABAD.

**SUBJECT: ANNOUNCEMENT OF TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA RULE-35):
CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR DESIGN REVIEW & CONSTRUCTION
SUPERVISION FOR DUALIZATION OF ROAD FROM CHISTIAN TO CHAK
NO. 46/3R VIA DAHRNWALA (41.154 KM) INCLUDING TWO LANE LINK
ROAD FROM DAHRNWALA TO CHAK 175M (4.859 KM)**

Reference: PPRA Rule-35

Find enclosed herewith the Technical Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.

(FAYYAZ AHMED)

GENERAL MANAGER (P&CA)

DISTRIBUTION:

ENCL: Evaluation Report along with **Annex- I**

COPY TO:


- Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;
- Dy. Director (P&CA), NHA, Islamabad.

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services for Design Review & Construction Supervision for Dualization of Road from Chistian to Chak no. 46/3R via Dahrnwala (41.154 Km) Including two lane link road from Dahrnwala to Chak 175m (4.859 Km)
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(629)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS538281E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	27 th May, 2024 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	27 th May, 2024 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Six (06) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

Name of Bidder	Technical Score (out of 1000)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
1) M/s Associated Consulting Engineers ACE Ltd. in JV with M/s PEAS Consulting (Pvt.) Ltd., M/s Indus Associated Consultants and in association with M/s Hadi Engineering Consultants (Sub-Consultant)	789	Technically Qualified
2) M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s New Vision Engineering Consultant and in association with M/s Bosphorus Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd. (Sub-Consultant)	777	Technically Qualified
M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s BK Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s Tareek Consulting Engineers (SMC-Pvt.) Ltd. (Sub-Consultant)	776	Technically Qualified
4) M/s Engineering General Consultant (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s PAVRON, M/s Power Aim Engineering services	768	Technically Qualified





EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

Name of Bidder	Technical Score (out of 1000)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
and in association with M/s Manzoor Arbab Associates Engineering & Technical Associates (MAA) (Sub-Consultant)		
5) M/s Asif Ali & Associates (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s AA Associates and in association with M/s Mukaab Consultant (Sub-Consultant)	749	Technically Qualified
6) M/s Techno Consult International (Pvt.) Ltd. in association with M/s HA ENG CON International (Sub-Consultant)	724	Technically Qualified

11. **Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share:** The Procurement is being carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations using the QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio.

Signature: 
Official Stamp: 
**Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).



National Highway Authority



Annex-I

Criteria

FOR

Technical Evaluation

**CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR DESIGN
REVIEW & CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION
FOR DUALIZATION OF ROAD FROM
CHISTIAN TO CHAK NO. 46/3R VIA
DAHRNWALA (41.154 KM) INCLUDING TWO
LANE LINK ROAD FROM DAHRNWALA TO
CHAK 175M (4.859 KM)**



JULY, 2024

SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (FTP)

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Max. Weightage	Firm 1		Firm 2	
		Rating	Score	Rating	Score
1. Firms Experience	100				
1-a. General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
1-b. Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
2. Approach and Methodology	250				
2-a. <u>Appreciation of the Project</u>	70				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs giving a clear understanding/ proof of the project site	(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
2-b. <u>Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives</u>	50				
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
2-c. <u>Methodology</u>	80				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(30)				
2-d. Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR	10				
2-e. Work Program	20				
2-f. Staffing Schedule	20				
3. Key Personnel	450				
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel	25				
a- Design Review (Key-Staff)	70				
i. Team Leader Engineer	70				
b- Construction Supervision (Key-Staff)	355				
i. Resident Engineer	110				
ii. Material Engineer	100				
iii. ARE (Highways)	85				
iv. Senior Quantity Surveyor	60				
4. Performance Certification from clients	75				
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting	25				
5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)	50				
6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)	50				
TOTAL:	1000				

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average – 80-89% Average – 70-79% Below Average – 1-69% Non-complying – 0%
 Score: Maximum Weightage x rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.



PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE	Name	Academic and General Qualification*		Project related Experience Weightage 60% for [Design, Review] and 65% for [Construction Supervision]		Status with the Firm** 10% for [Design Review] and 05% for [Construction Supervision]		OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings) (A+B+C)
		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	
(Show all experts to be evaluated)								
Design Review Team								
i. Team Leader /Structure Engineer								
Construction Supervision (Key-Staff)								
i. Resident Engineer								
ii. Material Engineer								
iii. ARE (Highways)								
iv. Senior Quantity Surveyor								

Rating: - Excellent - 100% Very good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69%
Non-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100.

* For Qualification and Experience of above Key Personnel, refer to TOR Chapter 5, Clause -5.6 (Qualification and Experience Requirement of Personnel).

** 6 month's older employee - 100%;
Less than 6 months or associates-0% (For more than six months, salary account certificate from employer duly attested by respective bank shall be attached with the proposal.

