EVALUATION REPORT
(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency	**National Transmission and Despatch Co. Ltd (NTDC)**
2.	Method of Procurement	**International Competitive Bidding (ICB) & Single Stage Two Envelope**
3.	Title of Procurement	**PROCUREMENT OF 132KV 400KVAR CAPACITOR CELLS FOR 220KV GRID STATION NTDC, MARDAN**
4.	Tender Inquiry No.	**NOR-111-2020**
5.	PPRA Ref No.	**TS439541E**
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing	**21.01.2021 at 11:00 A.M.**
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening	**Technical 21.01.2021 at 11:30 A.M. Financial 27/04/2021 at 11:30 A.M.**
8.	No of Bids Received	**02 (Two).**
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation	**Evaluation and Comparison of Bid as per Section-I "Instructions to Bidders" of Bidding Documents**
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation	

Name of the Bidders	Marks		Quoted bid Price including inland transportation and all Taxes	Evaluated/Corrected Bid Price in PKR including Inland Transportation (Exclusive of GST)	Remarks
	Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)			
M/s. EPESOL Pvt. Ltd. Lahore.	**NIL**	**NIL**	**32,623,500/-**	**32,623,500/-**	**Responsive**
M/s Shandong Takai Power Electric Co. Ltd., China.	**Non-Responsive** • The bidder did not provide valid power of attorney with the bid as per Section II, clause IB.11 I c) i) of the tender document. In this regard a post bid clarification was invited from the bidder by CE SSD but the provided power of attorney by the bidder did not meet the requirements of Section II, **clause IB.11 I c) i)** of the tender document which is reproduced as under: *"Written Power of Attorney, duly notarized, and authorizing the signatory of the bid to act for and on behalf of the Bidder in the following manner:* *i) For foreign firm/bidder, board resolution and power of attorney **(duly attested by Consulate General of Pakistan/Pakistan Embassy)** are required."* • The bidder's manufacturing and performance criteria could not be ascertained from the documents provided in the bid. In this regard a post bid clarification was invited from the bidder by CE SSD. The bidder in its reply provided documents meeting the manufacturing				

n+de 9621 pdt 39605

	criteria of the tender document but failed to substantiate the performance/operational experience criteria as per section II IB3A 3 of the tender document. Hence the bidder is considered as technically non-responsive.

1. **Lowest Evaluated Responsive Bidder** — **M/s. EPESOL Pvt. Ltd. Lahore.**

2. **Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share** — Nil

Signature_____

Official Stamp CHIEF ENGINEER (MP&M) NTDC

Note: *The above evaluation results are based on detailed Bid Evaluation Report (BER) and correct to best of our knowledge, however, in case of any discrepancy, the content of original BER shall prevail*