EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Karachi Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (KIDCL),

Ministry of Communication, Government of Pakistan.

2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Two Envelop under Rule 36(a) Public

Procurement Rules 2004.

3. Title of Procurement: Re- Construction of Nishtar Road from Teen Hatti to Napier

Road, Karachi.

4. Tender Inquiry No: Re- Construction Of Nishter Road

PPRA Ref. No (TSE): TS356039E

6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 28th May, 2018, 1500 Hrs.

7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 28th May, 2018, 1530 Hrs.

8. No. of Bids Received: Twenty (20) – As mentioned below

9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding

documents. Evaluation criterion is reproduced below for

Reference

Evaluation/Qualification Criteria

A) The bidder must meet all mandatory criteria

- i) Registration with PEC
- ii) Registration with Income Tax Department
- iii) Conflict of Interest
- iv) Blacklisting
- v) Litigation History
- vi) History of Non Performing Contracts
- vii) Failure to Sign Contract
- viii) Financial Situation

B) Detailed Technical Evaluation

The eligible bids were evaluated under detailed Technical Evaluation for following aspects based on PEC Standard / Guide lines.

- a. Experiences
 - Average Annual Turnover of the last three years construction turnover (Marks Allocated 20).
 - Firms Experience of similar contracts completed during last ten years (Marks Allocated 20).
- b. Personnel proposed for the project (Marks Allocated 10).
- c. Equipment proposed for the project (Marks Allocated 10)
- d. Financial Soundness (Marks Allocated 35).
- e. Litigation (Marks Allocated 5)



The total sum of above categories / sub categories is 100 Marks. It is mandatory for bidders to obtain 75marks out of said total on aggregate to qualify and obtain 50% marks to get qualified in each category.

For Technical Qualification Scoring System is adopted.

Twenty (20) bidders submitted sealed bids.
 Thirty Three (33) firms obtained the Bidding Documents.

The bidders who submitted Sealed Bid on the closing date

- 1) M/s Usmani International Associates
- 2) M/s Sahara Tamiraat Shahbaz Enterprises (JV)
- 3) M/s Kamran & Kampany
- 4) M/s Fazal & Brothers
- 5) M/s A.A. Quality Builders
- 6) M/s NK & Company
- 7) M/s Sultan Mahmood & Company
- 8) M/s Umer Jan & Co.
- 9) M/s Zahir Khan & Brothers
- 10) M/s CRBEG Al-Noor Builders (JV)
- 11) M/s Kaim Khani & Brothers Niaz M Khan & Brothers (JV)
- 12) M/s R.M.S (Pvt.) Ltd
- 13) M/s HBZ Construction Co.
- 14) M/s Eastern Construction Co
- 15) M/s Samad Khan & Brothers
- 16) M/s Muhammad Siddique & Brothers
- 17) M/s Sachal Engineering
- 18) M/s Sherjan Moskhail & Sons
- 19) M/s Haji Syed Ameer & Brothers
- 20) M/s NPI Construction & Engineering (JV)

The Unqualified bidders and Reasons for failure to qualify

S No	Name of Bidder	Reasons for Failure to Qualify The Technical Proposals			
1	M/s Sherjan Moskhail & Sons	Contractors bid is not substantially responsive vide IB.26			
2	M/s HBZ Construction Co.	 Contractors bid is not substantially responsive vide IB.26. Contractors have not complied with the qualifying requirements of the Experience Contractors bid is not substantially responsive vide IB.26. Contractors have not complied with the qualifying requirements of the Experience 			
3	M/s Eastern Construction Co				
4	M/s Muhammad Siddique & Brothers	 Contractors bid is not substantially responsive vide IB.26. Contractors have not complied with the qualifying requirements of the Experience 			
5	M/s A.A. Quality Builders	 Contractors bid is not substantially responsive vide IB.26. Contractors have not complied with the qualifying requirements of the Experience 			
6	M/s R.M.S (Pvt.) Ltd	Contractors have not complied with the qualifying requirements of the Experience			
7	M/s Fazal & Brothers	Contractors bid is not substantially responsive vide IB.26.			

8	M/s Haji Syed Ameer & Brothers	 Contractors have not complied with the qualifying requirements of the Experience 		
9	M/s Kamran & Kampany	 Contractors have not complied with the qualifying requirements of the Experience 		
M/s Sahara Tamiraat – M/s Shahbaz Enterprises (JV) M/s Samad Khan & Brothers		Contractors have not complied with the qualifying requirements of the Experience		
		 Contractors bid is not substantially responsive vide IB.26. Contractors have not complied with the qualifying requirements of the Experience 		

The Qualified bidders

	Name of Bidder	Bid Price (including Provisional Sum) (PKR)	Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/ Policy/ Basis for Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004
1	M/s. Kaim Khani & Brothers – M/s Niaz M. Khan & Brothers (JV)	444,444,444	444,444,436	27.94% Below
2	M/s NK & Company	477,594,715	477,594,715	22.56% Below
3	M/s. NPI Construction & Engineering	417,087,940	484,127,940	21.50% Below
4	M/s. Umer Jan & Company	483,605,540	487,763,540	20.92% Below
5	M/s. Sultan Mahmood & Company	490,676,453	490,676,453	20.44% Below
6	M/s Usmani International Associates (Pvt.) Ltd	524,937,021	555,680,551	9.9% Below
7	M/s. CRBE Group Co. Ltd – M/s Al Noor Builders & Construction Hub (Pvt) Ltd. (JV)	565,723,112	565,723,112	8.27% Below
8	M/s. Sachal Engineering Works (Pvt) Ltd	599,260,845	599,260,845	2.84% Below
9	M/s. Zahir Khan & Brothers	868,648,839	703,476,366	14.06 Above

Lowest Bidder:

M/s Kaim Khani & Brothers - M/s Niaz M. Khan & Brothers (JV)

Any other additional / supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share.

Signature:

Official Stamp: ..