



NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section
28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(603)/GM/(P&CA)/NHA/2023/- 392

Islamabad, 16 June, 2023

Director General

Public Procurement Regulatory Authority
1st Floor FBC Building near State Bank,
Sector G-5/2, **Islamabad**

Subject: **ANNOUNCEMENT OF TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA
RULE-35):
CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR DESIGN REVIEW AND
CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION FOR CONSTRUCTION OF
FLYOVER AT THE JUNCTION OF N-5 & N-65 AT SUKKUR**

Reference: *PPRA Rule-35*

Find enclosed herewith the Technical Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.


(SAMI-UR-RAHMAN)
General Manager (P&CA)

Distribution:

Encl: Evaluation Report along with **Annex- I**

Copy to:

- Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (Tech. to Chairman), NHA, Islamabad;
- Dy. Director (P&CA), NHA, Islamabad.



EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services for Design Review and Construction Supervision for Construction of Flyover at the Junction of N-5 & N-65 at Sukkur
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(603)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS506670E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	17 th April, 2022 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	17 th April, 2023 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Nine (09) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

Name of Bidder	Technical Score (out of 1000)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
1) M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s EPCM Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. (Sub-Consultant)	763	Technically Qualified
2) M/s Associated Consulting Engineers ACE Ltd. in JV with M/s EGC (Pvt.) Ltd. and M/s Tareek Consulting Engineering (SMC-Pvt.) Ltd.	756	Technically Qualified
3) M/s AA Associates in JV with M/s Asif Ali & Associates (Pvt.) Ltd. M/s Actrow Consultant (Sub-Consultant)	728	Technically Qualified
4) M/s Indus Associates Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s G3 Engineering Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd., M/s Effective Engineering Expertise (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s karakoram Engineers (Sub-Consultant)	721	Technically Qualified
5) M/s Cameos Architects and Planners in JV with M/s Hussain	720	Technically Qualified



EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

Name of Bidder	Technical Score (out of 1000)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
Engineering Services, M/s National Infrastructure Engineering Services and M/s The Group of Engineers (Sub-Consultant)		
6) M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Bk Consultants (SMC-Pvt.) Ltd. and M/s Technical Associates (Sub-Consultant)	704	Technically Qualified
7) M/s PEAS Consulting (Pvt.) Ltd. and in JV with M/s Town Planning Consultants (Sub-Consultant)	685	Technically Dis-Qualified (Less than 70%.) PPRA Rule 36(b) (v)
8) M/s Umar Munshi Associates in JV with M/s Concept Planning & Engineering Services (Sub-Consultant)	605	Technically Dis-Qualified (Less than 70%.) PPRA Rule 36(b) (v)
9) M/s Osmani & Co (Pvt.) Ltd.	Non-Responsive Data Sheet of the RFP (LOI Clause 20.1 (iv))	

11. **Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share:** The Procurement is being carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations using the QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio.

Signature: 

Official Stamp: 
General Manager (P&CA)
National Highway Authority
Islamabad

****Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).**

National Highway Authority



Annex-I

Criteria

FOR

Technical Evaluation

**Consultancy Services for Design Review and
Construction Supervision for Construction of
Flyover at the Junction of
N-5 & N-65 at Sukkur**

June, 2023

A handwritten signature in blue ink, located in the bottom left corner of the page.

SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (FTP)

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Max. Weightage	Firm 1		Firm 2	
		Rating	Score	Rating	Score
1. Firms Experience	100				
1-a. General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
1-b. Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
2. Approach and Methodology	250				
2-a. <u>Appreciation of the Project</u>	70				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs giving a clear understanding/ proof of the project site	(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
2-b. <u>Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives</u>	50				
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
2-c. <u>Methodology</u>	80				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(30)				
2-d. <u>Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR</u>	10				
2-e. <u>Work Program</u>	20				
2-f. <u>Staffing Schedule</u>	20				
3. Key Personnel	450				
<u>Firm affidavit for presence of personnel</u>	25				
a- <u>Design Review Key-Staff</u>					
i. Team Leader (Engineer)	60				
b- <u>Construction Supervision Key-Staff</u>					
i. Resident Engineer	100				
ii. Material Engineer	70				
iii. Contract Specialist (Intermittent Basis)	40				
iv. Environmental Specialist (Intermittent Basis)	40				
v. ARE Structure	70				
vi. Senior Quantity Surveyor	45				
4. Performance Certification from clients	75				
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting	25				
5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)	50				
6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)	50				
TOTAL:	1000				

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average – 80-89% Average – 70-79% Below Average – 1-69% Non-complying – 0%
 Score: Maximum Weightage x rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.



Consultancy Services for Design Review and Construction Supervision for Construction of Flyover at the Junction of N-5 & N-65 at Sukkur

Section II. Instructions to Consultants

PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE	Name	Academic and General Qualification* Weightage 30%		Project related Experience Weightage 60% for Design, Review and 65% for Construction Supervision		Status with the Firm** 10% for Design, Review and 05% for Construction Supervision		OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings)
		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	(A+B+C)
(Show all experts to be evaluated)								
Design Review Key-Staff								
Team Leader (Engineer)								
Construction Supervision Key-Staff								
Resident Engineer								
Material Engineer								
Contract Specialist (Intermittent Basis)								
Environmental Specialist (Intermittent Basis)								
ARE Structure								
Senior Quantity Surveyor								
Resident Engineer								

Rating: - Excellent - 100%
Non-complying - 0%

Very good – 90-99%

Above Average – 80-89%

Average – 70-79%

Below Average – 1-69%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100.

* For Qualification of above Key Personnel, refer to TOR Clause 5.6.

** 6 month's older employee - 100%;

The key professional staff whose duration with the firm is less than six (06) months/ available for the assignment/ associates/ part time available for the assignment in such case status with the firm's marks shall not be allocated.

