



NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section

28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(601)/GM/(P&CA)/NHA/2023/-391

Islamabad, 16..June,2023

Director General

Public Procurement Regulatory Authority
1st Floor FBC Building near State Bank,
Sector G-5/2, **Islamabad**

Subject: **ANNOUNCEMENT OF TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA RULE-35):**
CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR DETAILED DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION FOR CONSTRUCTION OF KHESHGI INTERCHANGE ON M-1 MOTORWAY

Reference: *PPRA Rule-35*

Find enclosed herewith the Technical Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.


(SAMI-UR-RAHMAN)
General Manager (P&CA)

Distribution:

Encl: Evaluation Report along with **Annex- I**

Copy to:

- Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (Tech. to Chairman), NHA, Islamabad;
- Dy. Director (P&CA), NHA, Islamabad.



EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services for Detailed Design and Construction Supervision for Construction of Khesghi Interchange on M-1 Motorway
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(601)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS504346E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	21 st March, 2023 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	21 st March, 2023 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Six (06) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

Name of Bidder	Technical Score (out of 1000)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
1) M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s New Vision Engineering Consultant in association with M/s Karakoram Engineers (Sub-Consultant)	773	Technically Qualified
2) M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Prime Engineering & Testing Consultants and in association with M/s Tareek Consulting Engineer (SMC-Pvt.) Ltd. (Sub-Consultant)	757	Technically Qualified
3) M/s Associated Consulting Engineers ACE Ltd. in JV with M/s PAVRON and in association with M/s ETA Engineering & Technical Associates, Peshawar	753	Technically Qualified
4) M/s Asif Ali & Associates (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV M/s A. A Associates and in association with M/s Concept Planning & Engineering Services (Sub-Consultant)	679	Technically Dis-Qualified (Less than 70%.) PPRA Rule 36(b) (v)

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

Name of Bidder	Technical Score (out of 1000)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
5) M/s Engineering General Consultant EGC (Pvt.) Ltd in association with M/s Scenario Global Consulting Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd (Sub-Consultant)	673	Technically Dis-Qualified (Less than 70%.) PPRA Rule 36(b) (v)
6) M/s Cameos Engineers Architect Planners and Social Development Consultant in JV with M/s Hussain Engineering Services, M/s National Infrastructure Engineering Services and in association with M/s The Group of Engineers (Sub-Consultant)	651	Data Technically Dis-Qualified (Less than 70%.) PPRA Rule 36(b) (v)

11. **Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share:**
The Procurement is being carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations using the QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio.

Signature:.....  General Manager (P&CA)

National Highway Authority

Official Stamp:..... Islamabad

****Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).**



National Highway Authority



Annex-I

Criteria

FOR

Technical Evaluation

**Consultancy Services for Detailed Design and
Construction Supervision for Construction of
Kheshgi Interchange on M-1 Motorway**

June, 2023

A handwritten signature in blue ink is located in the bottom left corner of the page. The signature is stylized and appears to be a set of initials or a name.

Section II. Instructions to Consultants

Annex-I to Data Sheet

SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (FTP)

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Max. Weightage	Firm 1		Firm 2	
		Rating	Score	Rating	Score
1. Firms Experience	100				
1-a. General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
1-b. Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
2. Approach and Methodology	250				
2-a. <u>Appreciation of the Project</u>	70				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs giving a clear understanding/ proof of the project site	(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
2-b. <u>Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives</u>	50				
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
2-c. <u>Methodology</u>	80				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(30)				
2-d. <u>Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR</u>	10				
2-e. <u>Work Program</u>	20				
2-f. <u>Staffing Schedule</u>	20				
3. Key Personnel	450				
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel	25				
a- Design, Review Key-Staff					
i. Team Leader/ Highway Engineer	40				
ii. Structure Engineer	25				
iii. Pavement Specialist	25				
iv. Transport Economist	25				
v. Quantity Surveyor	20				
b- Construction Supervision Key-Staff					
i. Resident Engineer/Highway Engineer	100				
ii. ARE (Structure) Engineer	80				
iii. Material Engineer	60				
iv. Quantity Surveyor	50				
4. Performance Certification from clients	75				
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting	25				
5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)	50				
6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)	50				
TOTAL:	1000				

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0%
 Score: Maximum Weightage x rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.



Section II. Instructions to Consultants

PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE	Name	Academic and General Qualification* Weightage 30%		Project related Experience Weightage 60% for Design, Review and 65% for Construction Supervision		Status with the Firm** 10% for Design, Review and 05% for Construction Supervision		OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings)
		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	(A+B+C)
(Show all experts to be evaluated)								
Design, Review Key-Staff								
Team Leader/ Highway Engineer								
Structure Engineer								
Pavement Specialist								
Transport Economist								
Quantity Surveyor								
Construction Supervision Key-Staff								
Resident Engineer/Highway Engineer								
ARE (Structure) Engineer								
Material Engineer								
Quantity Surveyor								

Rating: - Excellent - 100%

Very good – 90-99%

Above Average – 80-89%

Average – 70-79%

Below Average – 1-69%

Non-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100.

* For Qualification of above Key Personnel, refer to TOR Clause 5.6.

** 6 month's older employee - 100%;

The key professional staff whose duration with the firm is less than six (06) months/ available for the assignment/ associates/ part time available for the assignment in such case status with the firm's marks shall not be allocated.

