

FINAL EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

	(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)						
1	Name of Procuring Agency:	PAKISTAN PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT					
2	Method of Procurement:	Open Competitive Bidding, - Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure					
3	Title of Procurement:			N OF C.C TUFF PAVE ORANGI, KARACHI.	R / ROAD IN UC-02, WARD-1		
4	Tender Inquiry No.:	NO.RE/PCD-	I/NIT-NA-239(SAP)2021-22/AB/33, D	ated: 14/01/2022		
5	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS470320E o	on Date: 18/0	1/2022			
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	Technical on Financial on	•	at 10:00 A.M. , at 10:00 A.M.			
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	Technical on Financial on	03/02/2022, a 17-06-2022	at 10:30 A.M. , at 10:30 A.M.			
8	No of Bids Received:	05 NO'S					
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Opening Cor	npetitive Bid	ding, - Single Stage Tv	vo Envelope		
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Technical &	& Financial.				
S#	NAME OF BIDDER	MAI Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)	Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD*/ Policy / Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.		
1	M/S ABDUL SATTAR & SONS	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.14,018,707/-	1st Lowest :. Most advantageous bid accepted by the competent authority. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.		
2	M/S HASHAM & CO.	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.14,117,528/-	2nd Lowest:. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.		
3	M/S HANI TRADERS	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.14,868,618/-	3rd Lowest:. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.		
4	M/S GLOBAL ENGINEERING LINKS	DIS- QUALIFIED	N/A	N/A	Intimation regarding disqualification of technical proposal.		
5	M/S MUKHTAR ENTERPRISES	DIS- QUALIFIED	N/A	N/A	Intimation regarding disqualification of technical proposal.		

S/D



FINAL EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

	(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)							
1	Name of Procuring Agency:	PAKISTAN PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT						
2	Method of Procurement:	Open Competitiv	Open Competitive Bidding, - Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure					
3	Title of Procurement:	SR#05/16:CONS KORANGI, KAR		F ROAD / C.C TUFF P.	AVER IN UC-07, DISTRICT			
4	Tender Inquiry No.:	NO.RE/PCD-I/NI	T-NA-239(SAI	P)2021-22/AB/33, Date	d: 14/01/2022			
5	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS470320E on I	Date: 18/01/20)22				
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	Technical on 03 Financial on 1	/02/2022, at 1 7-06-2022, at					
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	Technical on 03 Financial on 1	/02/2022, at 1 7-06-2022, at					
8	No of Bids Received:	04 NO'S						
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Opening Compe	titive Biddinç	g, - Single Stage Two E	Envelope			
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Technical & F	inancial.					
S#	NAME OF BIDDER	Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)	Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD*/ Policy / Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.			
1	M/S KAMALKHAN & BROTHERS	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.13,098,776/-	1st Lowest : Most advantageous bid accepted by the competent authority. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.			
2	M/S POLITE PIPE INDUSTRY.	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.14,561,406/-	2nd Lowest:. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.			
3	M/S ALLIED BUSINESS.	QUALIFIED	Responsive	N/A	Refused to Submit Consent for Extension of Bid Validity.			
4	M/S RIASAT BROTHERS.	NON- RESPONSIVE	N/A	N/A	Non Responsive/ disqualification of technical proposal.			

FINAL EVALUATION REPORT
(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

	(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)						
1	Name of Procuring Agency:	PAKISTAN PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT					
2	Method of Procurement:	Open Competitive Bidding, - Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure					
3	Title of Procurement:	SR#06/16:PROV KORANGI, KAR		AYING C.C TUFF PAV	ER IN UC-12, DISTRICT		
4	Tender Inquiry No.:	NO.RE/PCD-I/NI	T-NA-239(SAI	P)2021-22/AB/33, Date	d: 14/01/2022		
5	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS470320E on [Date: 18/01/20)22			
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	Technical on 03/ Financial on 1	/02/2022, at 1 7-06-2022, at				
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	Technical on 03/ Financial on 1	/02/2022, at 1 7-06-2022, at				
8	No of Bids Received:	04 NO'S					
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Opening Compe	titive Bidding	g, - Single Stage Two E	Envelope		
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Technical & Financial.					
S#	NAME OF BIDDER	MARM Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)	Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD*/ Policy / Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.		
1	M/S ARSHAD ENTERPRISES	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.9,427,095/-	1st Lowest : Most advantageous bid accepted by the competent authority. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.		
2	M/S D.K CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.9,468,434/-	2nd Lowest:. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.		
3	M/S TEBA INTERNATIONAL CONTRACTING	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.9,886,787/-	3rd Lowest:. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.		
4	M/S HAJI HALEEM MUHAMMAD MANDOKHAIL	NON- RESPONSIVE	N/A	N/A	Non Responsive/ disqualification of technical proposal.		



FINAL EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

	(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)							
1	Name of Procuring Agency:	PAKISTAN PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT						
2	Method of Procurement:	Open Competitive Bidding, - Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure						
3	Title of Procurement:			ID LAYING R.C.C. SEV GI, KARACHI.	VERAGE PIPE LINE			
4	Tender Inquiry No.:	NO.RE/PCD-	I/NIT-NA-239((SAP)2021-22/AB/33, [Dated: 14/01/2022			
5	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS470320E o	on Date: 18/0	1/2022				
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	Technical on Financial on	•	at 10:00 A.M. , at 10:00 A.M.				
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	Technical on Financial on	•	at 10:30 A.M. , at 10:30 A.M.				
8	No of Bids Received:	05 NO'S						
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Opening Cor	npetitive Bid	ding, - Single Stage T	wo Envelope			
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Technical 8	& Financial.					
S#	NAME OF BIDDER	Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)	Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD*/ Policy / Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.			
1	M/S PERVAIZ KHAN & BROTHERS	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.8,925,678/-	1st Lowest :. Most advantageous bid accepted by the competent authority. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.			
2	M/S REHMAN CONSTRUCTION CO.	QUALIFIED	Responsive	N/A	Refused to Submit Consent for Extension of Bid Validity.			
3	M/S TEBA INTERNATIONAL CONTRACTING	QUALIFIED	Responsive	N/A	Refused to Submit Consent for Extension of Bid Validity.			
4	M/S AL-MEO BUILDERS	DIS- QUALIFIED	N/A	N/A	Intimation regarding disqualification of technical proposal.			
5	M/S I.I.W ENGINEERING & CONTRACTOR.	DIS- QUALIFIED	N/A	N/A	Intimation regarding disqualification of technical proposal.			

S/D



FINAL EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

	The same of the sa	(AS Per r	Kule 35 of PP	Kules, 2004)			
1	Name of Procuring Agency:	PAKISTAN PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT					
2	Method of Procurement:	Open Competitive Bidding, - Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure					
3	Title of Procurement:		ROVIDING AN		ERAGE PIPE LINE UC-08		
4	Tender Inquiry No.:	NO.RE/PCD-	I/NIT-NA-239	(SAP)2021-22/AB/33, D	ated: 14/01/2022		
5	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS470320E c	on Date: 18/0	1/2022			
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing:		•	at 10:00 A.M. , at 10:00 A.M.			
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening:		•	at 10:30 A.M. , at 10:30 A.M.			
8	No of Bids Received:	07 NO'S					
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Opening Cor	mpetitive Bid	ding, - Single Stage Tw	o Envelope		
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Technical a	& Financial				
S#	NAME OF BIDDER	Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)	Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD*/ Policy / Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.		
1	M/S ALTAF HUSSAIN JATIO & CO	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.12,155,119/-	1st Lowest :. Most advantageous bid accepted by the competent authority. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.		
2	M/S DEEN MUHAMMAD	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.12,395,023/-	2nd Lowest:. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.		
3	M/S REHMAN CONSTRUCTION CO.	QUALIFIED	Responsive	N/A	Refused to Submit Consent for Extension of Bid Validity.		
4	M/S SADARUDDIN MANDOKHAIL	QUALIFIED	Responsive	N/A	Refused to Submit Consent for Extension of Bid Validity.		
5	M/S SAJID KHAN & BROTHERS	DIS- QUALIFIED	N/A	N/A	Intimation regarding disqualification of technical proposal.		
6	M/S BUILD TECH INTERNATIONAL	DIS- QUALIFIED	N/A	N/A	Intimation regarding disqualification of technical proposal.		
7	M/S UNIVERSAL CONSTRUCTION.	DIS- QUALIFIED	N/A	N/A	Intimation regarding disqualification of technical proposal.		



FINAL EVALUATION REPORT
(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

	(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)							
1	Name of Procuring Agency: PAKISTAN PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT							
2	Method of Procurement:	Open Competitiv	Open Competitive Bidding, - Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure					
3	Title of Procurement:	SR#09/16:PROVI DISTRICT KORA			AGE PIPE LINE UC-10,			
4	Tender Inquiry No.:	NO.RE/PCD-I/NIT	-NA-239(SAP)2021-22/AB/33, Dated	d: 14/01/2022			
5	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS470320E on D	ate: 18/01/20	22				
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	Technical on 03/6 Financial on 17	•					
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	Technical on 03/6 Financial on 17						
8	No of Bids Received:	06 NO'S						
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Opening Compet	titive Bidding	, - Single Stage Two E	nvelope			
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Technical & Fi	nancial.	3				
S#	NAME OF BIDDER	Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)	Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD*/ Policy / Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.			
1	M/S SHAIKH ABDUL QAYOOM	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.9,493,644/-	1st Lowest :. Most advantageous bid accepted by the competent authority. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.			
2	M/S AL-WASAY ASSOCIATES	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.9,631,142/-	2nd Lowest:. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.			
3	M/SGHULAM NABI BABAR & BROTHERS.	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.9,687,392/-	3rd Lowest:. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.			
4	M/S TEBA INTERNATIONAL CONTRACTING	QUALIFIED	Responsive	N/A	Refused to Submit Consent for Extension of Bid Validity.			
5	M/S MUFADIL ENGINEERING & TRADING	NON- RESPONSIVE	N/A	N/A	Non Responsive/ disqualification of technical proposal.			
6	M/S AZIZ-UR-REHMAN & BROTHERS	NON- RESPONSIVE	N/A	N/A	Non Responsive/ disqualification of technical proposal.			



FINAL EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

	me of Procuring Agency:		BLIC WORK	(S DEPARTMENT			
2 Met	thod of Procurement:	Onen Competition					
		Open Competitiv	Open Competitive Bidding, - Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure				
3 Title	le of Procurement:	SR#11/16:PROVI KORANGI, KARA		AYING C.C TUFF PAVE	R IN UC-13, DISTRICT		
4 Ten	nder Inquiry No.:	NO.RE/PCD-I/NIT	-NA-239(SAP)2021-22/AB/33, Dated	: 14/01/2022		
5 PPF	RA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS470320E on D	ate: 18/01/20	22			
6 Date	te & Time of Bid Closing:	Technical on 03/0 Financial on 17	•				
7 Date	te & Time of Bid Opening:	Technical on 03/02/2022, at 10:30 A.M. Financial on 17-06-2022, at 10:30 A.M.					
8 No (of Bids Received:	04 NO'S					
9 Crit	teria for Bid Evaluation:	Opening Compet	itive Bidding	, - Single Stage Two E	nvelope		
10 Deta	tails of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Technical & Financial.					
S#	NAME OF BIDDER	Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)	Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD*/ Policy / Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.		
1 M/S	'S Z.A KHAN BROTHERS	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.8,637,629/-	1st Lowest : Most advantageous bid accepted by the competent authority. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.		
	'S ABDUL MALIK TERPRISES	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.8,953,423/-	2nd Lowest:. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.		
	'S KAMAL KHAN & ROTHERS	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.9,137,501/-	3rd Lowest:. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.		
4 M/S	'S ALI SHAH BUILDERS	QUALIFIED	Responsive	N/A	Refused to Submit Consent for Extension of Bid Validity.		

S/D



FINAL EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

		(As Per Rul	e 35 of PP Ru	ıles, 2004)		
1	Name of Procuring Agency:	PAKISTAN PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT				
2	Method of Procurement:	Open Competitive Bidding, - Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure				
3	Title of Procurement:	SR#15/16:PROVI KORANGI, KARA		G P.E WATER PIPE LI	NE IN UC-05, DISTRICT	
4	Tender Inquiry No.:	NO.RE/PCD-I/NIT	-NA-239(SAP)2021-22/AB/33, Dated	: 14/01/2022	
5	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS470320E on D	ate: 18/01/20	22		
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	Technical on 03/0 Financial on 17	•			
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	Technical on 03/0 Financial on 17	•			
8	No of Bids Received:	06 NO'S				
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Opening Compet	titive Bidding	, - Single Stage Two E	nvelope	
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Technical & Fi	nancial.			
S#	NAME OF BIDDER	Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)	Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD*/ Policy / Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.	
1	M/S S.RAZA & CO.	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.14,063,295/-	1st Lowest :. Most advantageous bid accepted by the competent authority. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.	
2	M/S HUSSAIN ENTERPRISES	QUALIFIED	Responsive	N/A	Refused to Submit Consent for Extension of Bid Validity.	
3	M/S ARSHAD ENTERPRISES	QUALIFIED	Responsive	N/A	Non Responsive/ disqualification of technical proposal.	
4	M/S MUHAMMAD TANVEER RANA (MTR) CONSTRUCTION	QUALIFIED	Responsive	N/A	Non Responsive/ disqualification of technical proposal.	
5	M/S NEW MAHAD CONSTRUCTION CO	NON- RESPONSIVE	N/A	N/A	Non Responsive/ disqualification of technical proposal.	
6	M/S IMTIAZ AL WASSAN	NON- RESPONSIVE	N/A	N/A	Intimation regarding disqualification of technical proposal.	
				·		



FINAL EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

	(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)							
1	Name of Procuring Agency:	PAKISTAN PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT						
2	Method of Procurement:	Open Competitive Bidding, - Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure						
3	Title of Procurement:			YING P.E WATER PIPE DISTRICT KORANGI, K				
4	Tender Inquiry No.:	NO.RE/PCD-I/NIT	-NA-239(SAP)2021-22/AB/33, Dated	: 14/01/2022			
5	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS470320E on D	ate: 18/01/20	22				
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	Technical on 03/0 Financial on 17	•					
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	Technical on 03/0 Financial on 17	•					
8	No of Bids Received:	05 NO'S						
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Opening Compet	titive Bidding	, - Single Stage Two Eւ	nvelope			
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Technical & Fi	nancial.					
S#	NAME OF BIDDER	Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)	Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD*/ Policy / Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.			
1	M/S UNIVERSAL CONSTRUCTION	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.12,049,038/-	1st Lowest :. Most advantageous bid accepted by the competent authority. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.			
2	M/S NEW MAHAD CONSTRUCTION CO.	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.12,058,680/-	2nd Lowest:. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.			
3	M/S KAMRAN & KAMPANY	QUALIFIED	Responsive	N/A	Refused to Submit Consent for Extension of Bid Validity.			
4	M/S S.RAZA & COMPANY	QUALIFIED	Responsive	N/A	Intimation regarding disqualification of technical proposal.			
5	M/S M.S & BROTHERS CO	NON- RESPONSIVE	N/A	N/A	Intimation regarding disqualification of technical proposal.			