

EVALUATION REPORT
(As per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Sub-office of Human Rights in NMDs of KP, Ministry of Human Rights, Islamabad
2. Method of Procurement: Rule 36(a) 'Single Stage – One Envelope' Bidding procedure
3. Title of Procurement: Procurement of Physical Assets
4. Tender Inquiry No: 1(8)/2022-23/PMU-MoHR
5. PPRA Ref. No. (TSE): TS511473E *
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 7th June, 2023
7. Date & Time of Technical and Financial Bid Opening: 11:30: A.M, 7th June, 2023
8. No. of Bids Received: Eight: 02 (Two Number of Bidders)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: As per the criteria defined in the bidding documents
10. Date & Time of Financial Bid Opening: 7th June, 2023, 2:00 P.M (same day as per Rule 36(a))
11. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Comparative Statement as under

(Lot#1: Supply and Installation of Desktop PCs with LEDs)

Name of Bidder	LOT # 1 : Desktop System with LED					Rule/Regulation/SB D*/Policy/ Basis for Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical and Financial Evaluation for Qualification of Bidders					
	Qualified/ Not Qualified	Tech. Marks	Financial Marks	Total Marks	Evaluated Cost Rs.	
M/s AURSOFT	Qualified	23	76.16	99.16	324,000	Accepted. <u>1st Lowest/ Most advantageous evaluated bidder.</u>
M/s Panasonic Office Solutions	Qualified	25	75	100	329,000	Accepted 2 nd lowest/ Most advantageous evaluated bidder.

Most advantageous bid in Lot#1: M/S AURSOFT

(LOT#2: LAPTOPS COMPUTERS)

Name of Bidder	LOT # 2 : Laptop					Rule/Regulation/SBD*/ Policy/ Basis for Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical and Financial Evaluation for Qualification of Bidder					
	Qualified/ Not Qualified	Tech. Marks	Financial Marks	Total Marks	Evaluated Cost Rs.	
M/s Panasonic Office Solutions	Qualified	25	75	100	367,000	Accepted. <u>1st Lowest/ Most advantageous</u> evaluated bidder.
M/s AURSOFT	Qualified	23	75	98	388,000	Accepted 2 nd lowest/ Most advantageous evaluated bidder.

Most Advantageous Bid In Lot 2: M/S Panasonic Office Solutions**LOT#3: NETWORK LASER PRINTER**


Name of Bidder	LOT # 3 : Network Laser Printer					Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy / Basis for Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical and Financial Evaluation for Qualification of Bidder					
	Qualified / Not Qualified	Tech. Marks	Financial Marks	Total Marks	Evaluated Cost Rs.	
M/s Panasonic Office Solutions	Qualified	25	75.00	100	247,500	Accepted. <u>1st Lowest/ Most advantageous</u> evaluated bidder.
M/s AURSOFT	Not Qualified					

MOST ADVANTAGEOUS BID IN LOT#3: Panasonic Office Solutions

(LOT#5: Air Conditioner)

Name of Bidder	LOT # 5 : Air Conditioner					Rule/Regulation/SBD*/ Policy/ Basis for Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical and Financial Evaluation for Qualification of Bidder					
	Qualified/ Not Qualified	Tech. Marks	Financial Marks	Total Marks	Evaluated Cost Rs.	
M/s AURSOFT	Qualified	25	75	100	178,000	Accepted. <u>1st Lowest/ Most advantageous</u> evaluated bidder.

Most Advantageous Bid In Lot 5: M/S AURSOFT



**(USMAN FAROOQ)
Project Manager / Deputy Director**