

FINAL EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

	(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)							
1	Name of Procuring Agency:	PAKISTAN PU	PAKISTAN PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT					
2	Method of Procurement:	Open Competitiv	e Bidding, - S	Single Stage Two Enve	lope Procedure			
3	Title of Procurement:				ROAD IN UC-21, IN BLOCK# II. (NEAR HAPPY HOME TO AL-			
4	Tender Inquiry No.:	NO.RE/PCD-I/NIT	-NA-243(SAP)2021-22/AB/23, Dated	: 13/01/2022			
5	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	14-01-2022, unde	r TS470069E					
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	Technical on 03/0 Financial on 17	•					
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	Technical on 03/0 Financial on 17	•					
8	No of Bids Received:	06 NO'S						
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Opening Compet	titive Bidding	, - Single Stage Two Er	nvelope			
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Technical & Fi	nancial.					
S#	NAME OF BIDDER	MARK	ii e	Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD*/ Policy / Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule			
		Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)		35 of PP Rules, 2004.			
1	M/S MUHAMMAD HASHIR ENTERPRISES	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.16,456,870/-	1st Lowest :. Most advantageous bid accepted by the competent authority. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.			
2	M/S HANI TRADERS	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.16,598,741/-	2nd Lowest:. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.			
3	M/S KAMRAN & KAMPANY	QUALIFIED	Responsive	N/A	Refused to Submit Consent for Extension of Bid Validity.			
4	M/S CRYSTAL PARAMOUNT CONSTRUCTION	QUALIFIED	Responsive	N/A	Refused to Submit Consent for Extension of Bid Validity.			
5	M/S ABDUL KHALIQUE BHUTTO	NON- RESPONSIVE	N/A	N/A	Non Responsive/ disqualification of technical proposal.			
6	M/S W.M ASSOCIATES	NON- RESPONSIVE	N/A	N/A	Non Responsive/ disqualification of technical proposal.			
			· -	· ·	· -			



FINAL EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

	(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)							
1	Name of Procuring Agency:	PAKISTAN PU	BLIC WORK	S DEPARTMENT				
2	Method of Procurement:	Open Competitiv	e Bidding, - Si	ngle Stage Two Envelo	pe Procedure			
3	Title of Procurement:		, GULSHAN-E-		PIPE LINE / ROAD IN UC-21, T KARACHI (IMAM BARGAH			
4	Tender Inquiry No.:	NO.RE/PCD-I/NIT	-NA-243(SAP)	2021-22/AB/23, Dated:	13/01/2022			
5	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	14-01-2022, unde	er TS470069E					
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	Technical on 03/ Financial on 17						
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	Technical on 03/ Financial on 17	•					
8	No of Bids Received:	03 NO'S	03 NO'S					
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Opening Compe	Opening Competitive Bidding, - Single Stage Two Envelope					
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Technical & Financial.						
S#	NAME OF BIDDER	Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)	Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD*/ Policy / Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.			
1	M/S ALI SHAH BUILDERS	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.16,683,448/-	1st Lowest :. Most advantageous bid accepted by the competent authority. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.			
2	M/S SAJID KHAN & BROTHERS	QUALIFIED	NON- RESPONSIVE	N/A	Non Responsive/ disqualification of technical proposal.			
3	M/S MMM ENTERPRISES	QUALIFIED	DIS- QUALIFIED	N/A	Intimation regarding disqualification of technical proposal.			



FINAL EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

	(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)							
1	Name of Procuring Agency:	PAKISTAN PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT						
2	Method of Procurement:	Open Competitiv	Open Competitive Bidding, - Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure					
3	Title of Procurement:		AL, DISTRIC	T EAST, KARACHI. (NI	INE IN UC-22, BLOCK-8 & 9, EAR AZIZ BHATTI PARK TO			
4	Tender Inquiry No.:	NO.RE/PCD-I/NIT	-NA-243(SAP	2)2021-22/AB/23, Dated	: 13/01/2022			
5	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	14-01-2022, unde	er TS470069E					
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	Technical on 03/0 Financial on 17	•					
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	Technical on 03/0 Financial on 17	02/2022, at 10 '-06-2022, at 1					
8	No of Bids Received:	07 NO'S						
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Opening Compet	titive Bidding	, - Single Stage Two E	nvelope			
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Technical & Fi	nancial.					
S#	NAME OF BIDDER	MARK Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)	Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD*/ Policy / Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.			
1	M/S AZIZ-UR-REHMAN & BROTHERS	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.15,458,956/-	1st Lowest :. Most advantageous bid accepted by the competent authority. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.			
2	M/S AKBER CONSTRUCTION CO.	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.15,588,043/-	2nd Lowest:. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.			
3	M/S NEW MAHAD CONSTRUCTION CO.	QUALIFIED	Responsive	N/A	Refused to Submit Consent for Extension of Bid Validity.			
4	M/S MAHAVIR TRADERS CONTRACTING PVT COMPANY	QUALIFIED	Responsive	N/A	Refused to Submit Consent for Extension of Bid Validity.			
5	M/S ALI SHAH BUILDERS	QUALIFIED	Responsive	N/A	Refused to Submit Consent for Extension of Bid Validity.			
6	M/S ARSHAD ENTERPRISES	QUALIFIED	Responsive	N/A	Refused to Submit Consent for Extension of Bid Validity.			
7	M/S GLAMOUR CONSTRUCTION	NON- RESPONSIVE	N/A	N/A	Non Responsive/ disqualification of technical proposal.			



FINAL EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

	The state of the s	(AS Per Rui	e 35 of PP Rul	es, 2004)				
1	Name of Procuring Agency:	PAKISTAN PU	BLIC WORK	S DEPARTMENT				
2	Method of Procurement:	Open Competitiv	ve Bidding, - Si	ngle Stage Two Envel	ope Procedure			
3	Title of Procurement:		SR#05 /22: PROVIDING / LAYING R.C.C. SEWERAGE PIPE LINE UC-22, BLOCK-9, GULSHAN-E-IQBAL, DISTRICT EAST, KARACHI. (BEHIND URDU UNIVERSITY).					
4	Tender Inquiry No.:	NO.RE/PCD-I/NIT	Γ-NA-243(SAP)	2021-22/AB/23, Dated:	13/01/2022			
5	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	14-01-2022, unde	er TS470069E	,				
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	Technical on 03/ Financial on 17	•					
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening:		Technical on 03/02/2022, at 10:30 A.M. Financial on 17-06-2022, at 10:30 A.M.					
8	No of Bids Received:	03 NO'S						
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Opening Compe	Opening Competitive Bidding, - Single Stage Two Envelope					
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Technical & Fi	nancial.					
S#	NAME OF BIDDER	Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)	Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD*/ Policy / Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.			
1	M/S AL-MEO BUILDERS	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.10,794,570/-	1st Lowest :. Most advantageous bid accepted by the competent authority. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.			
2	M/S SAJID KHAN & BROTHERS	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.11,078,638/-	2nd Lowest:. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.			
3	M/S RIASAT BROTHERS	QUALIFIED	Responsive	N/A	Refused to Submit Consent for Extension of Bid Validity.			
			<u> </u>					

S/D



FINAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules 2004)

		(As Per Rule	35 of PP Rul	es, 2004)			
1	Name of Procuring Agency:	PAKISTAN PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT					
2	Method of Procurement:	Open Competitiv	e Bidding, - S	Single Stage Two Enve	lope Procedure		
3	Title of Procurement:				ER IN UC-22, IN BLOCK#9, EAR USMAN GHANI MASJID).		
4	Tender Inquiry No.:	NO.RE/PCD-I/NIT	-NA-243(SAP)2021-22/AB/23, Dated	: 13/01/2022		
5	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	14-01-2022, unde	r TS470069E				
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	Technical on 03/0 Financial on 17	-				
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	Technical on 03/0 Financial on 17	02/2022, at 10 -06-2022, at 1				
8	No of Bids Received:	05 NO'S					
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Opening Compet	itive Bidding	, - Single Stage Two Er	nvelope		
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Technical & Fi	nancial.				
S#	NAME OF BIDDER	Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)	Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD*/ Policy / Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.		
1	M/S MUHAMMAD HASHIR ENTERPRISES	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.12,313,604/-	1st Lowest :. Most advantageous bid accepted by the competent authority. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.		
2	M/S SHAHNAWAZ AZIZ CONSTRUCTION CO.	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.12,629,400/-	2nd Lowest:. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.		
3	M/S FATEH MUHAMMAD ENTERPRISES	QUALIFIED	Responsive	N/A	Refused to Submit Consent for Extension of Bid Validity.		
4	M/S MEHROZ KHAN & BROTHERS	NON- RESPONSIVE	N/A	N/A	Non Responsive/ disqualification of technical proposal.		
5	M/S SAEED REHMAN BUILDERS	NON- RESPONSIVE	N/A	N/A	Non Responsive/ disqualification of technical proposal.		



FINAL EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

	(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)								
1	Name of Procuring Agency:	rocuring Agency: PAKISTAN PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT							
2	Method of Procurement:	Open Competitiv	Open Competitive Bidding, - Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure						
3	Title of Procurement:		BAL, DISTRIC		D IN UC-22, BLOCK#8, HMANIA MASJID TO HAKEEM				
4	Tender Inquiry No.:	NO.RE/PCD-I/NIT	-NA-243(SAP)2021-22/AB/23, Dated	: 13/01/2022				
5	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	14-01-2022, unde	r TS470069E						
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	Technical on 03/6 Financial on 17	•						
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	Technical on 03/0 Financial on 17	•						
8	No of Bids Received:	06 NO'S							
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Opening Compet	titive Bidding	, - Single Stage Two E	nvelope				
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Technical & Fi	nancial.						
S#	NAME OF BIDDER	MARK	ii .	Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD*/ Policy / Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule				
		Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)		35 of PP Rules, 2004.				
1	M/S HANI TRADERS	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.16,925,399/-	1st Lowest :. Most advantageous bid accepted by the competent authority. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.				
2	M/S ABDUL MALIK ENTERPRISES	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.19,242,218/-	2nd Lowest:. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.				
3	M/S MAQBOOL & KASEEM BROTHERS	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.19,335,242/-	3rd Lowest:. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.				
4	M/S HASHAM & CO.	QUALIFIED	Responsive	N/A	Refused to Submit Consent for Extension of Bid Validity.				
5	M/S MUHAMMAD HASNI BUILDERS	QUALIFIED	Responsive	N/A	Refused to Submit Consent for Extension of Bid Validity.				
6	M/S KIAM KHANI COMPETITION INTERNATIONAL	NON- RESPONSIVE	N/A	N/A	Non Responsive/ disqualification of technical proposal.				



FINAL EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

	(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)							
1	Name of Procuring Agency:	PAKISTAN PU	PAKISTAN PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT					
2	Method of Procurement:	Open Competitiv	ve Bidding, -	Single Stage Two Env	velope Procedure			
3	Title of Procurement:			OF C.C.TUFF PAVER ILSHAN-E-IQBAL, DIS	/ ROAD IN UC-24, IN STRICT EAST, KARACHI. (NEAR			
4	Tender Inquiry No.:	NO.RE/PCD-I/NI	T-NA-243(SAI	P)2021-22/AB/23, Date	ed: 13/01/2022			
5	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	14-01-2022, und	er TS470069E	<u> </u>				
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	Technical on 03 Financial on 1						
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	Technical on 03/ Financial on 1	•					
8	No of Bids Received:	09 NO'S						
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Opening Compe	titive Bidding	յ, - Single Stage Two	Envelope			
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Technical & F	inancial.					
S#	NAME OF BIDDER	MARI	ii .	Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD*/ Policy / Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule			
		Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)		35 of PP Rules, 2004.			
1	M/S SYED RAHIM SHAH & BROTHERS	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.16,789,394/-	1st Lowest :. Most advantageous bid accepted by the competent authority. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.			
2	M/S TEBA INTERNATIONAL CONTRACTING	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.16,811,558/-	2nd Lowest:. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.			
3	M/S HANI TRADERS	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.17,242,260/-	3rd Lowest:. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.			
4	M/S CRYSTAL PARAMOUNT CONSTRUCTION	QUALIFIED	Responsive	N/A	Refused to Submit Consent for Extension of Bid Validity.			
5	M/S SSR CONSTRUCTION	NON- RESPONSIVE	N/A	N/A	Non Responsive/ disqualification of technical proposal.			
6	M/S ABDUL RASHEED BHUTTO	NON- RESPONSIVE	N/A	N/A	Non Responsive/ disqualification of technical proposal.			
7	M/S FASAHAT & CO	NON- RESPONSIVE	N/A	N/A	Non Responsive/ disqualification of technical proposal.			
8	M/S K.A & BROTHERS.	NON- RESPONSIVE	N/A	N/A	Non Responsive/ disqualification of technical proposal.			
9	M/S KING ENTERPRISES	NON- RESPONSIVE	N/A	N/A	Non Responsive/ disqualification of technical proposal.			



FINAL EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

	The state of the s	(AS Per Ruie	35 of PP Rui	les, 2004)				
1	Name of Procuring Agency:	PAKISTAN PU	BLIC WOR	KS DEPARTMENT				
2	Method of Procurement:	Open Competitiv	Open Competitive Bidding, - Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure					
3	Title of Procurement:	GULSHAN-E-IQE	SR#10 /22: CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD / CC. TUFF PAVER IN UC-25, BLOCK-6, GULSHAN-E-IQBAL, DISTRICT EAST KARACHI (RIM JHIM SHOPPING MALL TO ABU HANFIA MASJID)					
4	Tender Inquiry No.:	NO.RE/PCD-I/NIT	T-NA-243(SAP	P)2021-22/AB/23, Dated	d: 13/01/2022			
5	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	14-01-2022, unde	er TS470069E					
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	Technical on 03/ Financial on 17	•					
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	Technical on 03/ Financial on 17						
8	No of Bids Received:	04 NO'S						
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Opening Compe	Opening Competitive Bidding, - Single Stage Two Envelope					
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Technical & Financial.						
S#	NAME OF BIDDER	MARK			Rule / Regulation / SBD*/ Policy / Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as			
		Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)		per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.			
1	M/S ABDUL KHALIQUE BHUTTO	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.12,740,620/-	1st Lowest :. Most advantageous bid accepted by the competent authority. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.			
2	M/S S.K CONSTRUCTION	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.12,835,260/-	2nd Lowest:. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.			
3	M/S SAEED REHMAN BUILDERS	QUALIFIED	Responsive	N/A	Refused to Submit Consent for Extension of Bid Validity.			
4	M/S HAJI SIRAJUDDIN & BROTHERS	NON- RESPONSIVE	N/A	N/A	Non Responsive/ disqualification of technical proposal.			

S/D



FINAL EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

	(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)								
1	Name of Procuring Agency:	PAKISTAN PU	BLIC WORK	S DEPARTMENT					
2	Method of Procurement:	Open Competitiv	e Bidding, - S	Single Stage Two Enve	lope Procedure				
3	Title of Procurement:		AL DISTRICT		IN UC-26 BLOCK-04-A, DM ARSALAN ARCADE TO				
4	Tender Inquiry No.:	NO.RE/PCD-I/NIT	-NA-243(SAP)2021-22/AB/23, Dated	: 13/01/2022				
5	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	14-01-2022, unde	r TS470069E						
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	Technical on 03/0 Financial on 17							
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	Technical on 03/02/2022, at 10:30 A.M. Financial on 17-06-2022, at 10:30 A.M.							
8	No of Bids Received:	03 NO'S	03 NO'S						
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Opening Competitive Bidding, - Single Stage Two Envelope							
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Technical & Financial.							
S#	NAME OF BIDDER	MARK	1	Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD*/ Policy / Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as				
		Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)		per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.				
1	M/S AL-WASAY ASSOCIATES	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.11,505,360/-	1st Lowest :. Most advantageous bid accepted by the competent authority. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.				
2	M/S MAHAVIR TRADERS CONTRACTING PVT COMPANY	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.11,740,164/-	2nd Lowest:. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.				
3	M/S TEBA INTERNATIONAL CONTRACTING	QUALIFIED	Responsive	N/A	Refused to Submit Consent for Extension of Bid Validity.				



FINAL EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

			35 OI PP KUI	63, 2 007 <i>)</i>				
1	Name of Procuring Agency:	PAKISTAN PU	BLIC WORK	KS DEPARTMENT				
2	Method of Procurement:	Open Competitiv	Open Competitive Bidding, - Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure					
3	Title of Procurement:			NG R.C.C. SEWERAGI A GULSHAN-E-IQBAL,	E PIPE DISTRICT EAST, KARACHI.			
4	Tender Inquiry No.:	NO.RE/PCD-I/NIT	-NA-243(SAP	2)2021-22/AB/23, Dated	: 13/01/2022			
5	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	14-01-2022, unde	er TS470069E					
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	Technical on 03/ Financial on 17	•					
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	Technical on 03/ Financial on 17	•					
8	No of Bids Received:	04 NO'S						
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Opening Compet	Opening Competitive Bidding, - Single Stage Two Envelope					
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Technical & Financial.						
S#	NAME OF BIDDER	Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)	Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD*/ Policy / Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.			
1	M/S TEBA INTERNATIONAL CONTRACTING	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.14,190,523/-	1st Lowest :. Most advantageous bid accepted by the competent authority. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.			
2	M/S SHAMSHER KHAN & CO.	QUALIFIED	Responsive	N/A	Refused to Submit Consent for Extension of Bid Validity.			
3	M/S FAM CONSTRUCTION.	NON- RESPONSIVE	N/A	N/A	Non Responsive/ disqualification of technical proposal.			
4	M/S NASEER CONSTRUCTION.	NON- RESPONSIVE	N/A	N/A	Non Responsive/ disqualification of technical proposal.			

S/D



FINAL EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

		(AS Pel Rule	33 01 PP Rui	les, 2004 <i>)</i>				
1	Name of Procuring Agency:	PAKISTAN PU	BLIC WOR	KS DEPARTMENT				
2	Method of Procurement:	Open Competitiv	Open Competitive Bidding, - Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure					
3	Title of Procurement:			OF ROAD / C.C. TUFF ICT EAST, KARACHI.	PAVER IN UC-26, IN BLOCK# (NEAR DHORAJI			
4	Tender Inquiry No.:	NO.RE/PCD-I/NIT	-NA-243(SAP	2)2021-22/AB/23, Dated	l: 13/01/2022			
5	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	14-01-2022, unde	er TS470069E					
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	Technical on 03/ Financial on 17	•					
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	Technical on 03/ Financial on 17						
8	No of Bids Received:	04 NO'S						
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Opening Compe	Opening Competitive Bidding, - Single Stage Two Envelope					
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Technical & Fi	nancial.	a	_			
S#	NAME OF BIDDER	Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)	Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD*/ Policy / Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.			
1	M/S STAR CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	QUALIFIED	#	Rs.19,565,932/-	1st Lowest :. Most advantageous bid accepted by the competent authority. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.			
2	M/S SHAMSHER KHAN & CO	QUALIFIED	Responsive	N/A	Refused to Submit Consent for Extension of Bid Validity.			
3	M/S HANI TRADERS	QUALIFIED	Responsive	N/A	Refused to Submit Consent for Extension of Bid Validity.			
4	M/S S.ZAMAN & BROTHERS	NON- RESPONSIVE	N/A	N/A	Non Responsive/ disqualification of technical proposal.			



FINAL EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

	(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)						
1	Name of Procuring Agency:	PAKISTAN PU	BLIC WORK	KS DEPARTMENT			
2	Method of Procurement:	Open Competitiv	e Bidding, - S	Single Stage Two Enve	lope Procedure		
3	Title of Procurement:			NG R.C.C. SEWERAGE DISTRICT EAST, KARA	PIPE LINE UC-27,BLOCK-14 ACHI (NEAR MUNWAR		
4	Tender Inquiry No.:	NO.RE/PCD-I/NIT	-NA-243(SAP	2)2021-22/AB/23, Dated	: 13/01/2022		
5	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	14-01-2022, unde	r TS470069E				
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	Technical on 03/0 Financial on 17	•				
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	Technical on 03/0 Financial on 17	•				
8	No of Bids Received:	05 NO'S					
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Opening Compet	itive Bidding	, - Single Stage Two Er	nvelope		
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Technical & Financial.					
S#	NAME OF BIDDER	MARK Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)	Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD*/ Policy / Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.		
1	M/S M.D CONSTRUCTION CO.	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.18,954,638/-	1st Lowest :. Most advantageous bid accepted by the competent authority. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.		
2	M/S SAJID KHAN & BROTHERS	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.19,341,340/-	2nd Lowest:. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.		
3	M/S KAMRAN & KAMPANY	QUALIFIED	Responsive	N/A	Refused to Submit Consent for Extension of Bid Validity.		
4	AL-WASAY ASSOCIATES	QUALIFIED	Responsive	N/A	Refused to Submit Consent for Extension of Bid Validity.		
5	M/S AL-REHMAN WAHEED & CO.	NON- RESPONSIVE	N/A	N/A	Non Responsive/ disqualification of technical proposal.		



FINAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules 2004)

	(As Per Rule	e 35 of PP Ru	les, 2004)		
Name of Procuring Agency:	PAKISTAN PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT				
Method of Procurement:	Open Competitive Bidding, - Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure				
Title of Procurement:	SR# 18/22:CONSTRUCTION OF CC.TUFF PAVER / ROAD IN UC-27, BLOCK-07, GULISTAN-E-JOHAR, DISTRICT EAST, KARACHI, TOKYO TERRACE TO GHAZALA MARRIAGE HALL TO (NEAR SEVEN HEVEN APARTMENT)				
Tender Inquiry No.:	NO.RE/PCD-I/NIT-NA-243(SAP)2021-22/AB/23, Dated: 13/01/2022				
PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	14-01-2022, under TS470069E				
Date & Time of Bid Closing:	Technical on 03/02/2022, at 10:00 A.M. Financial on 17-06-2022, at 10:00 A.M.				
Date & Time of Bid Opening:	Technical on 03/02/2022, at 10:30 A.M. Financial on 17-06-2022, at 10:30 A.M.				
No of Bids Received:	07 NO'S				
Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Opening Competitive Bidding, - Single Stage Two Envelope				
Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Technical & F	inancial.			
NAME OF BIDDER	MARKS		Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD*/ Policy / Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule	
	Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)		35 of PP Rules, 2004.	
M/S AL-MEO BUILDERS	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.12,276,178/-	1st Lowest :. Most advantageous bid accepted by the competent authority. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.	
M/S S.K CONSTRUCTION	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.13,869,051/-	2nd Lowest:. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.	
M/S STAR CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	QUALIFIED	Responsive	N/A	Refused to Submit Consent for Extension of Bid Validity.	
M/S SAEED REHMAN BUILDERS	QUALIFIED	Responsive	N/A	Refused to Submit Consent for Extension of Bid Validity.	
M/S KAMRAN & KAMPANY	QUALIFIED	Responsive	N/A	Refused to Submit Consent for Extension of Bid Validity.	
M/S NAZIR AHMED SHAIKH & CO	NON- RESPONSIVE	N/A	N/A	Non Responsive/ disqualification of technical proposal.	
M/S RABBI TRADERS	NON- RESPONSIVE	N/A	N/A	Non Responsive/ disqualification of technical proposal.	
	Method of Procurement: Title of Procurement: Tender Inquiry No.: PPRA Ref. No. (TSE): Date & Time of Bid Closing: No of Bids Received: Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: NAME OF BIDDER M/S AL-MEO BUILDERS M/S S.K CONSTRUCTION COMPANY M/S SAEED REHMAN BUILDERS M/S KAMRAN & KAMPANY M/S NAZIR AHMED SHAIKH	Name of Procuring Agency: Method of Procurement: Open Competitive Title of Procurement: SR# 18/22:CONS GULISTAN-E-JO GHAZALA MARI Tender Inquiry No.: PPRA Ref. No. (TSE): Date & Time of Bid Closing: Date & Time of Bid Opening: No of Bids Received: O7 NO'S Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: M/S AL-MEO BUILDERS M/S AL-MEO BUILDERS M/S STAR CONSTRUCTION M/S STAR CONSTRUCTION COMPANY M/S SAEED REHMAN BUILDERS M/S KAMRAN & KAMPANY M/S NAZIR AHMED SHAIKH & CO M/S RABBI TRADERS NON- RESPONSIVE NON-	Name of Procuring Agency: Method of Procurement: Open Competitive Bidding, - Title of Procurement: SR# 18/22:CONSTRUCTION C GULISTAN-E-JOHAR, DISTRI GHAZALA MARRIAGE HALL Tender Inquiry No.: NO.RE/PCD-I/NIT-NA-243(SAI PPRA Ref. No. (TSE): 14-01-2022, under TS470069E Date & Time of Bid Closing: Technical on 03/02/2022, at 11 Financial on 17-06-2022, at 15 Financial on 17-06-2022, at 17 Financial on 17-06-2022 Financial on 17	Method of Procurement: Title of Procurement: Title of Procurement: SR# 18/22:CONSTRUCTION OF CC.TUFF PAVER / GULISTAN-E-JOHAR, DISTRICT EAST, KARACHI, GHAZALA MARRIAGE HALL TO (NEAR SEVEN HE NO.RE)/PCD-I/NIT-NA-243(SAP)2021-22/AB/23, Date PPRA Ref. No. (TSE): Date & Time of Bid Closing: Technical on 03/02/2022, at 10:00 A.M. Financial on 17-06-2022, at 10:00 A.M. Financial on 17-06-2022, at 10:30	

S/D



FINAL EVALUATION REPORT
(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

		(As Per Rule	35 of PP Rul	les, 2004)		
1	Name of Procuring Agency:	PAKISTAN PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT				
2	Method of Procurement:	Open Competitive Bidding, - Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure				
3	Title of Procurement:	SR# 19/22: CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD / C.C. TUFF PAVER IN UC-27, IN BLOCK# 15, GULISTAN-E-JOHAR, DISTRICT EAST, KARACHI (KING TOWER).				
4	Tender Inquiry No.:	NO.RE/PCD-I/NIT-NA-243(SAP)2021-22/AB/23, Dated: 13/01/2022				
5	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	14-01-2022, under TS470069E				
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	Technical on 03/02/2022, at 10:00 A.M. Financial on 17-06-2022, at 10:00 A.M.				
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	Technical on 03/02/2022, at 10:30 A.M. Financial on 17-06-2022, at 10:30 A.M.				
8	No of Bids Received:	05 NO'S				
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Opening Competitive Bidding, - Single Stage Two Envelope				
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Technical & Financial.				
S#	NAME OF BIDDER	Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)	Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD*/ Policy / Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.	
1	M/S HASHAM & CO.	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.11,668,035/-	1st Lowest :. Most advantageous bid accepted by the competent authority. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.	
2	M/S HANI TRADERS	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.13,203,501/-	2nd Lowest:. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.	
3	M/S KAMRAN & KAMPANY	QUALIFIED	Responsive	N/A	Refused to Submit Consent for Extension of Bid Validity.	
4	M/S SAIF ENTERPRISES	NON- RESPONSIVE	N/A	N/A	Non Responsive/ disqualification of technical proposal.	
5	M/S BASHIR KHAN	NON- RESPONSIVE	N/A	N/A	Non Responsive/ disqualification of technical proposal.	



FINAL EVALUATION REPORT
(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

	(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)					
1	Name of Procuring Agency:	PAKISTAN PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT				
2	Method of Procurement:	Open Competitive Bidding, - Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure				
3	Title of Procurement:	SR# 21/22:PROVIDING / LAYING R.C.C. SEWERAGE PIPE LINE UC-28, METROWILL 3RD SCHEME# 33, DISTRICT EAST, KARACHI.				
4	Tender Inquiry No.:	NO.RE/PCD-I/NI	Γ-NA-243(SAI	P)2021-22/AB/23, Date	d: 13/01/2022	
5	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	14-01-2022, under TS470069E				
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	Technical on 03/02/2022, at 10:00 A.M. Financial on 17-06-2022, at 10:00 A.M.				
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	Technical on 03/02/2022, at 10:30 A.M. Financial on 17-06-2022, at 10:30 A.M.				
8	No of Bids Received:	06 NO'S				
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Opening Compe	titive Bidding	յ, - Single Stage Two I	Envelope	
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Technical & F	inancial.			
S#	NAME OF BIDDER	MARK		Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD*/ Policy / Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule	
		Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)		35 of PP Rules, 2004.	
1	M/S DEEN MUHAMMAD	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.10,461,911/-	1st Lowest :. Most advantageous bid accepted by the competent authority. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.	
2	M/S HAJI SIRAJUDDIN & BROTHERS (PVT) LTD	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.10,626,990/-	2nd Lowest:. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.	
3	M/S MIR ALI KHAIL CONSTRUCTION CO.	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.10,661,382/-	3rd Lowest:. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.	
4	M/S TEBA INTERNATIONAL CONTRACTING	QUALIFIED	Responsive	N/A	Refused to Submit Consent for Extension of Bid Validity.	
5	M/S ARCH CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	QUALIFIED	Responsive	N/A	Refused to Submit Consent for Extension of Bid Validity.	
6	M/S SHAH & BROTHERS	NON- RESPONSIVE	N/A	N/A	Non Responsive/ disqualification of technical proposal.	



FINAL EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

	and the same of th	(AS Per Rule	35 of PP Rui	es, 2004)		
1	Name of Procuring Agency:	PAKISTAN PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT				
2	Method of Procurement:	Open Competitive Bidding, - Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure				
3	Title of Procurement:	SR# 22 /22: CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD / C.C. TUFF PAVER IN UC-28, METROWILL 3RD, BLOCK# 2, GULZAR HIJRI, DISTRICT EAST, KARACHI.				
4	Tender Inquiry No.:	NO.RE/PCD-I/NIT-NA-243(SAP)2021-22/AB/23, Dated: 13/01/2022				
5	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	14-01-2022, under TS470069E				
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	Technical on 03/02/2022, at 10:00 A.M. Financial on 17-06-2022, at 10:00 A.M.				
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	Technical on 03/02/2022, at 10:30 A.M. Financial on 17-06-2022, at 10:30 A.M.				
8	No of Bids Received:	04 NO'S				
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Opening Competitive Bidding, - Single Stage Two Envelope				
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	Technical & Financial.				
S#	NAME OF BIDDER	Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)	Evaluated Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD*/ Policy / Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.	
1	M/S HI-TEC ENGINEERING & CONSTRUCTION CO.	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.11,579,209/-	1st Lowest :. Most advantageous bid accepted by the competent authority. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.	
2	M/S AL-MEO BUILDERS	QUALIFIED	Responsive	Rs.11,609,133/-	2nd Lowest:. Intimation regarding qualification of technical proposal.	
3	M/S SAEED REHMAN BUILDERS	QUALIFIED	Responsive	N/A	Refused to Submit Consent for Extension of Bid Validity.	
4	M/S RIASAT BROTHERS.	NON- RESPONSIVE	N/A	N/A	Non Responsive/ disqualification of technical proposal.	