

NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section 28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(505)/GM(P&CA)/NHA/2021/324

Islamabad, O.Z. June, 2021

Director General

Public Procurement Regulatory Authority 1st Floor FBC Building near State Bank, Sector G-5/2, **Islamabad**

Subject:

ANNOUNCEMENT OF EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA RULE-35): Consultancy Services for Road Condition Survey and HDM Analysis for Preparation of AMP 2020-21, 2021-22 & 2022-23

Reference: PPRA Rule-35

Find enclosed herewith the combined Bid Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.

(SAMI-UR-REHMAN) General Manager (P&CA)

Encl: Evaluation Report along with Annex- I

Copy for kind information to:

- Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;

- Director (Tech. to Chairman), NHA, Islamabad;

- Director (P&CA)-III, NHA, Islamabad.

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority					
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure					
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services for Road Condition Surveys and HDM Analysis for Preparation of AMP 2020-21, 2021-22 & 2022-23)					
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(505)					
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS424873E					
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	23 rd July, 2020 at 1130 hours local time					
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	23 rd July, 2020 at 1200 hours local time					
8.	No of Bids Received:	Two (02) Proposals were received					
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I					
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below					

		Marks			Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.	
Name of Bidder	Technical (if applicable)	Financial (if applicable)	Total (out of 1000)	Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)		
M/s Partners in Development-PID in JV with M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd. M/s Creative Engineering Consultants and M/s Global Consultants	570	200	770	51,964,320	Top scoring firm in combined evaluation (PPRA Rule 36(b) (ix))	
2) M/s ZEERUK International (Pvt.) Ltd., in JV with M/s Kasib Associates	595	123	719	84,168,800	2 nd	

*EC is the Evaluated Cost used for evaluation purpose and includes only the cost of competitive component (i.e. Remuneration and Direct Non-Salary Cost) and is exclusive of Provisional Sum, Contingency and Indirect Taxes.

Top Ranked Bidder:

M/s Partners in Development-PID in JV with M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd. M/s Creative Engineering Consultants and M/s Global Consultants

Consultancy Services for Road Condition Surveys and HDM Analysis for Preparation of AMP 2020-21, 2021-22 & 2022-23.

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

11. Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share: The Procurement was carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations. The bidding was done on QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio. The Contract is being awarded to M/s Partners in Development-PID in JV with M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd. M/s Creative Engineering Consultants and M/s Global Consultants at evaluated financial proposal of Pak. Rs. 89,278,611/-.

Signature:

General Manager (P&CA) National Highway Authority Islamabad

Official Stamp:....

**Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).

1) m. (26/2

National Highway Authority



Annex-I
Criteria
FOR
Bid Evaluation

Consultancy Services for Road Condition Surveys and HDM Analysis for Preparation of AMP 2020-21, 2021-22 & 2022-23)

SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (QCBS)

[Man Para 1984] 1985 - Marie Carlotter (1985) 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1	Max.	Firm 1		Firm 2	
EVALUATION CRITERIA	Weightage	Rating	Score	Rating	Score
. Firms Experience	100				
General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
2. Approach and Methodology	250				
2-a. Appreciation of the Project	70				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs	(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
2-b. Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives	<u>50</u>				
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
2-c. Methodology	80				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal*	(30)				1.0
2-d. Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR	10				
2-e. Work Program	20				
2-f. Staffing Schedule	<u>20</u>				
8. Key Personnel**	450				
(i) Team Leader	100				
(ii) HDM Expert	, 65				
(iii) FWD Data Analyst	65				
(iv) Economist	65				
(v) Database Officer	40				Tion by
(vi) Surveyors I, II & III	3x30				Highin
4. Performance Certification from clients***	100			1.8	1
5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength - justification)	of diffestion at our chiefe		e	1.1/5	
6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)****	50			1	
TOTAL:	1000			100	X

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage x rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.

^{*}Conciseness and clarity contains 10 marks and 20 marks will be for the completeness of the proposals which includes but not limited to hard binding, sequential page numbering, signing and stamping of each page of proposal.

^{**}Firm affidavit for presence of personnel caries 25 marks out of 450 marks (complete in all respect as per specimen annexed at Annex-C placed in Technical Proposal Forms).

^{***25} out of 100 marks will be allocated for provision of affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner to the effect that the firm has neither been blacklisted nor any contract rescinded in the past for non-fulfillment of contractual obligations (complete in all respect as per specimen annexed at Annex-B placed in Technical Proposal Forms).

^{****}Criteria for New firm is the one which has carried out maximum 3 projects in 6 years.

PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

POSITION / AREA OF Name	e Qualif	Academic and General Qualification* Weightage 30%		Project related Experience Weightage 60%		the Firm** %	OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings)	
(Show all experts to be evaluated)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	(A+B+C)	
(i) Team Leader								
(ii) HDM Expert					91			
(iii) FWD Data Analyst								
(iv) Economist								
(v) Database Officer								
(vi) Surveyors-I								
(vii) Surveyors- II								
(viii) Surveyors- III								

Rating: - Excellent - 100% Non-complying - 0% Very good - 90-99%

Above Average - 80-89%

Average - 70-79%

Below Average - 1-69%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100.

For Team Leader and FWD Data Analyst: M.Sc. with additional trainings/courses relevant to assignment -100%; M.Sc. - 90%; B.Sc. with additional trainings/ courses relevant to assignment - 80%; B.Sc. - 70%.

HDM Expert, Ph.D. 100%; M.Sc. with additional trainings/courses relevant to assignment -90%; M.Sc. - 70%;

Economist: Ph.D. 100%; M.Phil. -90%; B.Sc. (Civil Engg.) with additional trainings/ courses relevant to assignment - 80%; B.Sc./ M.A/ M.Sc. - 70%.

For Database Officer: M.Sc. -100%; B.Sc. -70%

For Surveyor: B.Sc. -100%; DAE (Civil) - 70%

** 6-month older employee - 100%; Less than 6 months or associates- 0%

