EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PPRules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Two Stage Bidding Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Operation & Management of Rest Area / Resort at KM 123 Near Naran on National Highway N-15
3.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	22 nd November, 2018 at 1100 hours local time
4.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	22 nd November , 2018 at 1130 hours local time
5.	No of Bids Received:	Stage 1: Nine (09) Proposals were received Stage 2: Five (05) Proposals were received
6.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
7.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	The combined evaluation of revised technical and financial proposals (Stage 2) submitted by four (04) eligible firms are as below:

	Marks			Evaluated	Rule / Regulation /	
Name of Bidder	Technical (if applicable)	Financial (if applicable)	Total (out of 1000)	Bid/ (Revenue Sharing) (PKR)	SBD* / Policy / Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004	
M/s CHIB Enterprises	218.00	600.21	818.21	56,529,942	1 st	
M/s Sizzle Group	233.00	581.73	814.73	44,273,225	2 nd	
M/s H&B Construction Services (SMC Pvt. Ltd.)	217.50	586.51	804.01	37,860,621	3rd	
M/s MEFA Industries (Pvt.) Ltd.	270.00	370.48	640.48	18,183,000	4 th	

Top Ranked Bidder:

M/s CHIB Enterprises Pvt. Ltd.

Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share:

The Procurement was carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations.

Official Stamp: (IKRAMUS SAQLAIN HAIDER)

General Manager (RAMD)...

National Highway Authority

* SBD = Standard Bidding Documents

National Highway Authority



Annex-I Criteria FOR Bid Evaluation

Operation & Management of Rest Area / Resort at KM 123 Near Naran on National Highway N-15

March, 2019

OPERATION & MANAGEMENT OF REST AREA / RESORT AT KM 123 NEAR NARAN ON NATIONAL HIGHWAY N-15

PROPOSAL EVALUATION PROCESS, CRITERION AND PROCEDURES

The Overall Evaluation Process

NHA will use the evaluation criteria detailed in this section to determine the highest evaluated proposal. The evaluation process will consist of a four-step approach; i.e., responsiveness, eligibility, technical evaluation and financial evaluation.

NHA shall first determine whether or not Bidders' Technical and Financial proposals are contained in separately sealed envelopes. NHA shall announce, to those persons present at the Proposal Opening, the name and address of each Bidder whose package is opened. Such announcement shall be recorded immediately in the record of the tendering proceedings. In order to objectively evaluate Technical proposals without being influenced by financial data, Bidders failing to separate Technical and Financial proposals shall be deemed non-responsive and so recorded immediately in the record of the tendering proceedings. NHA will not evaluate either Technical or Financial proposals from any Bidder determined to be non-responsive. NHA shall separately, and privately, evaluate responsive proposals in accordance with the evaluation criteria detailed in this Section.

Firms Eligibility Criteria

The firms must fulfil following criteria to be eligible for further evaluation.

	Checklist
1.	Registration with PEC or SECP
2.	Submission of latest Audited Financial Statements* (comprising Balance Sheets, Income (Profit / Loss) statement, Cash flow statement, Notes) for last three years.
3.	Average Annual Turnover for last three years > Rs. 50 Million
4.	Financial Resource Requirement > Rs. 10 Million

* Conforming to the criteria described in Annex-A.

** Financial resource requirement will be calculated as bidder's available financial resources (i.e. working capital, credit line) minus the current commitments. Current commitments against a project shall be calculated based on monthly financial resource requirement for each contract using outstanding contract value divided by the remaining contract period in months. Total current commitments shall be the sum of financial resource requirement against all contracts under execution.

Description of Contract	Employer Name	Outstanding contract value (Rs.) [A]	Remaining duration (months) [B]	Monthly financial resource requirement [A / B]
1				
2				
13/10/				
18				
Total current con	nmitments			Σ

Technical Proposal Evaluation Criteria

NHA developed a list of evaluation factors that will be used to evaluate each Technical proposal. The following is a list of the quantitative factors and their associated maximum technical Point scores which will be used for the technical component evaluation:

	Description / Items	Points
1.	Status of the firm	75
2.	Experience of the firm(s) for similar assignments* > 10 years	75 75 75 75
3.	Basis of Cost Estimation for Conceptual layout plan**	75
4.	Performance certification from client (25 marks for each contract, maximum 75 marks)	75
	Total Points	300
Mi	nimum qualifying technical score:	210

^{*} Experience of the firm shall be assessed based on the provided documentary evidence by the respective firm.

Status of the firm (evaluation criteria)

i.	Corporate Entities:	100% of marks allocated
ii.	Partnership/ JV Firm/ AOPs:	30% of marks allocated
iii.	Individual / Sole Proprietorship:	10% of marks allocated

Financial Proposal Evaluation Criteria

The firms are required to submit the financial proposals on "Financial Proposal Submission Form" attached at **Annex-C**. The financial proposals of only technically qualified firms shall be opened and evaluated according to following criteria.

	Description / Items	Points
1.	Construction Cost of additional facilities*	150
2.	Revenue Sharing with NHA (Cumulative amount of 10 years)**	350
3.	Report on Financial model for revenue sharing with NHA***	200
	Total Points	700

^{*} The interested firms will provide the construction cost of additional facilities consistent with basis of cost estimation for conceptual layout plan provided in technical proposal. The points shall be assigned relative to the highest value among all proposals.

Final Evaluation Results

The final results of the evaluation process will be determined by adding together the results of the technical and financial evaluations to determine the final ranking of each proposal.

^{**} the final conceptual layout plan is attached at **Annex-B**. The interested firm shall provide the narrative and technical basis for establishing the construction cost.

^{**} The points shall be assigned relative to the highest value among all proposals.

^{***} Report should indicate the revenue originated from each source/component of the facility with supporting data

Financial Proposal Submission Form

4 1500 f 8 370 f 1 2400 f 1 2100 f 1 1250 f 1 300 f 1 800 f
1 64 f 4 1500 f 8 370 f 1 2400 f 1 2100 f 1 1250 f 1 300 f 1 800 f
8 370 f 1 2400 f 1 2100 f 1 1250 f 1 300 f 1 800 f
1 2400 f 1 2100 f 1 1250 f 1 300 f 1 800 f
1 2100 f 1 1250 f 1 300 f 1 800 f
1 1250 f 1 300 f 1 800 f
1 300 f
1 800 f
1 370 f
3/01
1 1
1 300 f
6 3841
1 162 f
Total 10,000 f
Revenue with NHA:
Revenue with NHA: Increas (% ag
Revenue with NHA: Increas (% ag
Revenue with NHA:
1 6 1

Guidelines for submission of Audited Financial Statements

S		Minimum Requirement of Auditors	Basis of Preparation of Audit Reports	Basis of Preparation of Financial Statements
1.	Corporate entities (duly registered with Securities and Exchange Commission of Pakistan)	Licensed Chartered Accountant Firms (Minimum Partnership Firm with international affiliation) enlisted and appearing on the list of firms in ICAP directory as at the Finalization of procurement.	International auditing standards as applicable in Pakistan.	i. Companies Ordinance 1984 or Companies Act 2017 (whichever is applicable) ii. International accounting and financial reporting standards as applicable in Pakistan at the time of issuance of the reports.
2	Partnership Firm/ AOPs/Joint Ventures	Licensed Chartered Accountants Firms (Minimum Partnership Firm) enlisted and appearing on the list of firms in ICAP directory as at the finalization of procurement.	International Auditing standards as applicable in Pakistan.	International accounting and financial reporting standards as applicable in Pakistan at the time of issuance of reports.
3	. Individuals/ Sole Proprietorship	Licensed Cost & Management Accountant Firms enlisted and appearing on the list of firms in ICMAP directory as at the finalization of procurement for organizations of net worth up to 10 million only.	International auditing standards as applicable in Pakistan.	Consistent and acceptable Accounting policies.
1	X Out of the control	In all other cases Licensed Chartered Accountants Firms enlisted and appearing on the list of firms in ICAP directory as at the finalization of procurement.		

