EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	Karachi Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (KIDCL). Ministry of Communications. Government of Pakistan.
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single-Stage Two Envelop under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3.	Title of Procurement:	**CONSTRUCTION OF BUS DEPOT**
4.	Tender Inquiry No:	Contract Package # KAR/BRTS/GL/08
5.	PPRA Ref. No (TSE):	**TS309343E**
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	10th Aril , 2017at 1500 Hrs
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	10th April , 2017 at 1530 Hrs
8.	No. of Bids Received'	Ten (11) - As mentioned below
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation.	Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents. Evaluation criterion is reproduced below for Reference.

Evaluation/Qualification Criteria

A) The bidder must meet all mandatory criteria

i) Registration with P.E.C
li) Registration with Income Tax Department
iii) Conflict of Interest
iv) Blacklisting
v) Litigation History
vi) History of Non Performing Contracts
vii) Failure to Sign Contract
viii) Quality Policy
ix) Health and Safety Policy
x) Financial Situation
   a. Average Net working Capital for last three years: PKR 50 million
   b. Average Net worth for last three years' PKR 100 Million
   c. Average Annual Construction Turnover' PKR 500 million

G.M. (Engineering)/ Chief Engineer
Karachi Infrastructure Development Co Ltd. (KIDCL)
Ministry of Communications
Government of Pakistan

xi) Work Experience

Similar Work with cost and complexity (one similar project), completed In the past Ten(10) years: **PKR 400 Million (**Indexation of 7 % per annum will be applied)

Single Entity: Must Meet

Joint Venture: Each Member as Per their Share in the JV

Foreign firms if participating in the bidding process should strictly follow the rules stipulated in PEC bye laws for participation.

B) **Weightages/Marks**

i.	Financial Soundness	20 Marks Max
ii.	Work Experience	
	a. General Experience	10 Marks Max
	b. Specific Experience	30 Marks Max
iii.	Work Program	10 Marks Max
iv.	Work Methodology	10 Marks Max
v.	Key Personnel	10 Marks Max
vi.	Plant & Equipment	10 Marks Max

For Technical Qualification, Passing Mark = 70%

10. **Eleven** bidders submitted sealed bids. Thirty Two (32) firms purchased Tender Documents.

The bidders who submitted sealed Bid on the Closing date

1. AMCORP Engineering & Construction
2. SMK Construction Company
3. Al-Shafi Enterprises
4. Ziauddin Ahmed and Co Pvt Ltd
5. Sultan Mehmood and Co.
6. Times Group (Pvt) Ltd
7. China Petroleum Pipelibe anf engineering Co. Ltd
8. Usmani International Associates (Pvt) Limited
9. Matracon Pakistan (Pvt) Limited
10. Al-Meo Builders & Developers
11. Muhandaseen (Pvt) LTD
12. Naseeb Khan & Brothers
13. Agha Construction Company Government Contractor
14. Shaheen Construction Company

G.M. (Engineering)/ Chief Engineer
Karachi Infrastructure Development Co. Ltd. (KIDCL)
Ministry of Communications
Government of Pakistan

15. MS Engineering Services (PVT) Ltd.
16. Total Construction
17. Muhammad Hasni Builders
18. Raza Muhammad and Company
19. KNK Pvt LTD
20. Indusmens Corporate
21. Maqbool Associates Pvt Limited
22. Haji Syed Ameer and Brothers
23. Zahir Khan and Brothers
24. NPI Construction and Engineering
25. Maqbool Ahmed and Co
26. Pakistan Civil and Electric Work
27. Shams & Zain Meo Rajpoot Construction Company
28. Gulzari Associates
29. Abdul Sattar and Co.
30. Haji Sahib Jan and Sons
31. Nadir Khan - ICEM JV
32. G.M Enterprises

The Unqualified bidder and Reasons for failure to disqualify.

	Name of Bidder	Reasons for Failure to Qualify The Technical Proposals
1	**M/s MS Engineering Services (PVT) Ltd.**	Failed due to the following reason: 1)Secured less than 70% marks minimum for technical qualification evaluation criteria(1)b of bidding documents. 2) Specific Assignment with cost or complexity (one similar projects) of value RS:400 Million(1.4)of bidding documents not demonstrated.
2	**M/s Ziauddin Ahmed & Co. (Pvt) LTD**	Failed due to the following reason: 1)Secured less than 70% marks minimum for technical qualification evaluation criteria(1)b of bidding documents. 2) Specific Assignment with cost or complexity (one similar projects) of value RS:400 Million(1.4)of bidding documents not demonstrated and 3) Failed to present Financial soundness 1.2(i) Average annual construction turn over for last three years up to RS:500 million.
3	**AMCORP Engineering and Construction**	Failed due to the following reason: 1)Secured less than 70% marks minimum for technical qualification evaluation criteria(1)b of bidding documents. 2) Specific Assignment with cost or complexity (one similar projects) of value RS:400 Million(1.4)of bidding documents not demonstrated.

G.M. (Engineering)/ Chief Engineer
Karachi Infrastructure Development Co. Ltd. (KIDCL)
Ministry of Communications
Government of Pakistan

4	**M/s Total Construction**	Failed due to the following reason: 1) Pursuant to Clause I.B 14 Bid Validity and IB Clause 15.2 Document Accompanying the bid the bidder was responsible to submit certain information along with his proposal. The bidder failed to comply with the mandatory requirement of the clause. Hence the Bid was non-responsive.
5	**Usmani Int'l Associates**	Failed due to the following reason: 1)Secured less than 70% marks minimum for technical qualification evaluation criteria(1)b of bidding documents. 2) Specific Assignment with cost or complexity (one similar projects) of value RS:400 Million(1.4)of bidding documents, not demonstrated.
6	**M/s Gulzari Associates, Contractors, Architects & Engineers**	Failed due to the following reason: 1)Secured less than 70% marks minimum for technical qualification evaluation criteria(1)b of bidding documents.
7	**M/s FCSL- Nadir Khan - ICEM JV** **FCSL 70 % (JV)** **Nadir Khan 15% (JV)** **ICEM JV**	Failed due to the following reason. 1) JV Partner failed Mandatory Provision Failed due to the following reason. 1) JV Partner failed Mandatory Provision Failed due to the following reason: 1) Technical qualification evaluation criteria 1.1 (i) Mandatory Provision /Eligibility; Registration with PEC Category C2 Lead member must meet ,other members must meet Category C2 .ICEM did not meet

The Qualified bidders

S. No	*Name of Bidders Ranking*	*Technical Score*	*Bid Price (PKR)*	*Evaluated Cost (PKR)*	Rule/Regulation/SBD8/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule35 PP Rules,2004
1	M/s Shams & Zain Meo Rajpoot Construction Company	86.26/100	*522,470,977/-*	*599,710,544/-*	3.66% Above
2	Maqbool Associates-shamshar khan & company (JV)	87.88/100	*645,153,076/-*	*643,714,160/-*	11.27% Above
3	M/s Al-Shafi Enterprises	81.12/100	783,453,213/-	749,888,286/-	29.62% Above
4	M/s SMK Construction Co.	86.12/100	ALREADY WON PACKAGE GL#09		

Lowest Bidder: M/s Shams & Zain Meo Rajpoot Construction Company

11. Any other additional/supporting information. The procuring agency may like to share: NIL

Signature.....

Official Stamp

G.M. (Engineering) / Chief Engineer
Karachi Infrastructure Development Co: Ltd.:(KIDCL)
Ministry of Communications
Government of Pakistan