

EVALUATION REPORT
(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

- | | | |
|-----|------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. | Name of Procuring Agency | <u>National Transmission and Despatch Co. Ltd (NTDCL)</u> |
| 2. | Method of Procurement | <u>International Competitive Bidding (ICB)</u> |
| 3. | Title of Procurement | Procurement of Material for 500kV D/C Quad Bundle Transmission Line from Thar Coal Block-I to Matiari Converter Station for Power Evacuation from Coal Power Plants. (Lot-III: OPGW alongwith Accessories) |
| 4. | Tender Inquiry No. | <u>TLM-09M-2019</u> |
| 5. | PPRA Ref No. | <u>TS419752E</u> |
| 6. | Date & Time of Bid Closing | <u>07/05/2020 at 11:00 A.M.</u> |
| 7. | Date & Time of Bid Opening | <u>Technical: 07/05/2020 at 11:30 A.M.</u>
<u>Financial: 26/11/2020 at 02:00 P.M.</u> |
| 8. | No of Bids Received | <u>02</u> |
| 9. | Criteria for Bid Evaluation | <u>Evaluation and Comparison of Bid as per Section-I "Instructions to Bidders" of Bidding Documents</u> |
| 10. | Details of Bid(s) Evaluation | |

Lot-III: OPGW alongwith Accessories.

Name of the Bidders	Marks		Quoted Bid Price US\$	Corrected/Discounted/ Evaluated Bid Price US\$	Remarks
	Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)			
M/s. Shandong Pacific Optics Fiber and Cable Co. Ltd., China.	NIL	NIL	962,683	962,683	Responsive
M/s. Suzhou Furukawa Power Optic Cable Co. Ltd., China	NIL	NIL	Non-Responsive		
			The reasons of non-responsiveness are as under: Bidder offered OPGW and optical fiber is of make Suzhou Furukawa, with model SFSJ-J-6816 having diameter 11.7 mm. Bidder has submitted the cross-sectional view of offered design which shows that there is only one buffer tube instead of three. The offered cable		

5 n tdc 35 L1
fal R

			<p>doesn't conform to the NTDC technical specifications and is rejected on following grounds:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder has mentioned one buffer tube instead of three as mentioned in TS 4.2.3.2 and 4.2.3.3 (Volume-2) of bidding document. <p>In the Technical Specifications TS-4.2.3.2, it is clearly mentioned that: -</p> <p><i>"Metallic buffer tubes shall have aluminum covering which shall be applied to prevent corrosion. The housing of the buffer tube shall ensure protection against tensile and crushing forces on the buffer tubes and optical fibers. The maximum number of fibers in one tube shall not be more than eight (8)".</i></p> <p>Moreover, drum length is mentioned 3200 Meter instead of 5000 Meter.</p>
--	--	--	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

11. **Lowest Evaluated Responsive Bidder**

M/s. Shandong Pacific Optics Fiber and Cable Co. Ltd., China.

12. **Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share**

Nil

Signature _____

Official Stamp CHIEF ENGINEER (MP&M) NTDC

Note: The above Evaluation Results are based on detailed Bid Evaluation Report (BER) and correct to best of our knowledge, however, in case of any discrepancy, the content of original BER shall prevail.