

EVALUATION REPORT
(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency **National Transmission and Despatch Co. Ltd (NTDC)**
2. Method of Procurement **National Competitive Bidding (NCB) & Single Stage Single Envelope (SSSE)**
3. Title of Procurement **PROCUREMENT OF INTEGRATED VIDEO CONFERENCING SOLUTION**
4. Tender Inquiry No. **NOR-IT-22-2020**
5. PPRA Ref No. **TS441143E**
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing **03.02.2021 at 11:00 A.M.**
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening **03.02.2021 at 11:30 A.M.**
8. No of Bids Received **03 (Three).**
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation **Evaluation and Comparison of Bid as per Section-I "Instructions to Bidders" of Bidding Documents**
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation

Name of the Bidders	Marks		Quoted Bid Price inclusive of taxes on FCS Basis (PKR)	Corrected/Evaluated Bid Price inclusive of taxes on FCS basis (PKR)	Remarks
	Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)			
M/s. Orient AV, Lahore	NIL	NIL	3,838,600/-	3,838,600/-	Responsive
M/s. Commtel Systems, Lahore	NIL	NIL	4,475,708/-	4,475,708/-	Responsive but higher in Price.
M/s. Nasco Traders, Islamabad	<p style="text-align: center;">Reasons of Non-responsiveness</p> <p>I. The Quantity offered by the bidder for Integrated Video Conferencing Solution is 01 No. instead of required 02 Nos as per Schedule A & Schedule C of Amendment No. 1 of the bidding document. Hence, the bidder does not comply with clause IB 1.1 Section II of the bidding document.</p> <p>II. Further upon correcting the quantity to 02 Nos. Quantity for Integrated Video Conferencing Solution, the submitted bid security become less than 2% percent of total price of the bid. Hence, the bidder does not comply with clause IB 19.1 Section II of the bidding document.</p>				

*h n t d c 3521
pol k*

	<p>III. The validity of the bid is 30 days mentioned in terms & conditions attached with Technical Specification, while it is 120 days in Form of Bid.</p> <p>IV. Written Power of Attorney duly notarized, authorizing the signatory of the bid to act for and on behalf of the bidder as per clause IB 1.1 (h) of the bidding documents was not submitted with the bid.</p> <p>V. Authority to seek reference from bidder's bank as per clause IB 11.1 (i) of the bidding documents was not submitted with the bid.</p> <p>VI. Pending Litigation Qualification Form QF-11 (Section V, Qualification Forms) Section V provided in the bidding document was not submitted with the bid.</p>
	<p>Therefore, the bid of M/s NASCO Trader, Islamabad does not meet the commercial requirements of the bidding document due to non-compliance with clauses IB 1.1 Section II & IB 19.1 Section II of the bidding document.</p>

1. Lowest Evaluated Responsive Bidder

M/s. Orient AV, Lahore

2. Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share

Nil

Signature_____

Official Stamp CHIEF ENGINEER (MP&M) NTDC

Note: The above evaluation results are based on detailed Bid Evaluation Report (BER) and correct to best of our knowledge, however, in case of any discrepancy, the content of original BER shall prevail