

GOVERNMENT OF PAKISTAN NATIONAL VOCATIONAL AND TECHNICAL TRAINING COMMISSION Plot No. 38, Kirthar Road, Sector H-9/4, Islamabad



Ph.051-9044315, Fax No.051-9206338 http:navttc.gov.pk

F. No. 3-219/2023-24/GA

Islamabad, 27th May, 2024

To:

The Incharge EPAD

Federal Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (PPRA)

Islamabad (Tender Reference No. F 240463211)

Subject:

CONSULTANCY OF IT SERVICES THE HIRING AUGMENTATION, STAFF FIRMS/AGENCIES/COMPANIES FOR **UX/GRAPHICS** DESIGN, DEVELOPMENT, SOFTWARE

SOFTWARE QUALITY ASSURANCE IN NAVTTC

Dear Sir.

I am directed to refer to the subject noted above and to enclose herewith the Technical Evaluation report of proposal for hiring of IT consultancy firms for staff augmentation, software development, UX/Graphics design, and software Quality Assurance in National Vocational & Technical Training Commission (NAVTTC), Islamabad for uploading on PPRA website.

> Ali Imran Malik) eputy Director (Procurement)

Copy to:- i) System Analyst, Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (PPRA), Islamabad (Tender Reference No. F 240463211) - for uploading on PPRA's website

> ii) Dy. Director (NSIS), NAVTTC HQ's, Islamabad - with request to post on NAVTTC website.

TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT (As per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

F. No. 3-219/2023-24/GA

Islamabad, 27th May, 2024

| I. Italia | dine of the dime the state | E |
|-----------|----------------------------|------------------------|
| Metho | Method of Procurement: | Single Stage - Iwo Env |

nabad velope

EOI FOR IT CONSULTANCY FIRMS

Title of Procurement:


Date & Time of Bid Closing:
Date & Time of Bid Opening:
No of Bids Received:
Criteria for Bid Evaluation
Details of Bid (s) Evaluation Tender Inquiry No. EPAD PPRA Ref. No. (TSE) 5. 6. 7. 7. 9. 9. 10.

F-240463211 TS537630E 17-05-2024 at 02:00 p.m. 17-05-2024 at 02:30 p.m. 07 Nos

Given in EOI (Quality based Selection)
As Below

| Z | Name of Bidder | Technical Marks | Rule/Regulation/SBD/Policy/Basis of Technical Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004 |
|-----|---|-----------------|--|
| | Vision Plus Technologies | Qualified | Recommended for RFP |
| | Carbon 8 Private Limited | Qualified | Recommended for RFP |
| × (| Excellence Delivered | Qualified | Recommended for RFP |
| in- | App in Snap | Qualified | Recommended for RFP |
| IV | True Tech Solution | Disqualified | Not recommended for RFP as the firm did not obtain the qualifying |
| 1 | | | score. |
| 9 | National Consulting & Management Solution | Disqualified | Not recommended for KFF as ure firm did not obtain the qualifying |
| | | | score. |
| 1 | INARA Technologies | Disqualified | Not recommended for Krr as undiffring firm did not obtain the qualifying |
| | | | score. |

Deputy Director (Procurement)



NATIONAL VOCATIONAL & TECHNICAL TRAINING

COMMISSION

TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT FOR HIRING THE SERVICES OF IT CONSULTANCY FIRMS / AGENCIES /

COMPANIES FOR STAFF AUGMENTATION, SOFTWARE

DEVELOPMENT, UX/GRAPHICS DESIGN, AND SOFTWARE QUALITY

ASSURANCE IN NAVITC

| | MARKS-BASED TECHNICAL EVALUAT | THE MINAVITC | | | | | | | | |
|-------|--|--------------|----------|------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| Sr.# | Particular | Total | | INARA | VISION PLUS | NATIONAL | LS SUBMITTED - VE | NDORS/FIRMS | | |
| 1 | Qualifications and Experience of Proposed | Marks | Results | TECHNOGIES | TECHNOLOGIE S | CONSULTING & MANAGEMENT SOLUTION | EXCELLENCE DELIVERY | TRUE TECH SOLUTION | APP IN SNAP | CARBON 8 Pvt. LIMITED |
| | | 40 | | The second | MARINE TO THE REST | | | | | CIMITED |
| 112 | Relevant academic qualifications Years of relevant professional experience | 10 | | | | | DOLLAR DE DOLLAR | | | |
| | | 20 | Marks | 0 | 10 | 7 | | | | |
| | | 10 30 | Obtained | 0 | | 15 | 10 | | 10 | |
| 2.2 | Clarity and comprehensiveness of the staffing plan | 10 | | | 10 | 7 | 10 | | 20 | 21 |
| 2.3 | Continuity and risk mitigation etrates in | 10 | Marks | 3 | 9 | 5 | 40 | ARCH STORY | | 1 |
| | | 10 | Obtained | 3 | 9 | 0 | 10 | | 10 | |
| | competitiveness of pricing relative to market rates | 10 | Marks | | 9 | 0 | 10 | | | |
| | ransparency and justification of cost structure Past Performance and References | | Obtained | 5 | 8 | 5 | 6 | William Ton | | |
| | | 10 | | 5 | 8 | 0 | | 1 | 0 | |
| 4.2 F | The previous clients | 5 | Marks | 1 | 5 | | | | U | |
| | Total Technical Score | 100 | Obtained | 1 21 | 5 | 5 | / 5 | | 2 | 5 |