House of the Federation

SENATE SECRETARIAT

Islamabad, May 24th, 2024.

FINAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency	:	Senate Secretariat
2.	Method of Procurement	:	Single Stage Two Envelope
3.	Title of Procurement	:	Supply of Furniture, Fixture & other items
4.	Tender Inquiry No.	:	F.5(4)/2023-24(Admn)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE)	:	TS538186E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Opening	:	13-05-2024 at 11:00 am
7.	Date & Time of Bid Closing	:	13-05-2024 at 11:30 am
8.	No. of Bids Received	:	Eleven (11)
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation	:	As per criteria prescribed in the Tender Document
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation	:	As under

Item / Category.	Sr. No	Name of Bidder	Bid Evaluation		Rule/Regulation/*SBD/ Policy/Basis of Rejection /Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
			Technical	Financial Rate with applicable taxes in Rs.	
Cat-I	**1.**	VUDXPERT, Islamabad	Qualified	Rs. 3,788,980/-	Bid was technically qualified as per the technical specification criteria however, bid was **not accepted** as the bidder overall quoted price was on higher side.
	2.	Malik Mohsin Javed & Co., Islamabad.	Qualified	Rs. 1,767,640/- (2nd Lowest)	Bid was technically qualified as per the technical specification criteria however, bid was **not accepted** as the bidder overall quoted price was 2nd Lowest.
	3.	Ghasif Furnishing Complex, Islamabad.	Qualified	Rs. 1,991,663/- (3rd Lowest)	Bid was technically qualified as per the technical specification criteria however, bid was **not accepted** as the bidder overall quoted price was 3rd Lowest.
	4.	Hurrf Traders, Islamabad.	Qualified	**Rs. 1,533,900/- (1st Lowest)**	**Bid accepted** as per Rule 36(b) (v), (vii) & (ix) of PPRA Rules, 2004 and the firm that meets the technical specification criteria as per tender documents and is the lowest financial bid
	5.	Karore Traders, Rawaplindi	Disqualified	----------	Bid was **not accepted** as per Rule 36 (b) (v) of PPRA Rules, 2004 as the firm did not meets the technical specification criteria as per tender documents
	6.	M/s. You Tree Services, Islamabad.	Disqualified	----------	Bid was **not accepted** as per Rule 36 (b) (v) of PPRA Rules, 2004 as the firm did not meets the technical specification criteria as per tender documents
	7.	M/s. Best Furniture, Rawalpindi	Disqualified	----------	Bid was **not accepted** as per Rule 36 (b) (v) of PPRA Rules, 2004 as the firm did not meets the technical specification criteria as per tender documents
	8.	M/s. Step In Enterprises, Islamabad.	Disqualified	----------	Bid was **not accepted** as per Rule 36 (b) (v) of PPRA Rules, 2004 as the firm did not meets the technical specification criteria as per tender documents
	9.	M/s. Pakistan Post Foundation, Islamabad.	Disqualified	----------	Bid was **not accepted** as per Rule 36 (b) (v) of PPRA Rules, 2004 as the firm did not meets the technical specification criteria as per tender documents
	10.	M/s. Diplomatic Express, Islamabad.	Disqualified	----------	Bid was **not accepted** as per Rule 36 (b) (v) of PPRA Rules, 2004 as the firm did not meets the technical specification criteria as per tender documents

Item / Category.	Sr. No	Name of Bidder	Bid Evaluation		Rule/Regulation/*SBD/ Policy/Basis of Rejection /Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
			Technical	Financial Rate with applicable taxes in Rs.	
Cat-II	1.	VUDXPERT, Islamabad	Qualified	Rs. 1,780,620/-	Bid was technically qualified as per the technical specification criteria however, bid was **not accepted** as the bidder overall quoted price was on higher side.
	2.	Malik Mohsin Javed & Co., Islamabad.	Qualified	Rs. 1,230,150/- (2nd Lowest)	Bid was technically qualified as per the technical specification criteria however, bid was **not accepted** as the bidder overall quoted price was 2nd Lowest.
	3.	Ghasif Furnishing Complex, Islamabad.	Qualified	**Rs. 1,139,762/- (1st Lowest)**	**Bid accepted** as per Rule 36(b) (v), (vii) & (ix) of PPRA Rules, 2004 and the firm that meets the technical specification criteria as per tender documents and is the lowest financial bid
	4.	Hurrf Traders, Islamabad.	Qualified	Rs. 1,911,300/-	Bid was technically qualified as per the technical specification criteria however, bid was **not accepted** as the bidder overall quoted price was on higher side.
	5.	Karore Traders, Rawaplindi	Qualified	Rs. 1,311,570/- (3rd Lowest)	Bid was technically qualified as per the technical specification criteria however, bid was **not accepted** as the bidder overall quoted price was 3rd Lowest.
	6.	Akbar Enterprises, Rawalpindi	Qualified	Rs. 1,350,180/	Bid was technically qualified as per the technical specification criteria however, bid was **not accepted** as the bidder overall quoted price was on higher side.
	7.	M/s. You Tree Services, Islamabad.	Disqualified	----------	Bid was **not accepted** as per Rule 36 (b) (v) of PPRA Rules, 2004 as the firm did not meets the technical specification criteria as per tender documents
	8.	M/s. Best Furniture, Rawalpindi	Disqualified	----------	Bid was **not accepted** as per Rule 36 (b) (v) of PPRA Rules, 2004 as the firm did not meets the technical specification criteria as per tender documents
	9.	M/s. Step In Enterprises, Islamabad.	Disqualified	----------	Bid was **not accepted** as per Rule 36 (b) (v) of PPRA Rules, 2004 as the firm did not meets the technical specification criteria as per tender documents
	10.	M/s. Pakistan Post Foundation, Islamabad.	Disqualified	----------	Bid was **not accepted** as per Rule 36 (b) (v) of PPRA Rules, 2004 as the firm did not meets the technical specification criteria as per tender documents
	11.	M/s. Diplomatic Express, Islamabad.	Disqualified	----------	Bid was **not accepted** as per Rule 36 (b) (v) of PPRA Rules, 2004 as the firm did not meets the technical specification criteria as per tender documents

HASSAN FAROOQ DAR
Section Officer
Senate Secretariat
Islamabad

(HASSAN FAROOQ DAR)
Section Officer (Admn)
Ph: 051-9213446

Official Stamp _____

*Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).