## **FINAL EVALUATION REPORT**

## (AS PER RULE 35 OF PUBLIC PROCUREMENT RULES, 2004)

F.1(18)Admn-IV/2017-I (Pt.I)

20th January, 2023

2 Method of Procurement: "Single Stage – Two Envelop" PPR-3	l Savings, Islamabad		
Title of Procurement:  "Provision of Security Guards by Central Directorate of National Save Central Directorate of National Save F.1(18)Admn-IV/2017-I (Pt.I)  PPRA Reference No:  Date & Time of Bid Closing:  TS492422E  Date & Time of Bid Opening:  Nos. of Bids Received:  Twelve (12) Bids  Criteria for Bid Evaluation:  At first, the technical bids were open pre-defined criteria in line with the asseven (07) bids were declared responsive arecommended for technical evaluat (07) bids of following bidders were Qualified (after conducting Technically qualified bidders were open pre-defined criteria mentioned in approved/ published RFP. Finally, the recommends the award of the compublished criteria, and in line with the compublished criteria.			
4 Tender No: F.1(18)Admn-IV/2017-I (Pt.I)  5 PPRA Reference No: TS492422E  6 Date & Time of Bid Closing: 11:00 a.m. on 25.10.2022  7 Date & Time of Bid Opening: 11:30 p.m. on 25.10.2022  8 Nos. of Bids Received: Twelve (12) Bids  9 Criteria for Bid Evaluation: At first, the technical bids were open pre-defined criteria in line with the asseven (07) bids were declared responsive arecommended for technical evaluat (07) bids of following bidders were Qualified (after conducting Technically qualified bidders were declared published RFP. Finally, the recommends the award of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria.	"Provision of Security Guards by Private Security Firm to		
4 Tender No:  F.1(18)Admn-IV/2017-I (Pt.I)  5 PPRA Reference No:  6 Date & Time of Bid Closing:  7 Date & Time of Bid Opening:  8 Nos. of Bids Received:  9 Criteria for Bid Evaluation:  At first, the technical bids were open pre-defined criteria in line with the asseven (07) bids were declared respensive and recommended for technical evaluat (07) bids of following bidders were Qualified (after conducting Technically qualified bidders were open pre-defined criteria mentioned in approved/ published RFP. Finally, the recommends the award of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria.			
Date & Time of Bid Closing:  11:00 a.m. on 25.10.2022  Nos. of Bids Received:  Twelve (12) Bids  Criteria for Bid Evaluation:  At first, the technical bids were ope pre-defined criteria in line with the asseven (07) bids were declared respebids were declared non-responsive a recommended for technical evaluat (07) bids of following bidders were Qualified (after conducting Technical evaluation criteria mentioned in approved/ published criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria.			
7 Date & Time of Bid Opening: 11:30 p.m. on 25.10.2022 8 Nos. of Bids Received: Twelve (12) Bids 9 Criteria for Bid Evaluation: At first, the technical bids were open pre-defined criteria in line with the asseven (07) bids were declared responsive and recommended for technical evaluation (07) bids of following bidders were Qualified (after conducting Technical evaluation criteria mentioned in approved/ published proved/ published RFP. Finally, the recommends the award of the compublished criteria, and in line with the asset of the published criteria, and in line with the asset of the compublished criteria, and in line with the asset of the compublished criteria, and in line with the asset of the compublished criteria, and in line with the asset of the computer of the comp			
8 Nos. of Bids Received:  9 Criteria for Bid Evaluation:  At first, the technical bids were ope pre-defined criteria in line with the a Seven (07) bids were declared responsive a recommended for technical evaluat (07) bids of following bidders were Qualified (after conducting Technical evaluation criteria mentioned in approved/ published presented bidders were declared non-responsive and the seven (07) bids of following bidders were criteria mentioned in approved/ published presented bidders were declared non-responsive and the seven (07) bids of following bidders were criteria mentioned in approved/ published bidders were declared non-responsive and the seven (07) bids of following bidders were criteria mentioned in approved/ published RFP. Finally, the recommends the award of the compublished criteria, and in line with the seven (07) bids were declared responsive and the seven (07) bids were declared responsive and the seven (07) bids of following bidders were commended for technical bid opening. Afterwate the seven (07) bids of following bidders were commended for technical bid opening. Afterwate the financial evaluation criteria approved/ published RFP. Finally, the recommends the award of the compublished criteria, and in line with the seven (07) bids were declared responsive and the seven (07) bids were declared responsive are commended for technical evaluation.			
9 Criteria for Bid Evaluation:  At first, the technical bids were ope pre-defined criteria in line with the a Seven (07) bids were declared responsive a recommended for technical evaluat (07) bids of following bidders were Qualified (after conducting Technical mentioned in approved/ published presented bidders were commended by the presented by the presented bidders were commended by the presented by the			
pre-defined criteria in line with the a Seven (07) bids were declared responsive a recommended for technical evaluat (07) bids of following bidders were dealified (after conducting Technical mentioned in approved/ published in approved/ published RFP. Finally, to recommends the award of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of pre-defined criteria in line with the assertion of seven (07) bids were declared non-responsive a recommend in line with the assertion of following bidders were commended for technical published criteria, and in line with the assertion of the commends the award of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the compublished criteria, and in line with the assertion of the computation of			
Tomoving actum	responsive whereas: five (05) asive and responsive bids were valuation. Consequently, seven as were declared Technically Technical Evaluation as per d/published RFP) for next step fterwards, financial bids of vere opened and evaluated as a criteria in line with the ally, the Purchase Committee he contract on the basis of		
10 Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: As follows			

S#	Name of Company	Total Weightage Average	Marks obtained Financial bids	Marks obtained Technical bids	Total Marks Obtained	Remarks
1	Security Organizing Services	34,902.353	36.328	60.00	96.328	Most Advantageous Bidder
2	Askari Guards	34,275.007	36.993	59.00	95.993	••
3	Safety & Security Services	34,498.044	36.754	59.00	95.754	
4	ZIM'S Security	35,307.988	35.911	54.00	89.911	
5	Ghazi Security Guards	31,698.623	40.000	46.50	86.500	-
6	Piffers Security Services	33,580.159	37.759	46.28	84.039	
7	Omer Razzaq Enterprises	38,484.371	32.947	47.00	79.947	

Most Advantageous Bid: M/s Security Organizing Services (Pvt) Ltd.

Finance/ Purchase Committee

National Savings Officer (P&L)

Ministry of Enance

Central Directorate of National Savings

Islamabad