



# NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section

28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(628)/GM/(P&CA)/NHA/2024/-230

Islamabad, 24<sup>th</sup> May, 2024

## DIRECTOR GENERAL

PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY  
1<sup>ST</sup> FLOOR FBC BUILDING NEAR STATE BANK,  
SECTOR G-5/2, ISLAMABAD.

**SUBJECT: ANNOUNCEMENT OF TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA RULE-35):  
CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR DESIGN REVIEW & CONSTRUCTION  
SUPERVISION OF INTERCHANGE AT (M-3) ABDUL HAKIM MOTORWAY AT  
ESSAN, SHARQPUR DISTRICT SHEIKHUPURA, 20 KM FROM M-2**

Reference: PPRA Rule-35

Find enclosed herewith the Technical Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.

  
(FAYYAZ AHMED)

GENERAL MANAGER (P&CA) 24/05/24

## DISTRIBUTION:

**ENCL:** Evaluation Report along with **Annex- I**

## COPY TO:

- Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;
- Dy. Director (P&CA), NHA, Islamabad.

# EVALUATION REPORT

## (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services for Design Review & Construction Supervision of Interchange at (M-3) Abdul Hakim Motorway at Essan, Sharqpur District Sheikhpura, 20 Km from M-2
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(628)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS533098E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	12 <sup>th</sup> March, 2024 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	12 <sup>th</sup> March, 2024 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Ten (10) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

Name of Bidder	Technical Score (out of 1000)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
1) M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Pakistan Railway Advisory & Consultancy Services (PRACS) (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s Tareek Consulting Engineers (SMC-Pvt.) Ltd. (Sub-Consultant)	796	<b>Technically Qualified</b>
2) M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. in association with M/s EPCM Consultants (Sub-Consultant.)	781	<b>Technically Qualified</b>
3) M/s Indus Associated Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV M/s ECSP (Pvt.) Ltd., M/s Effective Engineering Expertise-EEE (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s Karakoram Engineers (Sub-Consultant).	772	<b>Technically Qualified</b>
4) M/s Prime Engineering & Testing Consultants in JV with M/s Finite Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd. M/s Engineering & Management Services and in association with M/s Z-Tech	749	<b>Technically Qualified</b>

# **EVALUATION REPORT**

**(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)**

<b>Name of Bidder</b>	<b>Technical Score (out of 1000)</b>	<b>Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.</b>
Engineering Solutions. (Sub-Consultant)		
5) M/s PAVRON in association with M/s Engineering & Technical Associates. (ETA) (Sub-Consultant)	743	<b>Technically Qualified</b>
6) M/s Associated Consulting Engineering (ACE) Ltd. in association with M/s Hadi Engg Consultants, Lahore (Sub-Consultant)	736	<b>Technically Qualified</b>
7) M/s PEAS Consulting (Pvt.) Ltd. in association with M/s HMR Consulting Engineering (Sub-Consultant)	708	<b>Technically Qualified</b>
8) M/s ESS.I.AAR Planning, Engineering & Services Consultants in JV with M/s Concept Engineering Services & in association with M/s GlaxCon (Sub-Consultant)	675	<b>Technically Dis-Qualified</b> (Less than 70%)
9) M/s CAMEOS in JV with M/s National Infrastructure Engineering Services (NIES) and in association with M/s The Group of Engineers (Sub-Consultant)	651	<b>Technically Dis-Qualified</b> (Less than 70%)
10) M/s Asif Ali & Associates in JV with M/s A.A Associates (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s Techno Engineering Services- (Sub-Consultant).		<b>Non-Responsive</b> As per Clause 20.1 (I)-(i) of Data Sheet of RFP

11. **Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share:** The Procurement is being carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations using the QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio.

Signature: 

General Manager (P&CA)

Official Stamp: National Highway Authority

Islamabad

\*\*Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).

# National Highway Authority



**Annex-I**

**Criteria**

***FOR***

**Technical Evaluation**

**CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR DESIGN  
REVIEW & CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION  
OF INTERCHANGE AT (M-3) ABDUL HAKIM  
MOTORWAY AT ESSAN, SHARQPUR  
DISTRICT SHEIKHUPURA,  
20 KM FROM M-2**

**May, 2024**

## SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (FTP)

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Max. Weightage	Firm 1		Firm 2	
		Rating	Score	Rating	Score
<b>1. Firms Experience</b>	<b>100</b>				
1-a. General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
1-b. Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
<b>2. Approach and Methodology</b>	<b>250</b>				
2-a. <u>Appreciation of the Project</u>	70				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs giving a clear understanding/ proof of the project site	(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
2-b. <u>Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives</u>	50				
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
2-c. <u>Methodology</u>	80				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(30)				
2-d. Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR	10				
2-e. Work Program	20				
2-f. Staffing Schedule	20				
<b>3. Key Personnel</b>	<b>450</b>				
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel	25				
a- <b>Design Review (Key-Staff)</b>	<b>70</b>				
i. Team Leader/ Structure Engineer	70				
b- <b>Construction Supervision (Key-Staff)</b>	<b>355</b>				
i. Resident Engineer	110				
ii. Material Engineer	100				
iii. ARE (Structures)	85				
iv. Quantity Surveyor	60				
<b>4. Performance Certification from clients</b>	<b>75</b>				
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting	25				
<b>5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)</b>	<b>50</b>				
<b>6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)</b>	<b>50</b>				
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>1000</b>				

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average – 80-89% Average – 70-79% Below Average – 1-69% Non-complying – 0%  
 Score: Maximum Weightage x rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.



**PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET**

POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE	Name	Academic and General Qualification Weightage 30%		Project-related Experience Weightage 60% for [Design Review] and 65% for [Construction Supervision]		Status with the Firm * 10% for [Design Review] and 05% for [Construction Supervision]		OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings)
		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	
(Show all experts to be evaluated)								(A+B+C)
<b><u>Design Review Team</u></b>								
i. Team Leader /Structure Engineer								
<b><u>Construction Supervision (Key-Staff)</u></b>								
i. Resident Engineer								
ii. Material Engineer								
iii. ARE (Structures)								
iv. Quantity Surveyor								

Rating: -      Excellent - 100%      Very good – 90-99%      Above Average – 80-89%      Average – 70-79%      Below Average – 1-69%  
Non-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100.

\* For Qualification and Experience of above Key Personnel, refer to TOR Chapter 5, Clause -5.6 (Qualification and Experience Requirement of Personnel).

\*\* 6 month's older employee - 100%;  
The key professional staff whose duration with the firm is less than six (06) months/ available for the assignment/ associates/ part time available for the assignment in such case status with the firm's marks shall not be allocated.

