EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency	<u>National T</u> ransmission and Despatch Co. Ltd (NTDCL)	
2.	Method of Procurement	<u>National Competitive Bidding (NCB), Single Stage Two</u> <u>Envelope (SSTE)</u>	
3.	Title of Procurement	Detailed Survey & Sub-Soil Investigation for 500kV & 220kV Transmission Lines associated with 500kV Sialkot & Vehari Substation and 220kV Arifwala Substation.	
4.	Tender Inquiry No.	<u>TLS-16-2023.</u>	
5.	PPRA Ref No.	<u>TS502103E</u>	
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing	<u>08.03.2023 at 11:00 A.M.</u>	
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening	<u>Technical: 08.03.2023 at 11:30 A.M</u>	
8.	No of Bids Received	<u>Three. (03) Nos.</u>	
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation	Evaluation and Comparison of Bid as per Section-I	
10	Datails of Rid(s) Evaluation	"Instructions to Bidders" of Bidding Documents	

Name of the	Marks		Technical Evaluation Result	
Bidders	Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)	(Responsive/ Non-Responsive	Remarks
M/s. DECON- EnMasse (Consortium) Lahore	NIL	NIL	Responsive for Only one lot and recommended for price/financial bid opening The bidder did not meet the criteria stipulated in Clause IB 3.1 (b)(d) of BDS of the bidding document. The bidder proposed a single key personnel team for both the Lots. A post bid clarification was sent in this regard. However, as per provided documents after post bid clarification the bidder claimed for different key personnel that has been proposed for both lots is not correct.	Responsive for One Lot*
M/s. NESPAK Pvt.,	NU	NU	Responsive and recommended for	Pasnonsiva

NIL

10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation

* 5

Technical Bid Evaluation Results: TLS-16-2023

Ltd., Lahore

NIL

8 stole 27423 . (1 5046-

price/financial bid opening

1 | Page

Responsive

	Non-Responsive
	The following shortcoming/ discrepancies have been observed in the bid submitted.
	Bidder did not meet the requirements as per clause IB 14.18 IB 11.1(i) (c) Section-II, Appendix-H, Sub-Clause 3.1 (a)(iii) of BDS, submitted data in form QF-5, the bidder's net worth is no positive of the Bidding Data sheets of the bidding document.
	Not provided affidavit that Bidder is not blacklisted by an agency.
	Bidder has not submitted documents to substantiate it experience.
M/s. F&M Pvt., Ltd., Lahore	The bidder has not submitted the Survey of Pakista registration certificate of firm/key personnel.
9	Bidder has not submitted the audited Financial Reports of th last three years
	Bidder has not submitted a list of equipment for Survey an Subsoil investigation work on non-judicial stamp paper as per form QF-7.
	The bidder has not proposed specific Key Personnel for eac position. Further, the bidder has not provided the supportin document to substantiate the eligibility of the preposed ke personnel.
	Proof of purchase of tender document is not submitted with Bid.
	In light of above, the bid is not found to be substantially responsive as per Clause-26 Section-I of Bidding Document.

3

~ ,24

*. The bidder meets the criteria only for One Lot. As the bidder has quoted for both Lots 1&2 and if determined to be the lowest responsive bidder in both Lots after the price bid opening, the Employer will decide which lot will be finally awarded to the bidder based on the most economical solution for the Employer.

11. Technically Responsive Bidders/ Firms

12. Any other additional / supporting

Information, the procuring agency may like to share.

Signature: _____

Official Stamp: CHIEF ENGINEER (MP&M), NTDC.

Note: The above evaluation results are based on detailed Bid Evaluation Report (BER) and correct to best of our knowledge, however, in case of any discrepancy, the content of original BER shall prevail.

Technical Bid Evaluation Results: TLS-16-2023

M/s. DECON-EnMasse (Consortium), Lahore M/s. NESPAK Pvt., Ltd., Lahore NIL

2 | P a g e