


# EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

|     |                               |   |
|-----|-------------------------------|---|
| 1.  | Name of Procuring Agency:     | Allama Iqbal Open University, Islamabad                                 |
| 2.  | Method of Procurement:        | Single Stage Two Envelope (NF)  |
| 3.  | Title of Procurement:         | Procurement of Air Conditioners for AIOU Main Campus & Regional Offices |
| 4.  | Tender Inquiry No.:           | 69/2021-22  |
| 5.  | PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):          | TS468630E   |
| 6.  | Date & Time of Bid Closing:   | 2022-02-09 - 10:30 AM   |
| 7.  | Date & Time of Bid Opening:   | 2022-02-09 - 11:00 AM   |
| 8.  | No of Bids Received:          | Seven<br>(7)  |
| 9.  | Criteria for Bid Evaluation:  | As per criteria prescribed in the Standard Bidding Document (SBD)       |
| 10. | Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: | The detailed bid evaluation report is as under:                         |

| Sr. # | Name of Bidder                         | Marks                     |                           | Evaluated Cost | Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.  |
|-------|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|--|
|       |  | Technical (if applicable) | Financial (if applicable) |                |  |
| 1     | RIZ TECHNOLOGY                         | 73.5                      | -                         | 9,372,697      | Technically Qualified Being Fulfillment Of Mandatory Eligibility And Technical Evaluation Criterial But Found Financially Highest Evaluated Bidder.  |
| 2     | M/s Al-Hamid Traders (Pvt.) Ltd        | 92                        | -                         | 14,548,149     | Most Advantageous Bidder Being Fulfillment Of Mandatory Eligibility As Well As Technical Evaluation Criteria From All Aspect As Mentioned In Tender Document And Found Financially Lowest. |
| 3     | M/s Best Scientific Technology Company | Not Responsive            | -                         |                | Bid rejected due to financial rates of quoted items attached with technical bids.  |
| 4     | MALIK MOHSIN JAVED & CO.               | Not Qualified             | -                         | 0              | Technically Dis-Qualified As The Firm Obtained 65.5 Marks As Set Out In Technical Evaluation Criteria I.E. 70 Marks For Qualified As Mentioned In Tender Document.                         |
| 5     | M/s Pak Elektron Ltd                   | 95                        | -                         | 7,626,806      | Technically Qualified Being Fulfillment Of Mandatory Eligibility And Technical Evaluation Criterial But Found Financially Highest Evaluated Bidder.  |
| 6     | M/s JK United Construction Company     | Not Responsive            | -                         |                | Non-responsive due to non fulfillment of mandatory   |

  
01/4/2022

|   |                                     |                |   |  |   |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------------|---|--|---|
|   |                                     |                |   |  | criteria i.e. non submission of signed and stamp bidding document as mentioned in tender document.  |
| 7 | M/s Asad Khan & Islam Khan Brothers | Not Responsive | - |  | Non-responsive due to non fulfillment of mandatory criteria i.e. non submission of latest active tax payer list and technical brochure of quoted items as mentioned in tender document. |

**Most Advantageous Bidder:**

M/s Al-Hamid Traders (Pvt.) Ltd

  
**(Atta Ur Rehman)**  
Assistant Treasurer (P)

  
**(Muhammad Zahid Akhtar Khaki)**  
Additional Director (P)