



# NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section  
28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(627)/GM/(P&CA)/NHA/2024/176

Islamabad, 24 April, 2024

## DIRECTOR GENERAL

PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY  
1<sup>ST</sup> FLOOR FBC BUILDING NEAR STATE BANK,  
SECTOR G-5/2, ISLAMABAD.

**SUBJECT: ANNOUNCEMENT OF TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA RULE-35):  
CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR DESIGN REVIEW & CONSTRUCTION  
SUPERVISION OF INTERCHANGE ON HAZARA MOTORWAY TO CONNECT  
ABBOTTABAD & SHERWAN ROAD RIGHT AFTER FIRST TUNNEL  
(SHMILA HILL TUNNEL) BESIDES SHERWAN ROAD.**

Reference: PPRA Rule-35

Find enclosed herewith the Technical Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.

(FAYYAZ AHMED)

General Manager (P&CA)

## Distribution:

**Encl:** Evaluation Report along with **Annex- I**

## Copy to:

- Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;
- Dy. Director (P&CA), NHA, Islamabad.



# EVALUATION REPORT

## (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services for Design Review & Construction Supervision of Interchange on Hazara Motorway to Connect Abbottabad & Sherwan Road Right after First Tunnel (Shmila Hill Tunnel) besides sherwan road
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(627)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS532073E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	6 <sup>th</sup> March, 2024 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	6 <sup>th</sup> March, 2024 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Ten (10) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

Name of Bidder	Technical Score (out of 1000)	Rule/Regulation/ SIBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
1) M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s BK Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s Tareek Consulting Engineers (SMC-Pvt.) Ltd. (Sub-Consultant)	800	<b>Technically Qualified</b>
2) M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. in association with M/s Bosphorus Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd. (Sub-Consultant)	778	<b>Technically Qualified</b>
3) M/s Indus Associated Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV M/s Engineering Consultancy Services (Punjab) (Pvt.) Ltd., M/s Effective Engineering Expertise (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s Karakoram Engineers (Sub-Consultant)	767	<b>Technically Qualified</b>



# EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

Name of Bidder	Technical Score (out of 1000)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
4) M/s PAVRON in JV with M/s Civil Engineering & Consultants (CECON), M/s Pakistan Railways Advisory Consultants (PRACS) and in association with M/s Engineering & Technical Associates (ETA) (Sub-Consultant)	746	<b>Technically Qualified</b>
5) M/s PEAS Consulting (Pvt.) Ltd. in association with M/s En Em Associates (Sub-Consultant)	735	<b>Technically Qualified</b>
6) M/s Associated Consulting Engineering (ACE) Ltd. and in association with M/s Hadi Engg Consultants, Lahore (Sub-Consultant)	731	<b>Technically Qualified</b>
7) M/s Prime Engineering & Testing Consultants in JV with M/s Finite Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd. M/s Engineering & Management Services and in association with M/s Z-Tech Engineering Solutions. Sub-Consultant)	722	<b>Technically Qualified</b>
8) M/s CAMEOS in JV with National Infrastructure Engineering Services (NIES) and in association with M/s The Group of Engineers (Sub-Consultant)	658	<b>Technically Dis-Qualified</b> (Less than 70%)
9) M/s Development Management Consultants in JV with M/s Global Engineering System & in association with M/s Roghani Associates (Sub-Consultant)	<b>Non-Responsive</b> As per Clause 20.1 (I)- (iv) & (III) of Data Sheet of RFP	
10) M/s AA Associates in JV with M/s Asif Ali Associates (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s Techno	<b>Non-Responsive</b> As per Clause 20.1 (I)- (i) of Data Sheet of RFP	




# EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

Name of Bidder	Technical Score (out of 1000)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
Engineering Services- Peshawar (Sub-Consultant)		

11. **Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share:** The Procurement is being carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations using the QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio.

Signature: 

Official Stamp:   
General Manager (P&CA)  
National Highway Authority  
Islamabad  
\*\*Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).



# National Highway Authority



**Annex-I**

**Criteria**

***FOR***

**Technical Evaluation**

**Consultancy Services for Design Review &  
Construction Supervision of Interchange on  
Hazara Motorway to Connect Abbottabad &  
Sherwan Road Right After First Tunnel  
(Shmila Hill Tunnel) Besides Sherwan Road**

**April, 2024**

## SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (FTP)

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Max. Weightage	Firm 1		Firm 2	
		Rating	Score	Rating	Score
<b>1. Firms Experience</b>	<b>100</b>				
1-a. General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
1-b. Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
<b>2. Approach and Methodology</b>	<b>250</b>				
2-a. <u>Appreciation of the Project</u>	70				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs giving a clear understanding/ proof of the project site	(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
2-b. <u>Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives</u>	50				
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
2-c. <u>Methodology</u>	80				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(30)				
2-d. Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR	10				
2-e. Work Program	20				
2-f. Staffing Schedule	20				
<b>3. Key Personnel</b>	<b>450</b>				
<b>Firm affidavit for presence of personnel</b>	25				
a- <b>Design Review (Key-Staff)</b>	70				
i. Team Leader/ Highway Engineer	70				
b- <b>Construction Supervision (Key-Staff)</b>	355				
i. Resident Engineer	110				
ii. Material Engineer	100				
iii. ARE (Structures)	85				
iv. Quantity Surveyor	60				
<b>4. Performance Certification from clients</b>	75				
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting	25				
<b>5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)</b>	50				
<b>6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)</b>	50				
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>1000</b>				

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average – 80-89% Average – 70-79% Below Average – 1-69% Non-complying – 0%  
 Score: Maximum Weightage x rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.



