FINAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Federal Government Employees Housing Authority, Islamabad
2. Method of Procurement: Single Stage Two Envelope
3. Title of Procurement: Infrastructure Development Works of Package-I (Sector 24B & 25B) at KDA Scheme-33, Gulzar-e-Hijri, Karachi
4. Tender Inquiry No.: F.NO.PD/HA/PH-I & II / Infra-Development/ Package-I.
5. PPRA Ref. No. (TSE): TS500669E.
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 02-02-2023 At 12:00PM
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 04-04-2023 At 11:00AM
8. No of Bids Received: 11
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Lowest Bid
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: As per details tabulated below

Name of Bidder	Marks		Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical (if applicable)	Financial (if applicable)		
PACKAGE-I				
M/s Abdul Sattar & Co	87.00	18% below Engineer's Estimate	381,677,641	1st Lowest
M/s Zarif Khan Hussan Zai & Brothers	89.00	17.5% below Engineer's Estimate	384,004,944	2nd Lowest
M/s ZBA Group	90.43	5.1% below Engineer's Estimate	441,722,051	3rd Lowest
M/s Haji Gul Muhammad Khan Shirani	89.00	0 Above/ Below Engineer's Estimate	465,460,538	4th Lowest
M/s Shamsher Khan & Co	96.50	3 .0% above Engineer's Estimate	482,925,681	5th Lowest
M/s Haji Syed Ameer And Brothers	94.58	18 % above Engineer's Estimate	549,243,435	6th Lowest
M/s Umer Jan & Company	98.00	19.3% above Engineer's Estimate	555,328,215	7th Lowest

M/s M.Azeem Shah Khetran	13.25	Technically Disqualified		Technically Disqualified 1. Form of Bid not provided 2. Organization chart not provided 3. Failed in turnover 4. Failed in relevant experience 5. Work program not provided 6. Work methodology provided 7. Failed in key persons 8. Failed in plant and equipment's
M/s Naseer Brothers	10.00	Technically Disqualified		Technically Disqualified 1. Form of Bid not provided 2. Bid security not provided 3. Organization chart not provided 4. Failed in turnover 5. Failed in relevant experience 6. Work program not provided 7. Work methodology provided 8. Failed in key persons 9. Failed in plant and equipment's
M/s IIW Industrial Engineers and Contractors	57.50	Technically Disqualified		Technically Disqualified 1. Failed in key persons 2. Failed in plant and equiop0ments 3. Failed in relevant experience
M/s Fazal Construction Company	68.25	Technically Disqualified		Technically Disqualified 1. Form of bid not provided on affidavit or letter head 2. Failed in key persons 3. Failed in plant and equipment's 4. Failed in relevant experience
(Add Columns if Required)				

Most Advantageous Bidder: Based on the comparison of the bids received, it transpired that M/s Abdul Sattar & Co is the lowest bidder and their quoted rates are 18% below the Engineer's Estimate formulated on PWD-2022 schedule rates and some NSI rates. Client may consider award of works to M/s Abdul Sattar & Co and may also seek rate analysis of the items included in the scope of works.

10. Any other additional / supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share.

Signature: .....

Official Stamp: .....

Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).