TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. **Name of Procuring Agency:** NADRA Regional Head Office, Multan
2. **Method of Procurement:** Single Stage-Two Envelop Request for Proposals
3. **Title of Procurement:** Procurement of Engineering Consultancy Services
4. **Tender Inquiry No.:** NADRA-RHO-MLT-RFP/2024/01
5. **PPRA Reference No. (TSE):** TS530941E dated 24 Jan 2024
6. **Date & Time of Proposals Closing:** 14 Feb 2024 at 1400 Hours
7. **Date & Time of Proposals Opening:** 14 Feb 2024 at 1430 Hours
8. **No. of Proposals received:** 06 Nos. (Six)
9. **Criteria for Proposals Evaluation:** Quality & Cost Base Selection (QCBS)
10. **Details of Proposals Evaluation:** Summarized below & details attached

Name of Consultants	Weighted Technical Scores	Basis for Rejection /Acceptance
M/s SAMPAK International (Pvt.) Ltd, Lahore	N/A	Rejected – Consultant not agreed with payment terms of RFP
M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd, Lahore	70.85	Accepted – Responsive & Passed in Technical Evaluation of Proposals
M/s ESAC Engineering Consultant & Arch. Consultant, Lahore	N/A	Not Responsive - Proposal Securing Declaration was not attached, Printed & Soft copy of Technical Proposal not submitted.
M/s ESS-I-AAR Planning, Engineering and Services Consultant, Karachi	57.90	Accepted – Responsive & Passed in Technical Evaluation of Proposals
M/s Anwar Ali Architects (Pvt.) Ltd. Lahore	N/A	Not Responsive - Proposal Securing Declaration, Undertaking of being not blacklisted were not attached, No registration document of PEC & PRA, Printed & Soft copy of Technical Proposal not submitted.
M/s MEINHARDT Pakistan (Pvt.) Ltd. Islamabad	70.86	Accepted – Responsive & Passed in Technical Evaluation of Proposals

11. Total six (06) interested Consultants submitted Proposals. M/s SAMPAK International (Pvt.) Ltd. Lahore submitted in Tech Form-03 that they are not agreed with Terms of Payment vide Sub Component-5 (Construction Supervision), hence, conditional proposal not accepting the terms of RFP is rejected. M/s ESAC Engineering Consultant & Architectural Consultant Lahore and M/s Anwar Ali Architects (Pvt.) Ltd. Lahore are Non-Responsive in eligibility requirements as mentioned above.

Signatures:

Official Stamp:

NATIONAL DATABASE & REGISTRATION AUTHORITY ★ Government of Pakistan Regional Headquarters Date 07/2/24

National Database and Registration Authority (NADRA)
Regional Head Office, 221-A SRA Colony, Multan

TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT

Procurement Number					
PA	Department/Project	Financial Year	Sequence Number	Bid Number	Contract Number
NADRA	RHO Multan	2023-24	RFP/2024/01	-	-

TECHNICAL EVALUATION AND MARKS
(ONLY RESPONSIVE AND ELIGIBLE BIDDERS)

Sr. No.	1		2		3	
Company Name:	**NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. Lahore**		**M/s ESS-I-AAR Planning, Engineering and Services Consultant, Karachi**		**MEINHARDT Pakistan (Pvt.) Ltd. Islamabad**	
A. Financial Soundness	**Marks Obtained**	**Status**	**Marks Obtained**	**Status**	**Marks Obtained**	**Status**
Average Annual Turnover (For last three Years)	12.00 (100%)	Pass	08.00 (66.67%)	Pass	12.00 (100%)	Pass
B. Past Experience	-	-	-	-	-	-
Experience of Similar Nature (Multi Story Buildings of minimum 30 million Consultancy)	21.00 (100%)	Pass	12.60 (60%)	Pass	21.00 (100%)	Pass
General Experience (Projects of min 40 million consultancy including Similar Nature Projects)	05.40 (60%)	Pass	05.40 (60%)	Pass	07.20 (80%)	Pass
C. Professional Resources	-	-	-	-	-	-
Project Team Assigned	28.50 (95.00%)	Pass	26.50 (88.33%)	Pass	29.00 (96.67%)	Pass
D. Corporate Capacity	-	-	-	-	-	-
Projects in Hand (Multistory building projects)	02.50	-	05.00	-	05.00	-
Office in Multan	02.00	-	02.00	-	00.00	-

National Database and Registration Authority (NADRA)
Regional Head Office, 221-A SRA Colony, Multan

TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT

Procurement Number					
PA	Department/Project	Financial Year	Sequence Number	Bid Number	Contract Number
NADRA	RHO Multan	2023-24	RFP/2024/01	-	-

Sr. No.	1		2		3	
Company Name:	**NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. Lahore**		**M/s ESS-I-AAR Planning, Engineering and Services Consultant, Karachi**		**MEINHARDT Pakistan (Pvt.) Ltd. Islamabad**	
Green Building Certificate/Membership	02.00	-	00.00	-	00.00	-
Certifications	03.00	-	01.50	-	01.50	-
E. Approach, Methodology and Work Plan	-	-	-	-	-	-
E1: Understanding of Objectives, Quality of Methodology and sequence of tasks to undertake assignment	03.88 (64.58%)	Pass	04.06 (67.71%)	Pass	04.44 (73.96%)	Pass
E2: Work Plan	02.28 (76.04%)	Pass	02.25 (75.00%)	Pass	02.50 (83.33%)	Pass
E3: Organization and Staffing	02.69 (89.58%)	Pass	01.88 (62.50%)	Pass	02.63 (87.50%)	Pass
E4: Presentation on Concept Design and Plans vide E1, E2 & E3	03.31 (82.81%)	Pass	03.19 (79.69%)	Pass	03.31 (82.81%)	Pass
Total Marks & Overall %age	**88.56 (88.56%)**	**Pass**	**72.38 (72.38%)**	**Pass**	**88.58 (88.58%)**	**Pass**
Conclusion	**Pass (P)**		**Pass (P)**		**Pass (P)**	

KEY: **P** - Pass (For Financial Proposal) **F** - Fail (For Financial Proposal)

NATIONAL DATABASE & REGISTRATION AUTHORITY * NADRA Government of Pakistan Regional Head Quarters Multan

National Database and Registration Authority (NADRA)
Regional Head Office, 221-A SRA Colony, Multan

TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT

Procurement Number					
PA	Department/Project	Financial Year	Sequence Number	Bid Number	Contract Number
NADRA	RHO Multan	2023-24	RFP/2024/01	-	-

WEIGHTED TECHNICAL SCORE (WTS)
(BASED ON MARKS OBTAINED IN TECHNICAL EVALUATION)

Description	Max Marks/Score	Marks/Score Obtained and Weighted Average Scores		
		NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. Lahore	M/s ESS-I-AAR Planning, Engineering and Services Consultant, Karachi	MEINHARDT Pakistan (Pvt.) Ltd. Islamabad
Total Technical Marks Obtained	**100**	88.56	72.38	88.58
Total Weighted Technical Score	**80 (100x80/100)**	**70.85**	**57.90**	**70.86**
Total Qualification Marks	**48 (60x80/100)**	**Qualified for Financials**	**Qualified for Financials**	**Qualified for Financials**

Note: Marks obtained by each firm given weightage of 80% to calculate the weighted technical score with this formula as defined in RFP Documents vide no. 27.1 of Proposal Data Sheet;

Marks obtained x 80 ÷ 100 = Weighted Technical Score

Consultants scoring less than 48 Weighted Technical Score are considered as rejected/disqualified as per RFP Documents.

M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. Lahore, M/s ESS-I-AAR Planning, Engineering and Services Consultant Karachi and M/s MEINHARDT Pakistan (Pvt.) Ltd. Islamabad have qualify for Financial Evaluation.