EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

- Name of Procuring Agency: <u>PAKISTAN TOURISM DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION</u>
 Method of Procurement: <u>TWO STAGE TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE UNDER RULE 36(C)</u>
 Title of Procurement: <u>HIRING OF FIRMS FOR DEVELOPMENT OF BRAND PAKISTAN</u>
 Tender Inquiry No.: <u>PTDC/NTCB/WG/2019</u>
- 5. PPRA Ref. No. (TSE): <u>TS405175E</u>
 6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: <u>28TH NOVEMBER 2019 AT 1200 HRS</u>
- 7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 28TH NOVEMBER 2019 AT 1230 HRS
- 8. No of Bids Received: <u>08</u>
 9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: <u>ENCLOSED AT ANNEX-I</u>
- 10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: ENCLOSED AT ANNEX-II

Name of Bidder	Marks		Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/
	Technical (if applicable)	Financial (if applicable)		Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
M/S The DHamidi Partnership (Pvt.) Ltd.	89.71/100	-	13,560,000	Accepted for procuring highest marks in technical evaluation
M/S M&C Saatchi World Services Pakistan Pvt. Ltd.	71.85/100	-	8,682,000	Procured 2 nd Highest marks in technical evaluation
M/S Interflow Communications (Pvt) Ltd.	60.85/100	-	-	Rejected for not being qualified in technical evaluation
M/S Adetude Pvt. Limited	47.85/100	-	-	Rejected for not being qualified in technical evaluation
M/S Message Communications,	-		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Rejected for not being qualified in technical evaluation
M/S Black Box Sounds		-	-	Rejected for not being qualified in technical evaluation
M/S Badar Expo Solutions, Karachi			- 4	Rejected for not being qualified in technical evaluation
M/S Dev Studio, Lahore	-	-	-	Rejected for not being qualified in technical evaluation

Lowest Evaluated Bidder: M/S The DHamidi Partnership (Pvt.) Ltd.

11. Any other additional / supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share.

Signature

MALIK BABUR JAVED