## EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency:

Ministry of Human Rights, Islamabad

2. Method of Procurement:

**Open Competitive Bidding** 

<u>Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure</u> <u>Supply of I.T. Equipment, Machinery & Furniture</u>

3. Title of Procurement:4. Tender Inquiry No.:

PID(I)4158/20

5. PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):

TS443152E

6. Date & Time of Bid Closing:

23-02-2021 at 02:30 PM

7. Date & Time of Bid Opening:

23-02-2021 at 03:15 PM

8. No of Bids Received:

14 (Fourteen No of Bidders)

9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation:

**Terms & Conditions mentioned in the Bidding Document** 

10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:

Comparative Statement is as under.

(Lot # 1)

Name of		Qualif	ication of Bidde	er		Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/ Basis for Rejection /
Bidder			Evaluated Cost Rs.	Acceptance as per Rule 35 Of PP Rules, 2004.		
ATi Technologies	Qualified	63	27	90	1,930,000	Accepted being 1st lowest/most advantageous evaluated bid.
Mega Plus	Qualified	64	18	18 82 2		<b>2</b> <sup>nd</sup> lowest evaluated responsive bid.
Super Tech Business System	Disqualified	Nil	Financial Bid was not opened. PPRA Rule 36(b)(viii)	Nil	Nil	Rejected as the bidder quoted a laptop model with low specifications as: - Memory (RAM) speed was quoted 2400 Mhz while 2667 Mhz was required.
NECSol	Disqualified	Nil	Financial Bid was not opened. PPRA Rule 36(b)(viii)	Nil	Nil	<b>Rejected as</b> Non-compliance with SBD clauses 1.1. Bidder has no authorization.
Jeff & Sheral	Disqualified	Nil	Financial Bid was not opened. PPRA Rule 36(b)(viii)	Nil	Nil	<b>Rejected as</b> Non-compliance with SBD clauses 1.1. Bidder has no authorization.

Most Advantageous Bidder in LOT#1: ATi Technologies, Islamabad.

(Lot # 2)

Name of Bidder		Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/ Basis for Rejection /				
	Qualified/ Not Qualified	Not Marks (if		Financial Total Marks Marks		Acceptance as per Rule 35 Of PP Rules, 2004.
Super Tech Business System	Disqualified	Nil	Financial Bid was not opened. PPRA Rule 36(b)(viii)	Nil	Nil	<b>Rejected</b> as the bidder quoted the low specifications.
Shirazi Trading	Qualified	60	25	85	5,756,400	Accepted being 1st lowest/most advantageous evaluated bid.
Mega Plus	Qualified	58	23	81	5,866,560	<b>2</b> <sup>nd</sup> lowest evaluated responsive bid.
Fortek	Disqualified	Nil	Financial Bid was not opened. PPRA Rule 36(b)(viii)	Nil	Nil	<b>Rejected</b> as the bidder quoted low specifications.

Most Advantageous Bidder in LOT#2: Shirazi Trading, Islamabad.

(Lot # 3)

Name of Bidder		Quali	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/ Basis for Rejection /			
Sidder	Qualified/ Not Qualified	Tech. Marks (if qualified)	Financial Marks	Total Marks	Evaluated Cost Rs.	Acceptance as per Rule 35 Of PP Rules, 2004.
National Engineers	Qualified	62	27	89	3,043,209	Accepted being 1st lowest/most advantageous evaluated bid.
Arwen Tech	Qualified	61	15	76	5,390,881	<b>2</b> <sup>nd</sup> lowest evaluated responsive bid
Fortek	Disqualified	Nil	Financial Bid was not opened. PPRA Rule 36(b)(viii)	Nil	Nil	Rejected as the bidder quoted low specifications in WLAN Aps as mentioned in bid document. 400 mbps 2.4 GHz instead of 500 mbps and 867 mbps 5 Ghz instead of 1 gbps. Does not support Wi-fi 6.

Most Advantageous Bidder in LOT#3: National Engineers, Islamabad.

(Lot # 4)

Name of Bidder		Quali	fication of Bid	der		Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/ Basis for Rejection /
	Qualified/ Not Qualified	Tech. Marks (if qualified)	Financial Marks	Total Marks	Evaluated Cost Rs.	Acceptance as per Rule 35 Of PP Rules, 2004.
Rozi Enterprises	Qualified	62	28	90	813,370	Accepted being 1st lowest/most advantageous evaluated bid.
Fast Track	Qualified	61	20	81	1,071,091	<b>4</b> <sup>th</sup> lowest evaluated responsive bid.
Fortek	Qualified	63	23	86	926,100	<b>2</b> <sup>nd</sup> lowest evaluated responsive bid.
Jeff & Sheral	Qualified	61	24	85	923,434	<b>3</b> <sup>rd</sup> lowest evaluated responsive bid.
Super Tech Business System	Disqualified	Nil	Financial Bid was not opened. PPRA Rule 36(b)(viii)	Nil	Nil	Rejected Technically, because the technical specifications do not comply as required in the SBD i.e., quoted AC of 1 ton while 1.5 ton was required and UPS with low specs that does not match with required specs.

Most Advantageous Bidder in LOT#4: Rozi Enterprises, Islamabad.

(Lot # 5)

Name of Bidder		Qua	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/ Basis for Rejection /			
	Qualified/ Not Qualified	Tech. Marks (if qualified)	Financial Marks	Total Marks	Evaluated Cost Rs.	Acceptance as per Rule 35 Of PP Rules, 2004.
Fast Track	Qualified	58	22	80	913,710	Accepted being 1st lowest/most advantageous evaluated bid.
Jeff & Sheral	Disqualified	Nil	Financial Bid was not opened. PPRA Rule 36(b)(viii)	Nil	Nil	Rejected Technically, because the quoted Biometric solution is in-complete in specifications i.e., RFID Card Printer & Fingerprint Identification Time & Attendance/Access Controller.

Most Advantageous Bidder in LOT#5: Fast Track, Islamabad.

(Lot # 6)

Name of Bidder		Qua	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/ Basis for Rejection /			
	Qualified/ Not Qualified	Tech. Marks (if qualified)	Financial Marks	Total Marks	Evaluated Cost Rs.	Acceptance as per Rule 35 Of PP Rules, 2004.
Nasco Traders	Qualified	62	22	84	2,200,000	<b>2</b> <sup>nd</sup> lowest evaluated responsive bid.
Mega Plus	Qualified	63	18	81	3,254,355	<b>3</b> <sup>rd</sup> lowest evaluated responsive bid.
ATi Technologies	Qualified	59	28	87	1,797,120	Accepted being <u>1st</u> lowest/most advantageous evaluated bid.

Most Advantageous Bidder in LOT#6: ATi Technologies, Islamabad.

## (Lot # 7)

Name of Bidder		Qua	lification of	Bidder	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/ Basis for Rejection /	
Bludel	Qualified/ Not Qualified	Tech. Marks (if qualified)	Financial Marks	Total Marks	Evaluated Cost Rs.	Acceptance as per Rule 35 Of PP Rules, 2004.
NECSol	Qualified	64	27	91	181,500	Accepted being 1st lowest/most advantageous evaluated bid.
Fast Track	Qualified	64	22	86	226,950	<b>2</b> <sup>nd</sup> lowest evaluated responsive bid.

Most Advantageous Bidder in LOT#7: NECSol, Islamabad.

## (Lot # 8)

Name of Bidder		Qua	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/ Basis for Rejection /			
biddei	Qualified/ Not Qualified	Tech. Marks (if qualified)	Financial Marks	Total Marks	Evaluated Cost Rs.	Acceptance as per Rule 35 Of PP Rules, 2004.
National Engineers	Qualified	64	20	84	913,171	<b>2</b> <sup>nd</sup> lowest evaluated responsive bid.
Mega Plus	Qualified	62	26	88	774,312	Accepted being 1st lowest/most advantageous evaluated bid.

Most Advantageous Bidder in LOT#8: Mega Plus, Islamabad.

(Lot # 9)

Name of Bidder	e e	Qualif	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/ Basis for Rejection /			
	Qualified/ Not Qualified	Tech. Marks (if qualified)	Financial Marks	Total Marks	Evaluated Cost	Acceptance as per Rule 35 Of PP Rules, 2004.
	Quannea	quannea			Rs.	
Jeff & Sheral	Qualified	64	22	86	391,265	<b>2</b> <sup>nd</sup> lowest evaluated responsive bid. <b>Sample will be demanded</b> .
Rozi Enterprises	Qualified	64	16	80	676,714	<b>3</b> <sup>rd</sup> lowest evaluated responsive bid.
Fast Track	Qualified	64	27	91	282,875	1st lowest/most advantageous evaluated bid. Sample will be demanded from 1st and 2nd lowest bidders.
Banigala Traders	Disqualified	Nil	Financial Bid was not opened. PPRA Rule 36(b)(viii)	Nil	Nil	<b>Rejected</b> in Technical Bids opening due to violation of PPRA Rule 36(b)(i).

Most Advantageous Bidder in LOT#9: Fast Track, Islamabad.

(Lot # 10)

Name of Bidder		Qua	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/ Basis for Rejection /				
	Qualified/ Not Qualified	Tech. Marks (if qualified)	Financial Marks	Total Marks	Evaluated Cost	Acceptance as per Rule 35 Of PP Rules, 2004.	
Mega Plus	Qualified	62	26	88	2,678,891	Accepted being 1st lowest/most advantageous evaluated bid.	
Arwen Tech	Qualified	61	25	86	2,689,199	<b>2</b> <sup>nd</sup> lowest evaluated responsive bid.	
Fortek	Qualified	59	22	81	2,786,958	<b>3</b> <sup>rd</sup> lowest evaluated responsive bid.	

Most Advantageous Bidder in LOT#10: Mega Plus, Islamabad.

Signature:

Official Stamp: Waherd Ullah Khan

Deputy Secretary (Admin)

Ministry of Human Rights

Ministry Islamabad

\*Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).