



# NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section  
28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(553)/GM(P&CA)/NHA/2024/104

Islamabad, 5<sup>th</sup> March, 2024

## DIRECTOR GENERAL

PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY  
1<sup>ST</sup> FLOOR FBC BUILDING NEAR STATE BANK,  
SECTOR G-5/2, ISLAMABAD

Subject: **ANNOUNCEMENT OF FINAL EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA RULE-35):  
CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR DETAILED DESIGN AND PREPARATION OF  
PC-1 FOR CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD BETWEEN SHOUNTER TO RATTU  
ALONG WITH TUNNEL AT SHOUNTER**

Reference: PPRA Rule-35

Find enclosed herewith the Final Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.



(FAYYAZ AHMED)

GENERAL MANAGER (P&CA)

**ENCL:** Evaluation Report along with **Annex- I**

### COPY TO:

- Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;
- Dy. Director (Services), NHA, Islamabad.

# EVALUATION REPORT

## (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services for Detailed Design and Preparation of PC-1 for Construction of Road Between Shounter to Rattu along with Tunnel at Shounter
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(553)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS463534E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	31 <sup>st</sup> January 2022 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	31 <sup>st</sup> January 2022 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Two (02) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

Name of Bidder	Marks			Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical (if applicable)	Financial (if applicable)	Total (out of 1000)		
1) M/s Prime Engineering & Testing Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Engineering and Management Services, M/s BK Consulting (SMC-PVT) Ltd., M/s DASAN Consultants Co. Ltd. and M/s Origin Consulting Engineers.	635	200	835	60,970,003	Top scoring firm in combined evaluation (PPRA Rule 36(b) (ix))
2) M/s Zeeruk International (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Botek Bosphorus Technical Consulting Corporation, Turkey, M/s Power Aim Engineering Services (Pvt.) Ltd. and M/s Effective Engineering Expertise (Pvt.) Ltd. (Sub-Consultant)	Non-Responsive	Financial Proposal not opened			As per RFP Clause 1.8 (i) of Data Sheet ( none of the Firms of the Consortium have relevant profile Code i.e. 1215 ii) Tunnels

# **EVALUATION REPORT**

## **(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)**

*\*EC is the Evaluated Cost used for evaluation purpose and includes only the cost of competitive component (i.e. Remuneration and Direct Non-Salary Cost) and is exclusive of Provisional Sum, Contingency and Indirect Taxes.*

**Top Ranked Bidder:** M/s Prime Engineering & Testing Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Engineering and Management Services, M/s BK Consulting (SMC-PVT) Ltd., M/s DASAN Consultants Co. Ltd. and M/s Origin Consulting Engineers.

11. **Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share:** The Procurement was carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations. The bidding was done on QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio. The Contract is being awarded to M/s Prime Engineering & Testing Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Engineering and Management Services, M/s BK Consulting (SMC-PVT) Ltd., M/s DASAN Consultants Co. Ltd. and M/s Origin Consulting Engineers and M/s ECOS (SMC-Pvt.) Ltd at evaluated financial proposal of Pak. Rs. 92,765,203,-.

Signature:.....

**General Manager (P&CA)**  
National Highway Authority  
Islamabad

Official Stamp:.....

**\*\*Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).**

# National Highway Authority



**Annex-I**

**Criteria**

*FOR*

**Final Evaluation**

**Consultancy Services for Detailed Design and  
Preparation of PC-1 for Construction of  
Road Between Shounter to Rattu along with  
Tunnel at Shounter**

**February, 2023**

## SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (QCBS)

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Max. Weightage	Firm 1		Firm 2	
		Rating	Score	Rating	Score
<b>1. Firms Experience</b>	<b>100</b>				
General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
<b>2. Approach and Methodology</b>	<b>250</b>				
2-a. <i>Appreciation of the Project</i>	70				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs	(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
2-b. <i>Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives</i>	50				
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
2-c. <i>Methodology</i>	80				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(30)				
2-d. <i>Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR</i>	10				
2-e. <i>Work Program</i>	20				
2-f. <i>Staffing Schedule</i>	20				
<b>3. Key Personnel</b>	<b>450</b>				
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel	25				
<b>International Key Experts:</b>					
i. Team Leader (Tunnel Engineer)	60				
ii. Tunnel Geotechnical Engineer	35				
iii. Structural Engineer	35				
iv. Hydrologist/ Drainage Engineer	20				
v. Electro-Mechanical Specialist	20				
<b>National Key Experts:</b>					
vi. Deputy Team Leader & Contract Specialist	60				
vii. Tunnel Geologist	35				
viii. Tunnel Engineer	35				
ix. Structural Engineer	35				
x. Pavement Specialist & Highway Engineer	35				
xi. Architect	15				
xii. Transport Economist	15				
xiii. Electrical Engineer	15				
xiv. Sr. Quantity Surveyor	10				
<b>4. Performance Certification from clients</b>	<b>75</b>				
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting	25				
<b>5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)</b>	<b>50</b>				
<b>6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)</b>	<b>50</b>				
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>1000</b>				

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average – 80-89% Average – 70-79% Below Average – 1-69% Non-complying – 0%,  
Score: Maximum Weightage rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.

**PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET**

POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE	Name	Academic and General Qualification Weightage 30%		Project related Experience Weightage 60%		Status with the Firm* 10%		OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings)
		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	(A+B+C)
(Show all experts to be evaluated)								
<b>International Key Experts:</b>								
i. Team Leader (Tunnel Engineer)								
ii. Tunnel Geotechnical Engineer								
iii. Structural Engineer								
iv. Hydrologist/ Drainage Engineer								
v. Electro-Mechanical								
<b>National Key Experts:</b>								
vi. Deputy Team Leader & Contract Specialist								
vii. Architect								
viii. Tunnel Geologist								
ix. Tunnel Engineer								
x. Structural Engineer								
xi. Pavement Specialist & Highway Engineer								
xii. Transport Economist								
xiii. Sr. Quantity Surveyor								
xiv. Electrical Engineer								

**Rating: - Excellent - 100%      Very good – 90-99%      Above Average – 80-89%      Average – 70-79%      Below Average – 1-69%      Non-complying - 0%**

**Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70%.**

\* 6-month older employee - 100%; Less than 6 months or associates- 0%