



EVALUATION REPORT
(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

ESTABLISHMENT OF POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE FOR
BOYS AT SKARDU BALTISTAN REGION

Members of the Procurement Committee

1. Mehreen Gillani
President/Chief (P&M) Mo KA&GB
2. Engr. Wazir Ghulam Rasool
Project Director
3. Mr. Muhammad Naseem
Deputy Chief , Rep of P&DD GoGB, Gilgit
4. Mr. Masood Irshad
Research Officer Rep of MO KA&GB
Islamabad
5. Mr. Qaim Ali Shah (SO) Rep of Finance Deptt
GoGB, Gilgit
6. Engr. Shahid Ali
Rep. GB PWD Skardu
7. Executive Engineer
AJK Council

Approved By

MO Kashmir Affairs & Gilgit- Baltistan Division Islamabad

EVALUATION REPORT


(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1	Name of Procuring Agency	Education Department GB technical cell through PMU Establishment of Polytechnic institute for Boys at Skardu.
2	Method of Procurement	SSTE, Under PPRA Rule 2004, <i>Rule 36(b) (ix), PPRA Rule 2004, Rule 2(L), 2(h), Rule 4 Rule 29, Rule 30, Rule 38.</i>
3	Title of Procurement	Establishment of Polytechnic institute for Boys at Skardu Baltistan Region.
4	Tender Inquiry No.	<i>No.PD-Tec. College BLN-Dev-1(03)/2020/1609 dated 16th November,2020 Further Corrigendum No. PD-Tec. College BLN-Dev-1(03)/2020/105 dated 21st Dec,2020</i>
5	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE)	TS 436432E
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing	<u>Technical Bid</u> 1. Date: 06 th , Jan, 2021 2. Time of Bid Closing: 1100 hrs PST
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening	<u>Technical Bid</u> 1. Date: 06 th , Jan, 2021 2. Time of Bid Opening 1130hrs PST <u>Financial Bid</u> 1. Date 3 rd , Feb, 2021 2. Time of Bid Opening: 1100hrs PST
8	No of Bids Received	4 Nos
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation	As below

Name of Bidder	Marks		Evaluated Cost (PKR) In Million	Rule/Regulation/SBD/Policy Basis for Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rule, 2004 Qualification Criteria & Evaluation Criteria, Vol(1)
	Technical (If applicable)	Financial (If applicable)		
Advium Builders JV Recent Construction	Non-Responsive	Price Bid not opened	----	In accordance with PPRA Rule 2004, Rule 33 and Rule 36(v) it is regretted to inform that, all submitted documents alongwith letter of technical bid were not signed and stamp (which was mandatory), therefore your technical bid rejected.

				As per prescribed evaluation criteria, required project for similar work completed do not full fill the criteria due to non availability of work completion certificate Further your firm (JV) failed to score desired passing marks which is 70 out of 100, hence not qualified.
Brixen Associate	Non-responsive	Price Bid not opened	----	In accordance with PPRA Rule 2004, Rule 33 and Rule 36(v) it is regretted to inform that the financial statement audited by the cost and management firm provided by your firm is for the year 2016-17, which does not fulfill the specified criteria mentioned vide Serial No.4 at pages No. 49 & 50 of the bidding documents. List of machinery provided by the bidder does not fulfill required criteria due to non-availability of proof of ownership or lease arrangement as a documentary proof. Further your firm is unable to score desire qualification numbers (Passing Marks) which is 70 out of 100, hence not qualified.
Hussain Ali & Sons Govt. contractor	Responsive	Price Bid opened	338.565	2 nd lowest bidder
Luqman Brothers JV Rawani Construction and Zulfiqar Ali Sdpara	Responsive	Price Bid opened	335.288	1 st lowest in accordance with PPRA Rule 2004, the Bid was approved by the competent authority as recommended by the Procurement Committee.

Lowest Evaluated Bidder	
11. Any other additional / supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share.	The most advantages bid cost is Rs: 335.288 million, against Technical Sanctioned cost of Rs:365.549 million and PC-I provision of Rs:392.746 million which is Rs:30.261 million lesser than T.S and Rs: 57.458 million lesser than PC-I cost which was is in accordance with PPRA Rule 4, Rule 29, Rule 30 and Rule 38.


Project Director
Estb. of Polytechnic Institute
For Boys at Skardu
Dated 1st March, 2021