## **EVALUATION REPORT**

(As per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: State Bank of Pakistan, SBP BSC (Bank), Islamabad

2. Method of Procurement: Rule 36(b) Single Stage Two Envelop

3. Title of Procurement: Supply of stationary and computer consumable articles for FY 2022-23

4. Tender Inquiry No: <u>IFB No: IBD/GSU / 066195 /2022</u>

5. PPRA Ref. No. (TSE): <u>TS496678E</u>

6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: <u>15-12-2022 @ 03:00 PM</u>

7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: <u>15-12-2022 @ 03:30 PM</u>

No. of Bids Received: 04 (Four Bidder)
Criteria of Bid Evaluation: Compliance Based

10. Detail of Bid(s) Evaluation:

	PACKAGE 1 – HP Toners					
S.No	Name of the Bidder	Technical Evaluation	Financial Evaluation	Financial Bids (Rs)	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basis for rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004	
1	M/s Riz Technology	Compliant	*Non- compliant	905,030/-	Rejected as per PPRA Rule 36 (b) (v)	
2	M/s Allied computers	Non- compliant	-	-	Rejected as per PPRA Rule 36 (b) (v)	
3	M/s SA Traders	Non- compliant	-	-	Rejected as per PPRA Rule 36 (b) (v)	

<sup>\*</sup> M/s Riz Technology has not quoted rates for complete package contrary to instruction contained in para (iii) of IFB.

	PACKAGE 2 – Xerox Toners						
S.No	Name of the Bidder	Technical Evaluation	Financial Evaluation	Financial Bids (Rs)	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basis for rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004		
1	M/s Allied computers	Non- compliant	-		Rejected as per PPRA Rule 36 (b) (v)		
2	M/s SA Traders	Non- compliant	-	-	Rejected as per PPRA Rule 36 (b) (v)		

		PAC	KAGE 3 – RIO	COH/Brothe	r Toner
S.No	Name of the Bidder	Technical Evaluation	Financial Evaluation	Financial Bids (Rs)	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basis for rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004
1	M/s Allied computers	Non- compliant	-	-	Rejected as per PPRA Rule 36 (b) (v)
2	M/s SA Traders	Non- compliant	-	-	Rejected as per PPRA Rule 36 (b) (v)

PACKAGE 4 – Toner and Drum Lexmark MS811DN						
S.No	Name of the Bidder	Technical Evaluation	Financial Evaluation	Financial Bids (Rs)	rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004	
1	M/s Q.R Enterprises	Non- compliant	-	-	Rejected as per PPRA Rule 36 (b) (v)	
2	M/s Allied computers	Non- compliant	-	-	Rejected as per PPRA Rule 36 (b) (v)	
3	M/s SA Traders	Non- compliant	-	-	Rejected as per PPRA Rule 36 (b) (v)	

PACKAGE 5 – Ribbons							
S.No	Name of the Bidder	Technical Evaluation	Financial Evaluation	Financial Bids (Rs)	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basis for rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004		
1	M/s Q.R Enterprises	Non- Compliant	-	-	Rejected as per PPRA Rule 36 (b) (v)		
2	M/s Allied computers	Non- compliant	-	-	Rejected as per PPRA Rule 36 (b) (v)		
3	M/s SA Traders	Non- compliant		1142	Rejected as per PPRA Rule 36 (b) (v)		

		PAC	KAGE 6 - Co	mputer Const	ımables
S.No	Name of the Bidder	Technical Evaluation	Financial Evaluation	Bids (Rs)	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basis for rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004
2	M/s Allied computers	Compliant	Compliant	1,489,980/-	Accepted as per PPRA Rule 36 (b) (ix) being most advantageous bid.
3	M/s SA Traders	Non- compliant	-	-	Rejected as per PPRA Rule 36 (b) (v).

		PAC	KAGE 7 – Mis	sc Stationary	Items
S.No	Name of the Bidder	Technical Evaluation	Financial Evaluation	Bids (Rs)	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basis for rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004
1	M/s SA Traders	Non- compliant	-	-	Rejected as per PPRA Rule 36 (b) (v)

Signature: Labido

Designation: <u>Assistant Chief Manager (General Services Unit)</u>