



NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section
28 Mauve Area, G-9/I, Islamabad ☎ 051-9032727, 📠 051-9260419

No. 6(552)/GM (P&CA)/NHA/22/40

6/ February, 2022

Director General

Public Procurement Regulatory Authority
1st Floor FBC Building near State Bank,
Sector G-5/2, Islamabad

Subject: **ANNOUNCEMENT OF EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA Rule 35):
Consultancy Services for Design Review and Construction
Supervision of Dualization of Khuzdar-Kuchlak Section of N-25
Length 330.52 Kms**

Reference: PPRA Rule-35: Amended Vide S.R.O dated 29th July 2021

Find enclosed herewith the Technical Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.


(SAMI UR REHMAN)
General Manager (P&CA)

Encl: Evaluation Report along with **Annex- I**

Copy for kind information to:

- Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (Tech.), NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (Consultancy) P&CA, NHA, Islamabad.


2

TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004 Amended Vide S.R.O dated 29th July 2021)

| | | |
|-----|-------------------------------|---|
| 1. | Name of Procuring Agency: | National Highway Authority |
| 2. | Method of Procurement: | Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure |
| 3. | Title of Procurement: | Consultancy Services for Design Review and Construction Supervision of Dualization of Khuzdar-Kuchlak Section of N-25 Length 330.52 Kms |
| 4. | Tender Inquiry No.: | 6(552) |
| 5. | PPRA Ref. No. (TSE): | TS461571E |
| 6. | Date & Time of Bid Closing: | 10 th November, 2021 at 1130 hours local time |
| 7. | Date & Time of Bid Opening: | 10 th November, 2021 at 1200 hours local time |
| 8. | No of Bids Received: | Five (05) Proposals were received |
| 9. | Criteria for Bid Evaluation: | Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I |
| 10. | Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: | As below |

| Name of Bidder | Technical Evaluation | |
|--|----------------------------------|-----------------|
| | Technical Score (out of 1000) | Remarks |
| 1) M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Associated Consulting Engineers Ltd., M/s Zeeruk International (Pvt.) Ltd. and M/s Khyber Design Engineers; | 744 | 1 st |
| 2) M/s Engineering General Consultants EGC (Pvt.) Ltd in JV with M/s Indus Associated Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd, M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd and M/s Power Aim Engineering Services (Pvt.) Ltd.; | 733 | 2 nd |
| 3) M/s PEAS Consulting (Pvt.) Ltd in JV with M/s Osmani and Company (Pvt.) Ltd., M/s CAMEOS Consultants and M/s EN EM Associates; | 719 | 3 rd |
| 4) M/s Republic Engineering Corporation (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Prime Engineering & Testing Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd., M/s Techno Consult International (Pvt.) Ltd., and M/s Origin Consulting Engineers; | 716 | 4 th |
| 5) M/s A.A Associates in JV with M/s Asif Ali Associates (Pvt.) Ltd., M/s Concept Planning and Engineering Services and M/s Engineering Consultancy Services Punjab (Pvt.) Ltd. | 713 | 5 th |

Signature: 
General Manager (P&CA)
National Highway Authority
Islamabad

Official Stamp:.....

National Highway Authority



Annex-I

Criteria

FOR

Bid Evaluation

**Consultancy Services for Design Review and
Construction Supervision of Dualization of
Khuzdar-Kuchlak Section of N-25 Length
330.52 Kms**

February, 2022



SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (QCBS)

| EVALUATION CRITERIA | Max. Weightage | Firm 1 | | Firm 2 | |
|---|----------------|--------|-------|--------|-------|
| | | Rating | Score | Rating | Score |
| 1. Firms Experience | 100 | | | | |
| 1-a. General Experience in road Transport Sector | 25 | | | | |
| 1-b. Specific Experience related to particular Assignment | 75 | | | | |
| 2. Approach and Methodology | 250 | | | | |
| 2-a. <i>Appreciation of the Project</i> | 70 | | | | |
| (i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs giving a clear understanding/ proof of the project site | (30) | | | | |
| (ii) Clarity of appreciation | (20) | | | | |
| (iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation | (20) | | | | |
| 2-b. <i>Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives</i> | 50 | | | | |
| (i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives | (30) | | | | |
| (ii) Components of Proposed Services | (20) | | | | |
| 2-c. <i>Methodology</i> | 80 | | | | |
| (i) Proposed Solutions for this Project | (30) | | | | |
| (ii) Quality of Methodology | (20) | | | | |
| (iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal | (30) | | | | |
| 2-d. Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR | 10 | | | | |
| 2-e. Work Program | 20 | | | | |
| 2-f. Staffing Schedule | 20 | | | | |
| 3. Key Personnel | 450 | | | | |
| Firm affidavit for presence of personnel | 25 | | | | |
| Design Review Team: | | | | | |
| a) Team Leader/Senior Highway Engineer | 10 | | | | |
| b) Pavement Expert | 05 | | | | |
| c) Structure Expert | 05 | | | | |
| d) Hydrology/Hydraulic Expert | 05 | | | | |
| Construction Supervision Team: | | | | | |
| e) Chief Resident Engineer | 35 | | | | |
| f) Resident Engineer-I,II,III,IV,V | 5 x 20 | | | | |
| g) Material Engineer-I,II,III,IV,V | 5 x 15 | | | | |
| h) Contract Specialist (on intermittent basis) | 10 | | | | |
| i) ARE (Highways) Engineer-I,II,III,IV,V | 5 x 15 | | | | |
| j) ARE Structure/ Bridge Engineer-I,II,III,IV,V | 5 x 15 | | | | |
| k) Quantity Surveyor-I,II,III,IV,V,VI | 6 x 05 | | | | |
| 4. Performance Certification from clients | 75 | | | | |
| Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting | 25 | | | | |
| 5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification) | 50 | | | | |
| 6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans) | 50 | | | | |
| TOTAL: | 1000 | | | | |

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average – 80-89% Average – 70-79% Below Average – 1-69% Non-complying – 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage x rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.



PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

| POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE | Name | Academic and General Qualification* Weightage 30% | | Project related Experience Weightage 60% for Design Review and 65% for Construction Supervision | | Status with the Firm** 10% for Design Review and 05% for Construction Supervision | | OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings) (A+B+C) |
|--|------|--|---------------------|--|---------------------|--|---------------------|---|
| | | Percentage Rating | Weighted Rating (A) | Percentage Rating | Weighted Rating (B) | Percentage Rating | Weighted Rating (C) | |
| (Show all experts to be evaluated) | | | | | | | | |
| Design Review Team: | | | | | | | | |
| a) Team Leader/Senior Highway Engineer | | | | | | | | |
| b) Pavement Expert | | | | | | | | |
| c) Structure Expert | | | | | | | | |
| d) Hydrology/Hydraulic Expert | | | | | | | | |
| Construction Supervision Team: | | | | | | | | |
| e) Chief Resident Engineer | | | | | | | | |
| f) Resident Engineer-I,II,III,IV,V | | | | | | | | |
| g) Material Engineer-I,II,III,IV,V | | | | | | | | |
| h) Contract Specialist(on intermittent basis) | | | | | | | | |
| i) ARE (Highways) Engineer-I,II,III,IV,V | | | | | | | | |
| j) ARE Structure / Bridge Engineer-I,II,III,IV,V | | | | | | | | |
| k) Quantity Surveyor-I,II,III,IV,V,VI | | | | | | | | |

Rating: - Excellent - 100% Very good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100.

* For Qualification and Experience of above Key Personnel, refer to Clause 5.6 of TOR.

** 6 month's older employee - 100%;
Less than 6 months or associates- 0% (For more than six months, salary account certificate from employer duly attested by respective bank shall be attached with the proposal.)

