

NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section 28 Mauve Area, G-9/I, Islamabad 🕿 051-9032727, 🛭 051-9260419

No. 6(504)/GM(P&CA)/NHA/2022/<u>)</u> &

Islamabad, .3./.. January, 2022

Director General

Public Procurement Regulatory Authority 1st Floor FBC Building near State Bank, Sector G-5/2, Islamabad

Subject:

ANNOUNCEMENT OF EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA RULE-35):

Consultancy Services for Inventory and Condition Survey of

Bridges and Culverts on Entire NHA Network

Reference: PPRA Rule-35

Find enclosed herewith the Bid Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.

General Manager (P&CA)

Encl: Evaluation Report along with Annex-I

Copy for kind information to:

- Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (Tech. to Chairman), NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (Consultancy) P&CA, NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (MIS), NHA, Islamabad.

EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services for Inventory and Condition Survey of Bridges and Culverts on Entire NHA Network.
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(504)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS423588E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	14th July, 2020 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	14th July, 2020 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Six (06) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

		Marks			Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.	
Name of Bidder	Technical (if applicable)	Financial (if applicable)	Total (out of 1000)	Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)		
1. M/s Finite Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Prime Engineering & Testing Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. and M/s ECOS (SMC-Private) Ltd	598	200	798	230,042,760	Top scoring firm in combined evaluation [PPRA Rule 36(b) (ix)]	
M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Creative Engineering Consultants	574	168	743	273,686,400	2 nd	
3. M/s PEAS Consulting (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Al Engineers, M/s Exponent Engineers and M/s AAN Consulting Engineers	614	126	740	364,922,400	3rd	
4. M/s Loya Associates in JV with M/s ZEERUK International (Pvt.) Ltd., M/s CECON and M/s Kasib Associates	615	113	728	407,227,250	4 th	
5. M/s A. A. Associates in JV with M/s Asif Ali & Associates (Pvt.) Ltd. and M/s Country Survey and Mapping Services (Pvt.) Ltd	591	75	666	613,347,078	5 th	



EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

		Marks			Rule/Regulation/		
Name of Bidder	Technical (if applicable)	Financial (if applicable)	Total (out of 1000)	Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)	SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.		
6. M/s Umar Munshi Associates in JV with M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd. M/s Associated Consulting Engineers Ltd. and M/s PAVRON	545.6	Financial	Proposal r	not opened	Technically Disqualified PPRA Rule 36(b) (viii)		

*EC is the Evaluated Cost used for evaluation purpose and includes only the cost of competitive component (i.e. Remuneration and Direct Non-Salary Cost) and is exclusive of Provisional Sum, Contingency and Indirect Taxes etc.

Top Ranked Bidder:

M/s Finite Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Prime Engineering & Testing Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. and M/s ECOS (SMC-Private) Ltd

11. Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share: The Procurement was carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations. The bidding was done on QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio. The Contract is being awarded to M/s Finite Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Prime Engineering & Testing Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. and M/s ECOS (SMC-Private) Ltd at evaluated financial proposal of Pak. Rs.295,849,602/-.

4

Signature:____

Official Stamp:...

National Highway Authority



Annex-I

Criteria

FOR.

Evaluation Report

Consultancy Services for Inventory and Condition Survey of Bridges and Culverts on Entire NHA Network

January, 2022

SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (QCBS)

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Max.	Firm 1		Firm 2	
	Weightage	Rating	Score	Rating	Sco
1. Firms Experience	100				
General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
2. Approach and Methodology	250				
2-a. Appreciation of the Project	70				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs	(30)				<u> </u>
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)		***************************************		
2-b. Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives	50				
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)		•		
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)	-]			
2-c, Methodology	80				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal*	(30)				
2-d. Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR	<u>10</u>				
2-e. Work Program	<u>20</u>				
2-f. Staffing Schedule	<u>20</u>				
. Key Personnel**	450				
(i) Team Leader	90				
(ii) Bridge Design Engineer	60				
(iii) Structural Engineers-I, II, III, IV & V	5 x 55		- Ca	ional	
. Performance Certification from clients***	100		1/2/	- W	
. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)			(6/		2
. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)****	50		18		2
TOTA)	.: 1000		light		5/

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0% Score: Maximum Weightage x rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.

^{*}Conciseness and clarity contains 10 marks and 20 marks will be for the completeness of the proposals which includes but not limited to hard binding, sequential page numbering, signing and stamping of each page of proposal.

^{**}Firm affidavit for presence of personnel caries 25 marks out of 450 marks (complete in all respect as per specimen annexed at Annex-C placed in Technical Proposal Forms).

^{***25} out of 100 marks will be allocated for provision of affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner to the effect that the firm has neither been blacklisted nor any contract rescinded in the past for non-fulfillment of contractual obligations (complete in all respect as per specimen annexed at Annex-B placed in Technical Proposal Forms).

^{****}Criteria for New firm is the one which has carried out maximum 3 projects in 6 years.

PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE	Name	Academic and Gene Qualification* Weightage 30%		l Project related Experience Weightage 60%		Status with the Firm**		OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings)	
(Show all experts to be evaluated)		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	(A+B+C)	
(i) Team Leader					,		Tuning (0)		
(ii) Bridge Design Engineer					<u> </u>				
(iii) Structural Engineers-I, II, III, IV & V									

Rating: - Excellent - 100% Non-complying - 0%

Very good - 90-99%

Above Average - 80-89%

Average - 70-79%

Below Average - 1-69%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100.

- * For Team Leader, Bridge Design Engineer, and Structural Engineer: M.Sc. 100% and B.Sc. 70%.
- ** 6 month older employee 100%; Less than 6 months or associates- 0%

