# EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study & Detailed Design for Construction of an Interchange at Maurusipur on Motorway M-3 Near Muridwala
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(642)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS548113E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	22 <sup>nd</sup> October, 2024 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	22 <sup>nd</sup> October, 2024 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Nine (09) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

	Name of Bidder	Technical Score (out of 1000)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
1)	M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s New Vision Engineering Consultants and in association with M/s Bosphorus Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd. (Sub-Consultant)	810	Technically Qualified
2)	M/s PAVRON in association with M/s Structax Consultants (Sub-Consultant)	798	Technically Qualified
3)	M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd_in association with M/s System Engineering Associates (Sub-Consultant)	795	Technically Qualified
4)	M/s Prime Engineering & Testing Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Z-Tech Engineering Solutions and in association with M/s Osmani Global (Sub-Consultant)	793	Technically Qualified
5)	M/s Associated Consulting Engineers - ACE Ltd. in association with M/s Tricorn Engineers Associates (SMC-Pvt.) Ltd. (Sub-Consultant)	783	Technically Qualified
6)	M/s BK Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd in JV with M/s ACES Consulting Services and in association with M/s Karakoram Engineers (Sub-Consultant)	758	Technically Qualified

Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study & Detailed Design for Construction of an Interchange at Maurusipur on Motorway M-3 Near Muridwala

### **EVALUATION REPORT**

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

	Name of Bidder	Technical Score (out of 1000)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.			
ŕ	M/s Asif Ali & Associates (Pvt.) Ltd. in association with M/s Mukaab Consultant (Sub-Consultant)	718	Technically Qualified			
·	M/s Global Engineering System in JV with M/s GSK Engineers and in association with M/s ZK Enterprises (Sub-Consultant)	As per (Data	Non-Responsive Sheet of the RFP, Clause 1.8 (iii))			
9)	M/s EN EM Associates	Non-Responsive As per (Data Sheet of the RFP, Clause 1.8 (ii &V))				

11. Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share: The Procurement is being carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations using the QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio.

Signature:

Official Stamp:

\*\*Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).

rusipur on 2 of 2

Shin

# **National Highway Authority**



Annex-I
Criteria
FOR

## **Technical Evaluation**

CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR FEASIBILITY STUDY & DETAILED DESIGN FOR CONSTRUCTION OF AN INTERCHANGE AT MAURUSIPUR ON MOTORWAY M-3 NEAR MURIDWALA

February, 2025

#### SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (QCBS)

	Max.)	· 通知 Fir	m 132/365	於企業 Firr	n 2
EVALUATION CRITERIA 6	: Weightage A	Rating	逐Score數	\$ Rating ♣	Score
I. Firms Experience					
General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
2. Approach and Methodology	250				
2-a. Appreciation of the Project	70				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs	(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)		ļ		
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)		<del> </del>		
2-b. Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives	50		<del> </del>		
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)		<del> </del>		
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)	ļ <u> </u>	<del> </del>		
2-c. Methodology	80	ļ	<del> </del>		<u> </u>
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)	<del> </del>			
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)	<u>-</u> -	<del> </del>		
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(30)		<del> </del>	<del> </del>	
2-d. Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR	10		+		
2-e. Work Program	20	<del> </del>	<del></del>		
2-f. Staffing Schedule	20		<del>                                     </del>		
3. Key Personnel	450		<del></del>		
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel	25	<del> </del>	<del> </del>	<del> </del>	
i. Team Leader/ Sr. Highway Engineer	100	ļ	+	<del> </del>	<del> </del>
ii. Structural Engineer	90				<del> </del>
iii. Pavement & Drainage Engineer	85			<del> </del>	
iv. Hydrologist /Hydraulics Engineer	60				
v. Transport Economist	45		<del></del>	<u> </u>	<del> </del>
vi. Quantity Surveyor	. 45			-	
4. Performance Certification from clients	. 75				<del> </del>
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting	25				
5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength - justification)	50	<del></del>			ļ
6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)	50			ļ	
TOTA	L: 1000			L	<u> </u>

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0%, Score: Maximum Weightage rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.



#### PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE	Name	Academic and General Project related Qualification Experience Weightage 30% Weightage 60%		Status with the Firm*		OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings)		
(Show all experts to be evaluated)		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	(A+B+C)
i. Team Leader/ Sr. Highway Engineer		!						
ii. Structural Engineer								
iii. Pavement & Drainage Engineer								
iv. Hydrologist/Hydraulics Engineer								
v. Transport Economist					<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
i. Quantity Surveyor								

Exting: - Excellent - 100%

Very good - 90-99%

Above Average - 80-89%

Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70%.

Regular Employee or more than six (06) months with firms - 100%; Part time/ First time for this assignment-0%