



NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section
28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(547)/GM (P&CA)/NHA/2021/712

Islamabad, 28 December, 2021

Director General

Public Procurement Regulatory Authority
1st Floor FBC Building near State Bank,
Sector G-5/2, Islamabad

Subject: **ANNOUNCEMENT OF EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA RULE-35):
Consultancy Services for Design Review and Construction
Supervision for Construction of Kot Pindi Das Interchange on
Motorway M-2 in District Sheikhpura.**

Reference: PPRA Rule-35

Find enclosed herewith the Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.


(SAMI-UR-REHMAN)
General Manager (P&CA)

Encl: Evaluation Report along with **Annex- I**

Copy for kind information to:

- Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (Tech. to Chairman), NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (P&CA)-III, NHA, Islamabad.

✓

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)


1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services for Design Review and Construction Supervision for Construction of Kot Pindi Das Interchange on Motorway M-2 in District Sheikhpura.
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(547)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS459926E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	7 th October 2021 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	7 th October 2021 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Five (05) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

Name of Bidder	Technical Score (out of 1000)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
1) M/s Creative Engineering Consultants in JV with M/s Associated Consultancy Center (Pvt.) Ltd. and M/s Khyber Design Engineers	787	Technically Qualified
2) M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Associated Consulting Engineers Ltd. and M/s Latitude Engineering Consultant	760	Technically Qualified
3) M/s Asif Ali & Associates (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Concept Planning and Engineering Services	742	Technically Qualified
4) M/s SAMPAK International (Pvt.) Ltd.	539	Technically Dis-Qualified (Less than 70%).PPRA Rule 36(b) (v)
5) M/s East End Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd. Lahore	321	Technically Dis-Qualified (Less than 70%).PPRA Rule 36(b) (v)

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

11. **Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share:** The Procurement is being carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations using the QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio.

Signature: .....
General Manager (P&CA)
National Highway Authority
Islamabad

Official Stamp:.....

****Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).**



National Highway Authority



Annex-I

Criteria

FOR

Bid Evaluation

**Consultancy Services for Design Review and
Construction Supervision for Construction of
Kot Pindi Das Interchange on Motorway M-2
in District Sheikhpura.**

December, 2021.

SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (QCBS)

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Max. Weightage	Firm 1		Firm 2	
		Rating	Score	Rating	Score
1. Firms Experience	100				
1-a. General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
1-b. Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
2. Approach and Methodology	250				
2-a. <i>Appreciation of the Project</i>	70				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs giving a clear understanding/ proof of the project site	(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
2-b. <u>Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives</u>	50				
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
2-c. <u>Methodology</u>	80				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(30)				
2-d. Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR	10				
2-e. Work Program	20				
2-f. Staffing Schedule	20				
3. Key Personnel	450				
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel	25				
Design Review Team:					
a) Team Leader/ Senior Highway Engineer	25				
b) Pavement Specialist	20				
c) Senior Structural Engineer	20				
Construction Supervision Team:					
d) Resident Engineer	100				
e) Assistant Resident Engineer (Highways)	75				
f) Assistant Resident Engineer (Structures)	75				
g) Material Engineer	75				
h) Quantity Surveyor	35				
4. Performance Certification from clients	75				
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting	25				
5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)	50				
6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)	50				
TOTAL:	1000				

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average – 80-89% Average – 70-79% Below Average – 1-69% Non-complying – 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage x rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.



PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE	Name	Academic and General Qualification* Weightage 30%		Project related Experience Weightage 60% for Design Review and 65% for Construction Supervision		Status with the Firm** 10% for Design Review and 05% for Construction Supervision		OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings) (A+B+C)
		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	
(Show all experts to be evaluated)								
Design Review Team:								
a) Team Leader/ Senior Highway Engineer								
b) Pavement Specialist								
c) Senior Structural Engineer								
Construction Supervision Team:								
d) Resident Engineer								
e) Assistant Resident Engineer (Highways)								
f) Assistant Resident Engineer (Structures)								
g) Material Engineer								
h) Quantity Surveyor								

Rating: - Excellent - 100% Very good – 90-99% Above Average – 80-89% Average – 70-79% Below Average – 1-69% Non-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100.

* For Qualification of above Key Personnel, refer to Clause 5.6 of TOR.

** 6 month's older employee - 100%;
Less than 6 months or associates- 0% (For more than six months, salary account certificate from employer duly attested by respective bank shall be attached with the proposal.)

