



# NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section  
28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(572)/DIR (P&CA)/NHA/2022/1095 Islamabad, 27<sup>th</sup> December, 2022


## Director General

Public Procurement Regulatory Authority  
1st Floor FBC Building near State Bank,  
Sector G-5/2, Islamabad

Subject: **ANNOUNCEMENT OF FINAL EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA RULE-35): Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study and Detailed Design for Construction of Dual Carriageway From Deepalpur to Lahore –Multan Motorway (M-3) Via Okara (88 Km Approx.)**

Reference: PPRA Rule-35

Find enclosed herewith the Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.

  
(MUHAMMAD AHMED ABRO)  
DIRECTOR (CONSULTANCY) P&CA

**Encl:** Evaluation Report along with **Annex- I**

### **Copy for kind information to:**

- Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;
- General Manager (P&CA), NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (Tech. to Chairman), NHA, Islamabad;

# FINAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study and Detailed Design for Construction of Dual Carriageway From Deepalpur to Lahore –Multan Motorway (M-3) Via Okara (88 Km Approx.
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(572)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS484845E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	4 <sup>th</sup> August, 2022 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	4 <sup>th</sup> August, 2022 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Nine (09) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

Name of Bidder	Marks			Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical Score (St) (80%)	Financial Score (Sf) (20 %)	Total Score (100%)		
1) M/s Asif Ali & Associates in JV with M/s AA Associates and in association M/s Pakistan Engineering Tech. (Sub-Consultant)	622	165	787	22,518,545	Top scoring firm in combined evaluation (PPRA Rule 36(b) (ix))
2) M/s Umar Munshi Associates in JV with M/s Concept Planning and Engineering Services, Islamabad	574	200	774	18,565,810	2 <sup>nd</sup>
3) M/s Finite Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd. In JV with M/s Prime Engineering & Testing Consultants and in association with M/s National Infrastructure Engineering Services (Sub-Consultant)	620	137	757	27,186,689	3 <sup>rd</sup>

# FINAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

Name of Bidder	Marks			Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical Score (St) (80%)	Financial Score (Sf) (20 %)	Total Score (100%)		
4) M/s Associated Consulting Engineers ACE Ltd. in JV with M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s Latitude Engineering Consultants (Sub-Consultant)	644	108	752	34,514,960	4 <sup>th</sup>
5) M/s NESPAK in JV with M/s AJ Engineering Consultants and in association with M/s HARZA Consultants (SMC-Pvt.) Ltd. (Sub-Consultant)	642	105	747	35,226,135	5 <sup>th</sup>
6) M/s PAVRON in JV with M/s BK Consultant (SMC-PVT) Ltd. and in association with M/s Terra Engineering & Consulting Services (Sub-Consultant)	582	144	726	25,781,638	6 <sup>th</sup>
7) M/s Techno Consult International (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Loya Associates and in association with M/s ACTROW Consultant (Sub-Consultant)	594	47	641	78,614,409	7 <sup>th</sup>
8) M/s Allied Engineering Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd.	426	Financial Proposal not opened			Dis-Qualified PPRA Rule 36(b) (v)
9) M/s Republic Engineering Corporation (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Asian Consulting Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd., M/s APEX Consulting Engineering and in association with M/s	Non-Responsive	Financial Proposal not opened			PPRA Rule 36(b) (v)



# FINAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

Name of Bidder	Marks			Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD**/Policy/Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical Score (St) (80%)	Financial Score (Sf) (20 %)	Total Score (100%)		
Effective Engineering Consultant (Pvt.) Ltd.					

\*EC is the Evaluated Cost used for evaluation purpose and includes only the cost of competitive component (i.e. Remuneration and Direct Non-Salary Cost) and is exclusive of Provisional Sum, Contingency and Indirect Taxes.

**Top Ranked Bidder:** M/s Asif Ali & Associates in JV with M/s AA Associates and in association M/s Pakistan Engineering Tech. (Sub-Consultant)

11. **Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share:** The Procurement was carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations. The bidding was done on QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio. The Contract is being awarded to M/s Asif Ali & Associates in JV with M/s AA Associates and in association M/s Pakistan Engineering Tech. (Sub-Consultant) at evaluated financial proposal of Pak. Rs. 22,518,545/-.

Signature:.....

Official Stamp:.....

\*\*Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).





# National Highway Authority



**Annex-I**

**Criteria**

*FOR*

**Bid Evaluation**

**Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study  
and Detailed Design for Construction of Dual  
Carriageway From Deepalpur to  
Lahore –Multan Motorway (M-3) Via Okara  
(88 Km Approx.)**

**December, 2022**

## SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (QCBS)

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Max. Weightage	Firm 1		Firm 2	
		Rating	Score	Rating	Score
<b>1. Firms Experience</b>	<b>100</b>				
General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
<b>2. Approach and Methodology</b>	<b>250</b>				
2-a. <i>Appreciation of the Project</i>	70				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs	(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
2-b. <i>Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives</i>	50				
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
2-c. <i>Methodology</i>	80				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(30)				
2-d. <i>Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR</i>	10				
2-e. <i>Work Program</i>	20				
2-f. <i>Staffing Schedule</i>	20				
<b>3. Key Personnel</b>	<b>450</b>				
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel	25				
i. Team Leader/Senior Highway Engineer	100				
ii. Senior Structural/ Bridge Engineer	70				
iii. Pavement and Drainage Engineer	55				
iv. Hydrologist/ Hydraulics Engineer	60				
v. Junior Structure Engineer	35				
vi. Junior Highway Engineer	35				
vii. Transport Economist	40				
viii. Quantity Surveyor	30				
<b>4. Performance Certification from clients</b>	<b>75</b>				
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting	25				
<b>5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)</b>	<b>50</b>				
<b>6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)</b>	<b>50</b>				
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>1000</b>				



Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average – 80-89% Average – 70-79% Below Average – 1-69% Non-complying – 0%,

Score: Maximum Weightage rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.

Feasibility Study and Detailed Design for Construction of Dual Carriageway from Deepalpur to Lahore-Multan Motorway (M-3) Via Okara (88 KM Approx.)

## PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE	Name	Academic and General Qualification Weightage 30%		Project related Experience Weightage 60%		Status with the Firm 10%		OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings)
		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	(A+B+C)
(Show all experts to be evaluated)								
i. Team Leader/Senior Highway Engineer								
ii. Senior Structural/ Bridge Engineer								
iii. Pavement and Drainage Engineer								
iv. Hydrologist/ Hydraulics Engineer								
v. Junior Structure Engineer								
vi. Junior Highway Engineer								
vii. Transport Economist								
viii. Quantity Surveyor								

Rating: - Excellent - 100%    Very good - 90-99%    Above Average - 80-89%    Average - 70-79%    Below Average - 1-69%    Non-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70%.

