

## FINAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency:..... National Aerospace Science and Technology Park (NASTP).....
2. Method of Procurement:..... Single Stage Two Envelope.....
3. Title of Procurement:..... Procurement of ICT Equipment.....
4. Tender Inquiry No. .... NESDC-SNS DIV-02/2024.....
5. PPRA Ref. No. (TSE)..... TS549179E.....
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing:..... 22-10-2024..... 02:00 PM.....
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening:..... 22-10-2024..... 02:30 PM.....
8. No. of Bids Received:..... 12.....
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation:..... Technical bid evaluation of advertised specification and lowest offered rates.....
10. Details of Bid (s) Evaluation:..... Annexure-A.....


Name of Bidders	Remarks		Evaluation Cost	Rule / Regulation / SBD* / Policy / Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical (If Applicable)	Financial (If Applicable)		
M/S AD & IR	Qualified for Line Item No. 1,2,3,4,5,6,7	Qualified for Line Item No. 4, 7	Rs. 26,039,450.58	Technical bid was evaluated upon prescribed criteria mentioned in standard bidding documents. Financial bid evaluated upon lowest offered rates by bidder.
M/S AZI International Venture	Qualified for Line Item No. 1,2,3,4,5,6,7	Qualified for Line Item No. 1,2,3	Rs. 125,738,257.10	Technical bid was evaluated upon prescribed criteria mentioned in standard bidding documents. Financial bid evaluated upon lowest offered rates by bidder.
M/S Cosmotech Technologies Pvt Ltd	Qualified for Line Item No. 5,6,7			Technical bid was evaluated upon prescribed criteria mentioned in standard bidding documents. Financial bid evaluated upon lowest offered rates by bidder.

M/S DATS Private Limited	Qualified for Line Item No. 1,2,3,4,5,6,7			Technical bid was evaluated upon prescribed criteria mentioned in standard bidding documents. Financial bid evaluated upon lowest offered rates by bidder.
M/S In Tech	Qualified for Line Item No. 1,2,3,4,5,6,7			Technical bid was evaluated upon prescribed criteria mentioned in standard bidding documents. Financial bid evaluated upon lowest offered rates by bidder.
M/S Jeffray's Transworld Enterprises	Qualified for Line Item No. 1,2,3,5,6			Technical bid was evaluated upon prescribed criteria mentioned in standard bidding documents. Financial bid evaluated upon lowest offered rates by bidder.
M/S Mega Plus	Qualified for Line Item No. 1,2,3,4,5			Technical bid was evaluated upon prescribed criteria mentioned in standard bidding documents. Financial bid evaluated upon lowest offered rates by bidder.
M/S MLE	Qualified for Line Item No. 1,2,3,4,5,6,7	Qualified for Line Item No. 6	Rs. 584,371.40	Technical bid was evaluated upon prescribed criteria mentioned in standard bidding documents. Financial bid evaluated upon lowest offered rates by bidder.
M/S Orion Tech Solution	Qualified for Line Item No. 7			Technical bid was evaluated upon prescribed criteria mentioned in standard bidding documents. Financial bid evaluated upon lowest offered rates by bidder.
M/S Shaheen Aerotraders	Qualified for Line Item No. 7			Technical bid was evaluated upon prescribed criteria mentioned in standard bidding documents. Financial bid evaluated upon lowest offered rates by bidder.
M/S Texitech Pvt Ltd	Qualified for Line Item No. 1,2,3,4,5	Qualified for Line Item No. 5	Rs. 3,026,163.52	Technical bid was evaluated upon prescribed criteria mentioned in standard bidding documents. Financial bid evaluated upon lowest offered rates by bidder.

**Most Advantageous Bidder:**

- (a) M/S AD & IR for Line Item No. 4 & 7
- (b) M/S AZI International Venture for Line item No. 1, 2 & 3
- (c) M/S MLE for item No. 6
- (d) M/S Texitech for item No. 5

Signature: .....



Official Stamp: .....

**(ATHAR NAVEED)**  
**Group Captain**  
**Chief Director**  
**NESDC, NASTP**

Standard Bidding Documents (SBD)