

### NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section 28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(536)/GM(P&CA)/NHA/2021/696

Islamabad, 3.. December, 2021

### **Director General**

Public Procurement Regulatory Authority 1<sup>st</sup> Floor FBC Building near State Bank, Sector G-5/2, **Islamabad** 

### Subject: ANNOUNCEMENT OF EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA RULE-35): Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study and Detailed Design for Construction of Kharan – Basima Road (125 KM)

Reference: PPRA Rule-35

Find enclosed herewith the Bid Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.

(SAMI-UR-REHMAN)

General Manager (P&CA)

Encl: Evaluation Report along with Annex- I

#### Copy for kind information to:

- Member (Planning), NHA Islamabad;
- Director (Tech. to Chairman), NHA Islamabad;
- Director (P&CA)-III, NHA Islamabad.



## EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study and Detailed Design for Construction of Kharan – Basima Road (125 KM).
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(536)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS444749E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	26 <sup>th</sup> March, 2021 at 1430 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	26 <sup>th</sup> March, 2021 at 1500 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Four (04) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

		Marks			Rule/Regulati on/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.	
Name of Bidder	Technical (if applicable)	Financial (if applicable)	Total (out of 1000)	Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)		
<ol> <li>M/s Prime Engineering and Testing Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Associated Consulting Engineers - ACE Ltd. and M/s Concept Engineering Services</li> </ol>	593	200	793	24,948,287	Top scoring firm in combined evaluation (PPRA Rule 36(b) (ix)	
<ol> <li>M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Associated Consultancy Center (Pvt.) Ltd. and M/s Design Management and Development Consultant</li> </ol>	580	191	771	26,107,900	2 <sup>nd</sup>	
<ol> <li>M/s Zeeruk International (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s APEX Consulting Engineering.</li> </ol>	Non- Responsive	Financial Proposal not opened			PPRA Rule 36 (b) (viii)	
4) M/s Cameos Engineering Consultants in JV with M/s Gulf Engineering Consulting Services	Non- Responsive	Financ	PPRA Rule 36 (b) (viii)			

Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study and Detailed Design for Construction of Kharan – Basima Road (125 KM).



Ç

### EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

\*EC is the Evaluated Cost used for evaluation purpose and includes only the cost of competitive component (i.e. Remuneration and Direct Non-Salary Cost) and is exclusive of Provisional Sum, Contingency and Indirect Taxes.

Top Ranked Bidder:M/s Prime Engineering and Testing Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd.in JV with M/s Associated Consulting Engineers - ACE Ltd.and M/s Concept Engineering Services

11. Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share: The Procurement was carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations. The bidding was done on QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio. The Contract is being awarded to M/s Prime Engineering and Testing Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Associated Consulting Engineers -ACE Ltd. and M/s Concept Engineering Services at evaluated financial proposal of Pak. Rs. 35,039,495/-.

Signature:.. General Manager (P&CA) National Highway Authority Official Stamp:.....Islamabad.... \*\*Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).

Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study and Detailed Design for Construction of Kharan – Basima Road (125 KM).



# **National Highway Authority**



Annex-I Criteria FOR Bid Evaluation

Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study and Detailed Design for Construction of Kharan – Basima Road (125 KM)

December, 2021



Say No to Corruption

Summary Evaluation Sheet

### SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (QCBS)

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Maxie Weightage	Firm 1	Firm 2
1. Firms Experience	100		an annual grad the short of Pa
General Experience in road Transport Sector	25		<u> </u>
Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75		
2. Approach and Methodology	250		<u> </u>
2-a. Appreciation of the Project	70		
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs	(30)		┟╌╍╍╍╌╴┨
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)		┟─────┤──────┤
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)		
2-b. Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives	50		
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)		
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)		
2-c. Methodology	80		
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)		
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)		
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal*	(30)		
2-d. Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR	10		
2-e. Work Program	20		
2-f. Staffing Schedule	20		
3. Key Personnel	450		
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel**	25		
(i) Senior Highway Engineer (Team Leader)	120		
(ii) Senior Structural/Bridge Engineer	70		
(iii) Pavement Engineer & Drainage Engineer	30		
(iv) Transport Economist	25		
(v) Junior Structural/ Bridge Engineer-I & II	2 x 45		
(vi) Junior Highway Engineer-I & II	2 x 45		
4. Performance Certification from clients	75		1/2/
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting***	25		
5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)	50	~~~~	1+1
6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)****	50		
	TOTAL: 1000		

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0% Score: Maximum Weightage x rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.

\*

Conciseness and clarity contains 10 marks and 20 marks will be for the completeness of the proposals which includes but not limited to hard binding, sequential page numbering, signing and stamping of each page of proposal.

Firm affidavit for presence of personnel caries 25 marks out of 450 marks (complete in all respect as per specimen annexed at Annex-C placed in Technical Proposal Forms). \*\* \*\*\*

25 out of 100 marks will be allocated for provision of affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner to the effect that the firm has neither been blacklisted nor any contract rescinded in the past for non-fulfillment of contractual obligations (complete in all respect as per specimen annexed at Annex-B placed in Technical Proposal Forms). \*\*\*\*

25 out of 50 marks are set for the new firm, the one which has been registered within last six (06) years and which has carried out maximum three (03) projects in last six (06) years and remaining 25 marks are set for methodology/ plans for engaging new firm.

Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study and Detailed Design for Widening and Improvement of Noshki to Dalbandin Section of N-40 (198 KM) Approximately

-17-

Say No to Corruption

Personnel Evaluation Sheet

#### PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

	PROSIDION #ATELA ODAL PXIDERDISE	ins Academic Mission Academic Mission Academic Mission Academic Mission Academic	indictation edition good0%	Profest Profes	telateds cheek ge1609/61	<ul> <li>Status sydia</li> <li>Status sydia</li> </ul>	lite)NiciteAs S	OVERAEL RATING (Sumots) Weighted Ratings).
1	v all experts to be evaluated)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	(A+B+C)
(i)	Senior Highway Engineer (Team Leader)							
(ii)	Senior Structural/ Bridge Engineer							
(iii)	Pavement Engineer & Drainage Engineer							
(iv)	Transport Economist							
(v)	Junior Structural/ Bridge Engineer-I & II							
(vi)	Junior Highway Engineer-I & II							

Rating: - Excellent - 100% Very good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100.

For Senior Highway Engineer (Team Leader), Senior Structural/ Bridge Engineer, Pavement Engineer & Drainage Engineer, Junior Structure/ Bridge Engineer, Junior Highway Engineer: M.Sc. – 100%; B.Sc. – 70%.

For Transport Economist & Drainage Engineer: M.Sc. (Transportation Engineering)/ M.Sc. Economics with Diploma/ Degree in Economics/ Transportation Engineering, respectively-100%; B.Sc. (Transportation Engineering) or M.Sc. Economics -70%

\*\* 6 month older employee - 100%; Less than 6 months or associates- 0%

