



**NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY**

**(Engineering Coordination Wing)**

**General Manager (RAMD)**

28 Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad

Phone No. 051-9032815, Fax No. 051-9104609

No. 1(23) GM (RAMD)/NHA/2024/ 2299

Islamabad 23<sup>rd</sup> December 2024

**Director (MIS),**  
Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (PPRA),  
1st Floor FBC building near State Bank,  
G-5/2, **Islamabad.**

Subject: **HIRING OF STRUCTURE EXPERT IN RAMD SECTION FOR DESIGN  
AND DESIGN REVIEW OF BRIDGES AND ALLIED STRUCTURES ON  
NHA NETWORK**

**ANNOUNCEMENT OF TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT**

Reference: PPRA Rule-35 as Amended vide S.R.O. 834(I)/2021 vide notification dated 28<sup>th</sup> June 2021.

Attached herewith find duly filled in and signed Technical Evaluation Report pertaining to the procurement of subject services as per the requirements of the above referred PPRA Rule for uploading on PPRA's website at the earliest please.

  
(AFTAB ULLAH BABAR)  
Director (RAMS)

**Enclosure:** Technical Evaluation Report Along with **(Annex-I)**

**Cc:**

- Member (Engg-Coord) NHA, Islamabad.
- General Manager (RAMD) NHA, Islamabad.
- Dy. Director (Structures) RAMS NHA, Islamabad.
- Dy. Director (RAMD-I) NHA, Islamabad.
- File



Announcement of  
**Technical Evaluation Report**  
**Under PPRA Rule 35**

**FRIENDLY HIGHWAYS** as Amended vide S.R.O. 834(I)/2021 vide notification dated 28<sup>th</sup> June 2021

1	Name of Procuring Agency	National Highway Authority
2	Method of Procurement	Single Stage Two Envelopes
3	Title of Procurement	Hiring Of Structure Expert In Ramd Section for Design And Design Review of Bridges And Allied Structures on NHA Network
5	PPRA Ref No.	TS550682E
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing	18 <sup>th</sup> November, 2024 at 1130 Hours
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening	18 <sup>th</sup> November, 2024 at 1200 Hours
8	No. of Proposals Received	Three (03)
9	Criteria for bid evaluation	As per attached evaluation criteria
10	Details of bid evaluation	As mentioned below

Sr. No.	Name of Bidders	Technical Marks	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/ Basis for Qualified /Disqualified
1.	M/s CECON Consultant	921	Qualified
2.	M/s Finite Engineering	696	Dis-Qualified (due to failure to meet the minimum required score prescribed in evaluation criteria)
3.	M/s EN & EM Associates	637	Dis-Qualified (due to failure to meet the minimum required score prescribed in evaluation criteria)

11. Any other additional / supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share: **Nil**

Signature:

Seal / Stamp:





## SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (QCBS)

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Max. Weightage	Firm 1		Firm 2	
		Rating	Score	Rating	Score
<b>1. Firms Experience</b>	<b>100</b>				
General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
<b>2. Approach and Methodology</b>	<b>200</b>				
2-a. <u>Appreciation of the Project</u>	70				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs	(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
2-b. <u>Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives</u>	50				
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
2-c. <u>Methodology</u>	70				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(20)				
2-d. <u>Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR</u>	10				
<b>3. Key Personnel (Structural Expert)</b>	<b>550</b>				
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel	25				
Academic Qualification	100				
General Experience	100				
Bridge Design Experience	125				
Bridge Rehabilitation Experience	200				
<b>4. Performance Certification from clients</b>	<b>100</b>				
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting	25				
<b>6. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)</b>	<b>50</b>				
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>1000</b>				

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average – 80-89% Average – 70-79% Below Average – 1-69% Non-complying – 0%,

Score: Maximum Weightage rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.

DESIGN AND DESIGN REVIEW OF BRIDGES AND ALLIED STRUCTURES ON NHA NETWORK.

Page 17 of 70



**PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET**

POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE	Name	Academic Qualification		General Experience		Bridge Design Experience		Bridge Rehabilitation Experience	
		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)
(Show all experts to be evaluated)									
i. Structural Expert									

**Academic Qualification: (100)**

Ph.D in Structural Engineering-100%, M.Sc in Structural Engineering. with additional trainings/courses relevant to assignment-90%; M.Sc in Structural Engineering. 80%

**General Experience: (100)**

Minimum 20 years' experience in Bridge Design (80 marks);

04 marks per year experience of Bridge Design exceeding the said 20 years' experience (up to maximum of 20 marks).

**Bridge Design Experience: (125)**

The individual would be evaluated on the basis of number of bridges designed, and vetted. The scoring criteria is as under:

Experience	Number of Bridges			
	< 100	100 – 200	200 – 300	> 300
Design of New Bridges	25	50	75	100
Vetting of Bridge Design	10	15	20	25

**Bridge Rehabilitation Experience: (200)**

The individual would be evaluated on the basis of number of bridges rehabilitated. The scoring criteria is as under:

Experience	Number of Bridges			
	< 100	100 – 200	200 – 300	> 300
Rehabilitation of Old Bridges	50	100	150	200

The Consultant will provide duly certified (signed and stamped) list of projects alongwith following information:

- Name of Project
- Name of Structure
- Type of Structure
- Length of Structure
- Location Information
- Client Name

