

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Shaheed Zulifqar Ali Bhutto Medical University Islamabad
2. Method of Procurement: Single Stage Two Envelop System
3. Title of Procurement: Hiring of Consultancy Services for Planning, Design and Construction Supervision
4. Tender Inquiry No.: Nil
5. PPRA Ref. No. (TSE): TS459759E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: October 05, 2021 & 13:30 hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: October 05, 2021 & 14:00 hrs (Technical Proposal)
November 30, 2021 & 12:00 hrs (Financial Proposal)
8. No of Bids Received: 09 Nos. Bids Received
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Single-Stage Two Envelope Procedure and as per Evaluation Criteria defined in the RFP.
Proposal evaluated as per Quality cum Cost Based Selection Criteria (Ref RFP Clause 5.5)
with 80% weightage to Technical Proposal and 20% to Financial Proposal.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: As follows

Sr #	Name of Bidder	Technical		Financial		Combined Technical and Financial Score (S = St x T% + Sf x P%)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD/Policy/Basis for Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rule 2004
		Score out of 100 (St)	Technical Score (St x T%) T=0.8	Quoted Bid (Rs.)	Financial Score (Sf x P%) P = 0.2		
1	M/S NESPAK (Pvt.) Limited	92	73.6	31,798,754	100 x 0.2 = 20	93.6	Top Ranked (Proposal Accepted)
2	M/S Allied Engineering Consultant (Pvt.) Ltd	-	-	-	-	-	Technically Dis-Qualified as per RFP clause-3.5.2/a & b, 5.2/b
3	M/S Development Consultancy Services (Pvt.) Ltd	-	-	-	-	-	Technically Dis-Qualified as per RFP clause-3.5.2/a & b, 5.2/b
4	M/S Shaz Consultants	-	-	-	-	-	Technically Dis-Qualified as per RFP clause-3.5.2/a & b, 5.2/b
5	M/S ESS-I-AAR	-	-	-	-	-	Technically Dis-Qualified as per RFP clause-3.5.2/a & b, 5.2/b
6	M/S Arcadian	-	-	-	-	-	Technically Dis-Qualified as per RFP clause-3.5.2/a & b, 5.2/b
7	M/S DD&C	-	-	-	-	-	Technically Dis-Qualified as per RFP clause-3.5.2/a & b, 5.2/b


8	M/S Abbasi & Associates	-	-	-	-	-	Technically Dis-Qualified as per RFP clause-3.5.2/a & b, 5.2/b
9	M/S NSVIOLET	-	-	-	-	-	Technically Dis-Qualified as per RFP clause-3.5.2/a & b, 5.2/b

Lowest Evaluated Bidder: M/S NESPAK (Pvt.) Limited at Amount Rs 31,798,754/-


11. Any other additional / supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share.




Dr. Sajid Nazir Bhatti
(Chairman)



Mr. Amir Ishtiaq
(Director P&D IIUI)



Mr. Shafeeq Ahmad Khan
(Project Coordinator, SZABMU)




Mr. Imran Ali
(P&D, SZABMU)



Dr. Mohsin Shahzad
(Member)



Mr. Babar Mustafa
(Secretary /Member)



Dr. Tanwir Khaliq
(Vice Chancellor, SZABMU)

SHAHFED ZULFIQAR ALI BHUTTO MEDICAL UNIVERSITY ISLAMABAD

FINANCIAL EVALUATION OF CONSULTANT

Financial Score

$$\text{Financial Score (Sf)} = 100 \times \text{Fm} / \text{F}$$

Fm = Lowest financial proposal, F = Amount of specified financial proposal

As we have only one technically qualified consultant so lowest amount among financial proposals will be equal to specified financial proposal amount of concerned organization.

As only single consultant M/S NESPAK qualified so Fm = F, Here F = **31,798,754 Rupees**

$$\text{Sf} = 100 \times 31,798,754 / 31,798,754 = 100$$

Cumulative Score

As per criteria of Quality cum Cost Based Selection (Ref RFP Clause 5.5), cumulative score will be as follows

$$S = \text{St} \times \text{T}\% + \text{Sf} \times \text{P}\%$$

Here, St = Technical Score and Sf = Financial Score

T = The weightage given to technical proposal, P = The weightage given to financial proposal


As per NESPAK Score, St = 92 & Sf = 100

$$S = 92 \times 80\% + 100 \times 20\%, S = 73.6 + 20 = \mathbf{93.6}$$




Dr. Sajid Nazir Bhatti

(Chairman)




Mr. Amir Ishtiaq

(Director P&D IIUI)

 02/12/2021


Mr. Shafeeq Ahmad Khan

(Project Coordinator, SZABMU)



Mr. Imran Ali

(P&D, SZABMU)



Dr. Mohsin Shahzad

(Member)

 02/12/2021

Mr. Babar Mustafa

(Secretary /Member)



Dr. Tanwir Khaliq

(Vice Chancellor, SZABMU)