

NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section 28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(640)/GM/(P&CA)/NHA/2024/577 Islamabad, 23.2d December, 2024

Director General

Public Procurement Regulatory Authority 1st Floor FBC Building near State Bank, Sector G-5/2, Islamabad

Subject:

ANNOUNCEMENT OF TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA RULE-35):

CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR FEASIBILITY STUDY AND DETAILED DESIGN FOR

REALIGNMENT OF MOTORWAY M-2 IN SALT RANGE AREA (APPROX. 10 Km)

Reference: PPRA Rule-35

Find enclosed herewith the Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.

GENERAL MANAGER (P&CA)

DISTRIBUTION:

Encl: Evaluation Report along with Annex- I

COPY TO:

- Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;

Dy. Director (P&CA), NHA, Islamabad.

EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority					
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure					
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy services for feasibility study and detailed design for realignment of motorway m-2 in salt range area (approx. 10 km)					
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(640)					
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS543501E					
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	16 th August, 2024 at 1130 hours local time					
7,	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	16 th August, 2024 at 1200 hours local time					
8.	No of Bids Received:	Four (04) Proposals were received					
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I					
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below					

	Name of Bidder	Technical Score (out of 1000)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.	
1)	M/s BK Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Associated Consultancy Center (Pvt.) Ltd. in association with M/s Soil & Structure Consulting Pakistan (Sub-Consultant)	808	Technically Qualified	
2)	M/s Prime Engineering & Testing Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Associated Consulting Engineers ACE (Ltd.), M/s Engineering and Management Consultancy Services (SMC-Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s Z-Tech Engineering Solutions (Sub-Consultant)	787	Technically Qualified	
3)	M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s TURKPAK International (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s The Spatio (Sub-Consultant)	779	Technically Qualified	
4)	M/s Finite Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s Karakoram Engineers (Sub-Consultant)	Non-Responsive As per (Data Sheet of the RFP, Clause 1.8 (i))		

11. Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share: The Pricurement is being carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations using the QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio.

Signature: General Manager (P&CA)
Official Stamp: National Highway Authority
Islamabad

**Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).

National Highway Authority



Annex-I
Criteria
FOR

Technical Evaluation

CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR FEASIBILITY STUDY AND DETAILED DESIGN FOR REALIGNMENT OF MOTORWAY M-2 IN SALT RANGE AREA (APPROX. 10 KM)

December, 2024

SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (QCBS)

	Max.			Firm 2	
EVALUATION CRITERIA		Rating	Score	Rating	Score
1. Firms Experience	100				
General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
2. Approach and Methodology	250				
2-a. Appreciation of the Project	<u>70</u>				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs	(30)			ļ	
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
2-b. Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives	<u>50</u>				
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				ļ
2-c. Methodology	<u>80</u>			ļ	<u> </u>
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)			ļ	
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)			ļ	<u> </u>
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(30)				ļ
2-d. Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR	10			ļ	<u> </u>
2-e. Work Program	<u>20</u>			ļ <u> </u>	-
2-f. Staffing Schedule	<u>20</u>				
3. Key Personnel	450				
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel	25				ļ
i. Team Leader/ Tunnel Engineer	120	<u> </u>			1
ii. Senior (E & M) Expert	30				ļ
iii. Senior Electrical & Mechanical (E & M) Expert for Tunnel	30				
iv. Senior Geologist	30				ļ
v. Senior Geologist/Slope Stability Expert Engineer	50				↓
vi. Senior Structural Engineer	70		ļ		ļ
vii. Senior Highway Engineer	70	<u> </u>			ļ
viii. Transport Economist	25				
4. Performance Certification from clients	75				
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting	25				
5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)	50				
6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)	50				
TOTAL:	1000				l

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0%,

Score: Maximum Weightage rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.

PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE	Name	Academic a Qualifi Weighta	cation	Project related Experience Weightage 60%		Status with the Firm 10%		OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings)
(Show all experts to be evaluated)		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	(A+B+C)
i. Team Leader/ Tunnel Engineer								
ii. Senior (E & M) Expert								
iii. Senior Electrical & Mechanical (E & M) Expert for Tunnel								
iv. Senior Geologist								
v. Senior Geologist/Slope Stability Expert Engineer								<u> </u>
vi. Senior Structural Engineer								
vii. Senior Highway Engineer								
viii. Transport Economist								

Rating: - Excellent - 100%

Very good - 90-99%

Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70%.

