

NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section 28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(593)/DIR-III/(P&CA)/NHA/2022/1024

December, 2022 Islamabad

Director General

Public Procurement Regulatory Authority 1st Floor FBC Building near State Bank, Sector G-5/2, Islamabad

Subject:

ANNOUNCEMENT OF EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA RULE-35): Consultancy Services For Feasibility Study And Detailed Design For Addition Of An Extra Lane On Each Side Of Approach Roads For Bridge Over River Indus At Head Muhammad Wala In Distt Multan

Reference: PPRA Rule-35

Find enclosed herewith the Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.

> (MUHAMMAD AHMED ABRO) DIRECTOR (CONSULTANCY) P&CA

Encl: Evaluation Report along with Annex- I

Copy for kind information to:

- Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;

- General Manager (P&CA), NHA, Islamabad;

Director (Tech. to Chairman), NHA, Islamabad.

EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services For Feasibility Study And Detailed Design For Addition Of An Extra Lane On Each Side Of Approach Roads For Bridge Over River Indus At Head Muhammad Wala In Distt Multan
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(593)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS491569E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	3 rd November, 2022 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	3 rd November, 2022 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Two (02) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

Name of Bidder	Technical Score (out of 1000)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.		
M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s BK Consultants (SMC-Pvt) Ltd. and in association with M/s Power Aim Engineering Services (Pvt.) Ltd. (Sub-Consultant)	814	Technically Qualified		
2) M/s Finite Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV With M/s Prime Engineering & Testing Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s Pakistan Engineering Tech. (Sub-Consultant)	776	Technically Qualified		

11.	Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share:					
	The Procurement is being carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations using the					
QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio.						

Signature:	N. O.
Official Stamp:	V 7
**O4II Distalia Desa	(ODD)

**Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).

National Highway Authority



Annex-I
Criteria
FOR

Bid Evaluation

Consultancy Services For Feasibility Study And Detailed Design For Addition Of An Extra Lane On Each Side Of Approach Roads For Bridge Over River Indus At Head Muhammad Wala In Distt Multan

December, 2022

SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (QCBS)

VALUATION CRITERIA		Firm 1		Firm 2	
- V49	Weightage	Rating	Score	Rating	Score
. Firms Experience	100	75/05			
General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
2. Approach and Methodology	250				-
2-a. Appreciation of the Project	70				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs	(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
2-b. Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives	50	7.1			
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
2-c. Methodology	80				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)		- 1		
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(30)				
2-d. Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR	10			- 1	
2-e. Work Program	20				
2-f. Staffing Schedule	20				
3. Key Personnel	450				7-21
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel	25				
i. Senior Highway Engineer (Team Leader)	120				
ii. Senior Structural Engineer	70				
iii. Senior Pavement Engineer	60			-	
iv. Transport Economist	40				
v. Coordination Engineer	60				
vi. Junior Highway Engineer	40				
vii. Junior Structural Engineer	20		1		
viii. Junior Pavement Engineer	15				
4. Performance Certification from clients	75				
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting	25				
5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength - justification)	50				
6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)	50				
TOTAL:	1000			-	

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0%,

Score: Maximum Weightage rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.

Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study and Detailed Design for Addition of an Extra Lane on Each Side of Approach Roads for Bridge Over River Chenab at Head Muhammad Wala in District Multan

PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE	Name	Academic and General Qualification Weightage 30%		Project related Experience Weightage 60%		Status with the Firm*		OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings)	
(Show all experts to be evaluated)		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	(A+B+C)	
i. Senior Highway Engineer (Team Leader)									
ii. Senior Structural Engineer	4		183				7.		
iii. Senior Pavement Engineer	9								
iv. Transport Economist	1								
v. Coordination Engineer			2 1						
vi. Junior Highway Engineer			1					2-1	
vii. Junior Structural Engineer			F 7						
viii. Junior Pavement Engineer				7					

Rating: - Excellent - 100% Very good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70%.

*The Key Professional Staff whose duration with the firm is less than six (6) months/ available for the assignment/ associates/ part time available for the assignment, in such cases status with the firm marks shall not be allocated.

